Strength. Performance. Passion.



Teven Quarry Annual Review 2017

Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE					
2		INTRODUCTION9					
	2.	1	Con	tact Details1	11		
3		APF	PRO	VALS1	12		
4		OP	ERA	TIONS SUMMARY1	13		
	4.	1	Exp	loration1	13		
	4.	2	Lan	d Preparation1	13		
	4.	3	Con	struction Activities1	13		
	4.	4	Qua	arry Operations	13		
	4.	5	Nex	t Reporting Period	13		
5		AC	TION	IS REQUIRED FROM PREVIOUS ANNUAL REVIEW1	14		
6		EN\	VIRO	NMENTAL PERFORMANCE1	15		
	6.	1	Met	eorological Monitoring1	15		
	6.	2	Nois	Se1	15		
		6.2.	1	EIS Predictions	15		
		6.2.	2	Approved Criteria	15		
		6.2.	3	Key Environmental Performance	15		
		6.2.	4	Management Measures	17		
		6.2.	5	Proposed Improvements	17		
	6.	3	Air (Quality1	17		
		6.3.	1	EIS Predictions	17		
		6.3.	2	Approved Criteria	17		
		6.3.	3	Key Environmental Performance	18		
		6.3.	4	Management Measures	19		
		6.3.	5	Proposed Improvements	20		
	6.	4	Blas	sting2	20		
		6.4.	1	EIS Predictions	20		
		6.4.	2	Approved Criteria	20		
		6.4.	3	Key Environmental Performance	21		
		6.4.	4	Management Measures	21		
		6.4.	5	Proposed Improvements	21		
	6.	5	Traf	ffic Management2	21		
		6.5.	1	EIS Predictions	21		
		6.5.	2	Approved Criteria2	22		
		6.5.	3	Key Environmental Performance2	22		
		6.5.	4	Management Measures	22		
		6.5.	5	Proposed Improvements	22		

	6.6	E	Biod	iversity	22		
	6.	6.1		EIS Predictions	22		
	6.	6.2	2	Approved Criteria	22		
	6.	6.3	3	Key Environmental Performance	23		
	6.	6.4	L I	Management Measures	23		
	6.	6.5	5	Proposed Improvements	23		
	6.7	ł	Herit	age (Aboriginal Archaeology and Historic Heritage)	23		
	6.	7.1		EIS Predictions	23		
		6.7	7.1.1	Aboriginal Archaeology	23		
		6.7	7.1.2	Historic Heritage	23		
	6.	7.2	2	Approved Criteria	23		
	6.	7.3	3	Key Environmental Performance	23		
	6.	7.4	L	Management Measures	23		
	6.	7.5	5	Proposed Improvements	23		
	6.8	S	Sum	mary of Environmental Performance	24		
7	W	ΑΤ	ER	MANAGEMENT	25		
	7.1	E	EIS I	Predictions	25		
	7.	1.1		Surface Water	25		
	7.	1.2	2	Groundwater	25		
	7.2	A	Appr	oved Criteria	25		
	7.3	۱	Wate	er Usage and Storage	26		
	7.4	S	Surfa	ace Water Results	26		
	7.5	(Grou	Indwater Results	28		
	7.6	۱	Wate	er Take	28		
8	R	EH,	ABII	LITATION AND LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT	29		
	8.1	F	Reha	abilitation Performance during the Reporting Period	29		
	8.2	S	Sum	mary of Current Rehabilitation and Performance	30		
	8.3	ļ	Actio	ons for the Next Reporting Period	32		
9	W	AS	STE I	MANAGEMENT	33		
	9.1	١	Was	te Streams	33		
	9.2	١	Was	te Management	33		
10)	СС	OMM	IUNITY	34		
	10.1		Сс	ommunity Engagement Activities	34		
	10.2	2	Сс	ommunity Contributions	34		
	10.3	5	Сс	omplaints	34		
11	I	IN	DEP	ENDENT AUDIT	35		
12	2	INC	CIDE	ENTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE	36		
13	3 ACTIVITIES TO BE COMPLETED IN THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD						

FIGURES

Figure 1: Regional Locality	9
Figure 2: Aerial view of the Teven Quarry, located on Stokers Lane, Teven	10
Figure 3: Teven Quarry Rehabilitation and Disturbance	31

TABLES

Table 1: Statement of Commitments	6
Table 2: DPE Compliance Status Key	6
Table 3: Non-Compliances of SSD 6422 for 2017	7
Table 4: Annual Review Requirements	10
Table 5: Approvals for Teven Quarry Operations	12
Table 6: Total Product Distributed (Holcim Teven Quarry)	13
Table 7: Status Update on Proposed Holcim Actions	14
Table 8: Rainfall Received at Teven Quarry 2017	15
Table 9: Noise Criteria for Teven Quarry (SSD 6422)	15
Table 10: Noise Compliance Assessment for Teven Quarry (Muller Acoustic Consultants, 2017)	16
Table 11: Air Quality Monitoring Criteria (SSD 6422)	17
Table 12: 2017 Dust Monitoring (PM ₁₀)	18
Table 13: 2017 Dust Monitoring (Depositional Dust)	19
Table 14: Blast Monitoring Criteria from EL 3293 for Teven Quarry	20
Table 15: Blast Monitoring Results from Teven Quarry – Monitoring Location: Wellers Road	21
Table 16: Average Truck Movements for 2017	22
Table 17: Environmental Performance at Teven Quarry in 2017	24
Table 18: Water Monitoring Criteria (Teven Quarry EPL)	25
Table 19: Discharge Sampling Measurement Requirements (Teven Quarry EPL)	25
Table 20: Weekly pH Monitoring Results at Teven Quarry - 2017	26
Table 21: Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Requirements for Teven Quarry (SSD 6422)	29
Table 22: Rehabilitation Performance in 2017	29
Table 23: Rehabilitation and Disturbance Status	30
Table 24: Rehabilitation and Closure Actions for the Next Reporting Period	32
Table 25: Summary of Incidents and Non Compliances	36
Table 26: Improvement Actions for 2018	38

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 – Quarterly Noise Results

Appendix 2 – Transport Summary

SITE DETAILS

Name of operation	Teven Quarry
Name of operator	Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd
Development consent / project approval #	SSD 6422
Name of holder of development consent / project approval	Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd
Annual review start date	1 January 2017
Annual review end date	31 December 2017

I, SCOTT CALLANDER, certify that this audit report is a true and accurate record of the compliance status of the TEVEN QUARRY for the period of JANUARY 2017- DECEMBER 2017 and that I am authorised to make this statement on behalf of HOLCIM (AUSTRALIA) PTY LTD.

Note.

- a) The Annual Review is an 'environmental audit' for the purposes of section 122B(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Section 122E provides that a person must not include false or misleading information (or provide information for inclusion in) an audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an environmental audit if the person knows that the information is false or misleading in a material respect. The maximum penalty is, in the case of a corporation, \$1 million and for an individual, \$250,000.
- b) The Crimes Act 1900 contains other offences relating to false and misleading information: section 192G (Intention to defraud by false or misleading statement—maximum penalty 5 years imprisonment); sections 307A, 307B and 307C (False or misleading applications/information/documents—maximum penalty 2 years imprisonment or \$22,000, or both).

Name of authorised rep	oorting officer	Scott Callander		
Title of authorised repo	orting officer	Quarry Supervisor		
Signature of authorised	d reporting officer	560000		
Date		29 March 2018		
Revision	2	Purpose	DPE Review Comments	
Author	Victoria Musgrove	Date	8 November 2018	

Amendments

Section 6.5 and Appendix 2 updated to reflect revised truck movements following review.

Table 15 of the AEMR 2017 updated to include specific times for each blast undertaken in 2017.

A new Section 9 included addressing waste minimisation and management at Teven Quarry, and subsequent sections renumbered accordingly.

Sections 1, 7.4 and 12 updated to reflect revised pH monitoring dates and identify where monitoring periods were greater than 1 week.

1 STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

The statement of commitments for the 2017 reporting period for Teven Quarry is provided in **Table 1**. **Table 3** details the non-compliances of SSD 6422 identified within the 2017 reporting period.

Table 1: Statement of Commitments

Were all conditions of the relevant approval(s) complied with?				
SSD 6422	NO			
EPL 3293	NO			

Table 2: DPE Compliance Status Key

Risk level	Colour code	Description				
High	Non-compliant	Non-compliance with potential for significant environmental consequences, regardless of the likelihood of occurrence				
Medium	Non-compliant	 Non-compliance with: potential for serious environmental consequences, but is unlikely to occur; or potential for moderate environmental consequences, but is likely to occur 				
Low	Non-compliant	 Non-compliance with: potential for moderate environmental consequences, but is unlikely to occur; or potential for low environmental consequences, but is likely to occur 				
Admin NC	Non-compliant	Only to be applied where the non-compliance does not result in any risk of environmental harm (e.g. submitting a report to government later than required under approval conditions)				

Table 3: Non-Compliances of SSD 6422 for 2017

Relevant approval	Condition	Condition Description				Status	Relevant Section of the Annual Review
SSD 6422	Condition 11, Schedule 3	The Applicant shall ensur mitigation measures are e generated by the develop Table 4 at any residence Table 4: Air quality criteria Pollutent Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM ₁₀) Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM ₁₀) Total suspended particulates (TSP) ^c Deposited dust This condition relates to in Note: the PM ₁₀ monitor has	e that all re employed s ment do no on privately <u>Averaging</u> <u>Period</u> Annual 24 hour Annual Annual nstallation as been op	easonable and f to that particulat of cause exceed y-owned land.	easible avoidance and the matter emissions lances of the criteria in d 30 µg/m ³ ⁵⁰ µg/m ³ ^{a,d} 4 g/m ² /month <u>f the PM₁₀ monitor.</u> September 2017.	Low Risk Non - Compliant	Section 6.3 (Air Quality)
SSD 6422	Condition 14, Schedule 3	 <u>This condition relates to installation and operation of the PM₁₀ monitor.</u> <u>Note: the PM₁₀ monitor has been operational since September 2017.</u> The Applicant shall prepare and implement an Air Quality Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (d) include an air quality monitoring program that: is capable of evaluating the performance of the development; includes a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions of consent; effectively supports the air quality management system; and evaluates and reports on the adequacy of the air quality management system. <u>This condition relates to the implementation of the air quality monitoring component component of the Air Quality Management Plan.</u> Note: the PM₁₀ monitor has been operational since September 2017. 		Low Risk Non - Compliant	Section 6.3 (Air Quality)		

Revision 2

Relevant approval	Condition	Condition Description	Status	Relevant Section of the Annual Review
SSD 6422	Condition 10, Schedule 3	The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Blast Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (d) include community notification procedures for the blasting schedule; and	Admin Non - Compliant	Section 6.2 (Blasting)
EPL	Condition M2.2	Condition M2.2 of the EPL – Water and/or Land Monitoring Requirements M2.2 Water and/ or Land Monitoring Requirements POINT 1 Point 1 Pollutant Units of measure Frequency Sampling Method Oil and Grease Dil and Grease milligrams per litre Yearly during discharge Grab sample PH - Weekly No method specified Total suspended milligrams per litre Yearly during discharge Grab sample Weekly monitoring for pH is required at EPL Point 1. Monitoring was completed weekly except weeks 1. 11, 22, 31 42 and 52.	Low Risk Non - Compliant	Section 7.4 (Surface Water)

2 INTRODUCTION

Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd (Holcim) operates Teven Quarry, a hard rock quarry located on Stokers Lane in the Ballina Shire Local Government Area (refer to Figures 1 and 2). The site operates under Development Consent (SSD 6422 as modified) approved by the New South Wales (NSW) Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) on July 15, 2015.

The site also operates in accordance with Environment Protection Licence (EPL) No. 3293 issued by the NSW Environmental Protection Authority (EPA).



Figure 1: Regional Locality

Revision 2



Figure 2: Aerial view of the Teven Quarry, located on Stokers Lane, Teven

In accordance with Schedule 5, Condition 4 of the modified Development Consent the site is required to undertake an Annual Review of the site in accordance with the conditions provided in **Table 4**.

Table 4: Annual Review Requirements

	Condition	Section addressed in Annual Review
By dev	the end of March each year, the Applicant shall review the environmental povelopment to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This review must:	erformance of the
a)	describe the development (including rehabilitation) that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the current calendar year;	Section 4 and 6
b)	 include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the development over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against the: relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria; the monitoring results of previous years; and the relevant predictions in the EIS. 	Section 6 and 7
c)	identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance;	Section 1 and 11
d)	identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the development	Section 6 and 7
e)	identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the development, and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and	Section 6
f)	describe what measures will be implemented over the current calendar year to improve the environmental performance of the development.	Section 13

This Annual Review has also been prepared in accordance with the Annual Review Guideline: post approvals requirements for state significance mining developments (October 2015). This report documents the environmental performance of the site from January to December 2017.

2.1 Contact Details

Quarry Supervisor Scott Callander Mob: 0419 476 067 scott.callander@lafargeholcim.com

Quarry Manager

Garth Stacey Work: +61 2 6687 8566 Mob: +61 429 790 217 garth.stacey@lafargeholcim.com

North NSW Aggregates Manager

Chris Hamilton Work: +61 2 6656 8620 Mob: +61 429 790 213 <u>chris.s.hamilton@lafargeholcim.com</u>

Planning & Environment Coordinator NSW/ACT

Amy Nelson Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd Work +61 2 9412 6572 Mob +61 (0)429 790 923 amy.nelson@lafargeholcim.com

3 APPROVALS

The site operates under the approvals listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Approvals for Teven Quarry Operations

Approval	Regulatory Authority
SSD 6422	NSW DPE
EPL No. 3293	NSW EPA

4 OPERATIONS SUMMARY

4.1 Exploration

There was no exploration undertaken within the Annual Review period.

4.2 Land Preparation

There was no clearing undertaken during the Annual Review period.

4.3 Construction Activities

There was no construction undertaken during the Annual Review period.

4.4 Quarry Operations

Operational activities undertaken at Teven Quarry in 2017 included:

- Stripping of topsoil and overburden within the existing approved extraction limit boundary;
- Drill, blast, load and haul activities;
- Crushing, screening and stockpiling of product; and
- Maintenance of rehabilitation.

Quarry operations were undertaken between the hours of 7am to 6pm, Monday to Friday and 7am-4pm on Saturdays, during the reporting period. These timeframes were applied to all operations onsite with no load out or dispatch after 6pm.

All activities took place within the approved operating hours in 2017.

Table 6 includes a summary of the operations undertaken during the reporting period against the development consent conditions regarding product transported from Teven Quarry.

Table 6: Total Product Distributed (Holcim Teven Quarry)

Material	Approval Limit (Tonnes)	2016 Reporting Period (Tonnes)	2017 Reporting Period (Tonnes)
Product Distributed- Total	500,000	363,079	283,251

4.5 Next Reporting Period

Development activities proposed to be carried out at Teven Quarry in 2018, include:

- Stripping of topsoil and overburden within the existing approved extraction limit boundary;
- Drill, blast, load and haul activities;
- Crushing, screening and stockpiling of product; and
- Maintenance of rehabilitation.

5 ACTIONS REQUIRED FROM PREVIOUS ANNUAL REVIEW

Actions required by the previous Annual Review are listed in Table 7.

Table 7: Status Update on Proposed Holcim Actions

Commitment	Compliance Status
Progressive Rehabilitation - The site will continue to progressively rehabilitate available areas.	No rehabilitation was completed in 2017, due to all benches currently being active. Rehabilitation of existing terminal benches was completed during earlier reporting periods.
Undertake a Water Assessment in accordance with <i>Condition 3,</i> <i>Schedule 19.</i> <i>Condition 3, Schedule 19:</i> 'In the event that groundwater in excess of negligible quantities is intersected during extraction activities, the Applicant shall undertake a hydrogeological investigation, in consultation with NOW, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The investigation must report on groundwater sources, levels, yield and quality; identify any risks to groundwater users or groundwater dependent ecosystems and propose recommended management measures. The Applicant must implement reasonable and feasible management measures to the satisfaction of the Secretary'.	No groundwater seepage into the quarry void was recorded during the 2017 reporting period. The quarry will continue to monitor the void for groundwater seepage and a detailed assessment will be undertaken in accordance with Condition 3, Schedule 19 should groundwater in excess of negligible quantities be intercepted.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

6.1 Meteorological Monitoring

A meteorological monitoring station was installed at Teven Quarry in late 2016 to obtain data in accordance with the requirements of Schedule 3, Condition 15 of the Development Consent. Monthly rainfall data for 2017 has been provided in **Table 8**.

Table 0. Railliall Received at Tevell Quality 2017
--

Monthly Rainfall (mm)											Total	
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	2017
16	63	290	144	81	262	5	5	0	90	183	44	1,183

No meteorological trends are currently available due to the limited time that the station has been operational.

6.2 Noise

6.2.1 EIS Predictions

The 2014 EIS found that the Project was not predicted to exceed the project specific noise levels at any privately owned residences surrounding the Project Area, with the exception of Receiver 9. Receiver 9 has since been purchased by Holcim.

Road traffic noise levels were predicted to increase at some receivers whilst decreasing at others, with the criteria proposed in the EIS predicted to be met.

6.2.2 Approved Criteria

In accordance with Schedule 3, Condition 5(c) of SSD 6422, 'the Applicant shall: carry out noise monitoring (at least every 3 months) to determine whether the development is complying with the relevant conditions of this consent.'

Approved noise criteria from the Development Consent are outlined in Table 9.

Table 9: Noise Criteria for Teven Quarry (SSD 6422)

Receiver	Day dB(A) (L _{Aeq(15 min)})	Evening dB(A) (L _{Aeq(15 min)})
R3, R4, R13, R15, R16, R17, R18, R20	38	35
All other residences	37	35

6.2.3 Key Environmental Performance

Quarterly noise monitoring was undertaken 2017 in accordance with the requirements of the Schedule 3, Condition 4.

Noise results at all locations were within the approved performance criteria for the site as shown in **Table 10**. Copies of the quarterly noise monitoring reports for 2017 are attached as **Appendix 1**.

		Monitoring Location ID	Quarry Noise Contribution	Quarrying Noise Criteria		Compliance Status			
Assessment Period	Receiver No.		LAeq	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
	R2	N1	34	37	1	1	1	✓	
Day	R3/R4	N2	Nil	38	1	1	1	✓	
	R7	N3	36	37	1	1	1	✓	
	R10	N4	36	37	1	1	1	✓	
	R14	N5	Nil	37	1	1	1	1	
	R2	N1	Nil	35	1	1	1	1	
	R3/R4	N2	Nil	35	1	1	1	1	
Evening	R7	N3	Nil	35	1	1	1	1	
	R10	N4	Nil	35	1	1	1	1	
	R14	N5	Nil	35	1	1	1	✓	

Table 10: Noise Compliance Assessment for Teven Quarry (Muller Acoustic Consultants, 2017)

Note: Monday to Saturday; Day 7am to 6pm; Evening 6pm to 10pm; Night 10pm to 7am. On Sundays and Public Holidays, Day 8am to 6pm; Evening 6pm to 10pm; Night 10pm to 8am.

Longterm Trends:

2017 is the first year of full noise monitoring (four quarters of monitoring). There are no trends yet available relating to noise compliance.

Comparison to EIS Predictions:

The results for noise in 2017 were within the predicted limits of the EIS predictions.

6.2.4 Management Measures

Noise impacts are managed in accordance with the specific management strategies, procedures, controls and monitoring programs within the Teven Quarry *Noise Management Plan.*

6.2.5 Proposed Improvements

There are no proposed improvements relating to noise.

6.3 Air Quality

6.3.1 EIS Predictions

The 2014 EIS predicted that the change in air quality impacts due to the Project when compared to existing approved operations was predicted to be negligible, with the results for all scenarios predicted to be very similar.

The Project is predicted to comply with the relevant air quality criteria at all nearby sensitive receiver locations under worst case operating conditions, with the exception of 24-hour average PM_{10} concentrations at two nearby sensitive receiver locations - Receiver 9 and Receiver 6. This exceedance is due to the combined effect of Teven Quarry activities and maximum background levels. Receiver 9 has since been purchased by Holcim. If, on any day, the background levels were average rather than at maximum levels, then no property would be predicted to experience 24-hour average PM_{10} concentrations above the criteria.

6.3.2 Approved Criteria

Air Quality monitoring conducted at Teven Quarry is compared with the monitoring criteria stipulated in Schedule 3, Condition 11 of SSD 6422 and reproduced in **Table 11**.

Pollutant	Averaging Period		Criterion	
Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM ₁₀)	Annual	^{a,d} 30 μg/m ³		
Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM ₁₀)	24 hour	^b 50 µg/m ³		
Total suspended particulates (TSP) Annual		a,d 90 µg/m ³		
^c Deposited dust	Annual	b 2 g/m²/month a,d 4 g/m²/month		

 Table 11: Air Quality Monitoring Criteria (SSD 6422)

Notes tor Table 4:

 Cumulative impact (ie increase in concentrations due to the development plus background concentrations due to all other sources).

b. Incremental impact (ie incremental increase in concentrations due to the development on its own, with zero allowable exceedances of the criteria over the life of the development).

c. Deposited dust is to be assessed as insoluble solids as defined by Standards Australia, AS/NZS 3580.10.1:2003: Methods for Sampling and Analysis of Ambient Air - Determination of Particulate Matter - Deposited Matter - Gravimetric Method.

 Excludes extraordinary events such as bushfires, prescribed burning, dust storms, sea fog, fire incidents, or any other activity agreed to by the Secretary.
 "Becaraphie and facilitation and millionian measures" includes but is not implicit to the secretary.

e. "Reasonable and feasible avoidance and mitigation measures" includes, but is not limited to, the operational requirements in conditions 12 and 13 to develop and implement a air quality management system that ensures operational responses to the risks of exceedance of the criteria.

6.3.3 Key Environmental Performance

Condition 11, Schedule 3 (PM₁₀)

A Low Volume Air Sampler was installed at Teven Quarry in September 2017 to monitor for particulate matter. PM_{10} monitoring results have been obtained from September to December 2017. These results are provided in **Table 12**.

Date Sampled	Sampling Period (hours)	ΡΜ ₁₀ (μg/m ³)	Compliance with Criteria (50 μg/m³ in 24hr)
09/09/17	24	44	Within criteria
15/09/17	24	<35	Within criteria
21/09/17	24	50	At criteria level.
27/09/17	24	47	Within criteria
03/10/17	24	35	Within criteria
09/10/17	24	41	Within criteria
15/10/17	24	40	Within criteria
21/10/17	24	<14	Within criteria
27/10/17	24	42	Within criteria
02/11/17	24	39	Within criteria
08/11/17	24	24	Within criteria
14/11/17	24	<14	Within criteria
20/11/17	24	<14	Within criteria
26/11/17	24	32	Within criteria
02/12/17	24	<23	Within criteria
08/12/17	24	27	Within criteria
14/12/17	24	<23	Within criteria
20/12/17	24	<23	Within criteria
26/12/17	24	50	At criteria level.
Annual Average (3	80µg/m³/year)	24.8	Within criteria

Table 12: 2017 Dust Monitoring (PM₁₀)

Condition 11, Schedule 3 (Dust Deposition)

Depositional dust continued to be monitored at three depositional dust gauges at Teven Quarry throughout 2017. Results for this monitoring are provided in **Table 13**.

	End Date	DDG1	DDG2	DDG3
Start Date			(g/m²/month)	
23-Mar-17	20-Apr-17	0.7	4	2.1
20-Apr-17	18-May-17	2.2	0.9	0.5
18-May-17	15-Jun-17	7.2	1.7	1.5
15-Jun-17	13-Jul-17	3.7	3.6	0.4
13-Jul-17	10-Aug-17	0.4	1.6	0.7
10-Aug-17	07-Sep-17	0.6	6.1	1.5
07-Sep-17	05-Oct-17	1.4	*18	1.1
05-Oct-17	02-Nov-17	*14.5	3.3	0.1
02-Nov-17	30-Nov-17	*12.3	*193.9	1.3
30-Nov-17	29-Dec-17	7.6	*61.7	0.7
Annual Average (4 all sites	4g/m²/year) – with	5.06	29.48	0.99
Annual Average – samples removed *contaminated sample insects, vegetation)	contaminated	2.9	3.0	0.99
Result (for Year to	o Date)	Within Criteria	Within Criteria	Within Criteria

Table 13: 2017 Dust Monitoring (Depositional Dust)

In October the canefields adjacent to DDG1 were harvested and replanted. This resulted in significant vegetation in the sample. Similarly, the canefield adjacent to DDG2 was slashed and burnt during the November monitoring period resulting in significant ash in the sample. Additional slashing in the canefield as well as earthworks associated with a canefield track took place in December also impacting the results at DDG2. As such these three sample results have been excluded from the annual average. Other samples that were contaminated by insects or bird droppings were also excluded from the annual average.

Longterm Trends:

During preparation of the 2016 Annual Review for Teven Quarry it was discovered that Holcim were receiving incorrect dust deposition results from EAL Laboratories. The results received by Holcim were found to be results for the Boral Teven Quarry.

Immediately upon identifying this non-compliance, Holcim commissioned VGT consultants in February 2017 to undertake monthly monitoring in accordance with the Air Quality Management Plan to ensure full compliance with this condition. As such, any trends analysis of depositional dust is not currently possible due to the lack of data available from 2016. Holcim will provide comparison data in the 2018 Annual Review when there is sufficient data available.

As 2017 was the first year of PM_{10} monitoring there are no trends available.

Comparison to EIS Predictions:

The results for both depositional dust and PM_{10} were within the predicted limits of the EIS predictions.

6.3.4 Management Measures

Teven Quarry is committed to implementing reasonable and feasible avoidance and mitigation measures and to continue to investigate ways to minimise any air quality impacts from the quarry.

Air quality management measures implemented at Teven Quarry are detailed in the Air Quality Management Plan.

Revision 2

6.3.5 **Proposed Improvements**

Holcim will improve the depositional dust and PM_{10} sampling process in 2018 to ensure that sampling is conducted correctly and on the required timetable to ensure operation as per the Development Consent requirements.

6.4 Blasting

6.4.1 EIS Predictions

The 2014 EIS found that the Project can comply with relevant vibration and air blast criteria at all sensitive residential receivers through ongoing management of blast design and size.

6.4.2 Approved Criteria

Blasting was undertaken at Teven Quarry throughout 2017 in accordance with the conditions of Development Consent and EPL No. 3293. The criteria for blasting at the site are detailed in **Table 14**.

Table 14: Blast Monitoring Criteria from EL 3293 for Teven Quarry

L4 Blasting

- L4.1 Blasting operations at the premises may only take place between 09:00 to 15:00 Monday to Friday. (Where compelling safety reasons exist, the Authority may permit a blast to occur outside the abovementioned hours. Prior written (or facsimile) notification of any such blast must be made to the Authority).
- L4.2 The airblast overpressure level from blasting operations in or on the premises must not exceed:

a) 115 dB (Lin Peak) for more than 5% of the total number of blasts during each reporting period; and b) 120 dB (Lin Peak) at any time.

At any point within 1 metre of any affected residential property or other sensitive noise location.

L4.3 The ground vibration peak particle velocity from blasting operations carried out in or on the premises must not exceed:

a) 5 mm/s for more than 5% of the total number of blasts carried out on the premises during each

In accordance with Condition 1, Schedule 3 of the Development Consent, blasting is to be undertaken between 10am and 3pm Monday to Friday, with no blasting to occur on Sundays or public holidays.

6.4.3 Key Environmental Performance

Results of blasting undertaken in 2017 are shown in Table 15.

Dete and Time	Direct No.	Overpressure (dBL)	Vibration (mm/s)	Compliance
Date and Time	Blast NO	(Criteria Limit 115 dBL)	(Criteria Limit 5 mm/s)	Criteria
01-Feb-17 14:05	233	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
24-Mar-17 12:53	234	113.0	0.35	Within Criteria
05-Apr-17 12:42	235	102.9	0.53	Within Criteria
30-May-17 13:15	236	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
27-Jun-17 14:03	237	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
04-Jul-17 12:10	238	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
28-Jul-17 12:52	239	100.6	0.35	Within Criteria
16-Aug-17 11:00	240	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
29-Aug-17 11:32	242	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
18-Sep-17 11:03	243	108.8	0.36	Within Criteria
29-Sep-17 10:40	244	112.0	0.19	Within Criteria
12-Oct-17 12:29	245	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
17-Nov-17 13:28	246	97.1	0.50	Within Criteria
01-Dec-17 12:35	247	Not Triggered	Not Triggered	Within Criteria
20-Dec-17 13:20	248	114.0	0.09	Within Criteria

Table 15: Blast Monitoring Results from Teven Quarry – Monitoring Location: Wellers Road

The results for blasting at the site fell within the expected criteria of the EPL, EIS and Development Consent during the whole reporting period.

Longterm Trends:

From 2015 – 2017 the blasting levels have been within the Development Consent and EPL criteria.

Comparison to EIS Predictions:

The results for blasting were within the predicted limits of the EIS predictions.

6.4.4 Management Measures

Blast emission related impacts (vibration and air blast) are managed in accordance with the specific measures within the Teven Quarry *Blast Management Plan.*

6.4.5 Proposed Improvements

The Blast Management Plan will be updated in 2018 to include the relevant Blasting Protocol.

6.5 Traffic Management

6.5.1 EIS Predictions

The 2014 EIS assessment of traffic impacts associated with the Project found that impacts on the road network and principle intersections would be satisfactory and there was no requirement to upgrade the roads or intersections surrounding the site once minor improvements to Route 1 were undertaken.

Revision 2

A review of road safety conducted as part of the EIS recommended prioritising the use of Route 1 for product transport and recommended a number of minor improvements to Route 1 to improve the safety for night time haulage, including centre line marking, reflectors and maintenance of existing guard rails at locations along Route 1. Holcim has implemented these recommendations.

6.5.2 Approved Criteria

According to SSD 6422 the site is required to monitor transport in accordance with the following requirements:

<u>Schedule 2, Condition 9:</u> The Applicant will not dispatch more than 73 laden trucks from the site per day, averaged over the total number of dispatch days in any calendar month.

<u>Schedule 3, Condition 23:</u> The Applicant shall keep accurate records of all laden truck movements to and from the site (hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and annually) and publish a summary of records on its website every 6 months.

6.5.3 Key Environmental Performance

Teven Quarry undertook monitoring of truck movements on a daily basis throughout 2017 to ensure compliance with movements and volume requirements discussed above. A copy of these monitoring results has been included in the table below.

Table 16: Average Truck Movements for 2017	Table 16:	Average	Truck	Movements	for	2017
--	-----------	---------	-------	------------------	-----	------

Average Daily Truck Movements											Annual	
Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Average 2017
31	32	63	41	50	42	53	60	47	45	72	69	51

Longterm Trends:

Review of truck transport data for Teven Quarry since 2015 indicates average daily truck movements have not exceeded 73 laden trucks from the site. This is consistent with the EIS predictions.

6.5.4 Management Measures

Traffic and transport impacts are managed in accordance with the specific management strategies, procedures, controls and monitoring programs within the Teven Quarry *Transport Management Plan*.

6.5.5 Proposed Improvements

Truck movements will continue to be monitored and recorded in the oncoming reporting period to ensure that they remain within the approved criteria.

6.6 Biodiversity

6.6.1 EIS Predictions

The 2014 EIS found the Project is unlikely to result in a significant change to the existing noise, dust and water runoff impacts of Teven Quarry, therefore it is considered that any indirect impacts to ecology that occur will be minor and will be consistent with the existing approved impacts. It is unlikely that any minor indirect impacts that do occur would result in a significant impact on the ecological values of the Project Area.

6.6.2 Approved Criteria

There are no specific criteria associated with biodiversity management for the site.

6.6.3 Key Environmental Performance

As there was no additional clearance in 2017, there were no additional impacts to biodiversity. Weed spraying was completed along the internal haul in the Annual Review reporting period.

6.6.4 Management Measures

The ongoing management of the ecological values of the Project area are conducted in accordance with the Teven Quarry *Environmental Management Plan* (EMP) and the *Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan*.

These plans describe the biodiversity management strategies, procedures, controls and monitoring programs implemented at Teven Quarry.

6.6.5 **Proposed Improvements**

Weed spraying will continue at site during the next Annual Review period.

6.7 Heritage (Aboriginal Archaeology and Historic Heritage)

6.7.1 EIS Predictions

6.7.1.1 Aboriginal Archaeology

No known Aboriginal cultural heritage sites occur within or in close proximity to the Teven Quarry Project Area. Given the terrain and history of extensive clearing, grazing and quarrying, the area is considered to have low archaeological potential.

No known items or places of Aboriginal heritage significance are located in or within 50 metres of the Project Area, as such, the potential for impacts on items of Aboriginal cultural heritage is limited to indirect impacts such as from blasting or runoff.

6.7.1.2 Historic Heritage

No known items of historic heritage significance occur within the Teven Quarry Project Area.

No historic heritage sites were found to be located within or in close proximity to the Project Area. The closest heritage item was located approximately three kilometres to the south east in Alstonville, a sufficient distance to not experience or be impacted by indirect impacts associated with the Project.

6.7.2 Approved Criteria

There are no specific criteria associated with heritage relating to the quarry.

6.7.3 Key Environmental Performance

There were no issues relating to Aboriginal and historic heritage during the reporting period.

6.7.4 Management Measures

If during the course of operations, Holcim becomes aware of any previously unknown Aboriginal archaeological material, all works likely to affect the material or site will cease immediately and OEH, relevant Aboriginal stakeholders and a suitably qualified archaeologist will be consulted to determine an appropriate course of action prior to the recommencement of work at the site.

6.7.5 **Proposed Improvements**

As there have been no heritage items located to date, no improvements to management measures are proposed.

6.8 Summary of Environmental Performance

A summary of the performance of environmental management measures and sampling results for 2017 are detailed in **Table 17**.

Aspect	Approval Criteria / EIS Prediction	Performance during 2017 reporting period	Trend / key management implications	Implemented / proposed management actions
Noise	EIS predictions are all below development consent criteria.	Within criteria.	Consistently meets criteria.	None required.
Air Quality	EIS predictions are all below development consent criteria.	Sampling has not been undertaken in accordance with development consent criteria for PM ₁₀ . Depositional dust within levels for the monitoring that was undertaken in 2017, however sampling only commenced in April 2017.	Trend data has not yet been determined.	Improve data collection regarding the PM ₁₀ monitor and depositional dust.
Traffic Management	EIS predictions are all below development consent criteria.	Met the Development Consent Criteria.	Consistently meets criteria.	None required.
Water Management	EIS predictions are all below development consent criteria.	pH monitored at the monitoring/discharge point throughout the 2017 reporting period. Groundwater has not been assessed during this reporting period.	Surface water consistently meets criteria. Groundwater has not been verified during this reporting period.	Groundwater assessment will be undertaken during the 2018 reporting period should above negligible quantities be intercepted in the quarry void.
Biodiversity	No proposed impacts. No development consent criteria.	No issues identified. Minor weed management completed.	No long-term negative trends.	None required.
Heritage	No proposed impacts. No development consent criteria.	No issues identified.	No issues identified.	None required.

 Table 17: Environmental Performance at Teven Quarry in 2017

7 WATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 EIS Predictions

7.1.1 Surface Water

The 2014 EIS stated the Project will not result in any changes to the quarry water management system or associated water management measures. The only potential changes in surface water impacts as a result of the Project are associated with the change in water demands.

7.1.2 Groundwater

The results of the hydrogeological assessment conducted during preparation of the 2014 EIS indicate that the local and regional groundwater table is located below the current and proposed elevation of the Teven Quarry pit floor. The quarry has been extracted to its maximum depth of 4mAHD without any evidence of groundwater inflows. For this reason, the assessment concludes that the Project will have a negligible impact on groundwater levels, groundwater quality, groundwater receptors, groundwater dependent ecosystems and groundwater users in the local area.

7.2 Approved Criteria

Holcim monitor water quality associated with water discharges at the Teven Quarry licensed discharge point in accordance with the requirements of EPL 3293 (provided in **Table 18** and **Table 19**).

Table 18: Water Monitoring Criteria (Teven Quarry EPL)

POINT 1

Pollutant	Units of Measure	50 percentile concentration limit	90 percentile concentration limit	3DGM concentration limit	100 percentile concentration limit
Oil and Grease	milligrams per litre				10
рН	pН				6.5-8.5
Total suspended solids	milligrams per litre				50

Table 19: Discharge Sampling Measurement Requirements (Teven Quarry EPL)

POINT 1

Pollutant	Units of measure	Frequency	Sampling Method
Oil and Grease	milligrams per litre	Yearly during discharge	Grab sample
pH	-	Weekly	No method specified
Total suspended solids	milligrams per litre	Yearly during discharge	Grab sample

In addition to these requirements, the site has been requested by the NSW DPE to undertake an assessment based on the condition below:

Condition 3, Schedule 19

In the event that groundwater in excess of negligible quantities is intersected during extraction activities, the Applicant shall undertake a hydrogeological investigation, in consultation with NOW, to the satisfaction of the Secretary.

The investigation must report on groundwater sources, levels, yield and quality; identify any risks to groundwater users or groundwater dependent ecosystems and propose recommended management measures. The Applicant must implement reasonable and feasible management measures to the satisfaction of the Secretary.

Teven Quarry is currently operating above the groundwater table. No groundwater seepage into the quarry void has been recorded. The quarry will continue to monitor the void for groundwater seepage and a detailed assessment will be undertaken in accordance with Condition 3, Schedule 19 should groundwater in excess of negligible quantities be intercepted.

7.3 Water Usage and Storage

Clean upstream catchment runoff is diverted away from the quarry and conveyed to the canefield drains which flow to Maguire's Creek and Emigrant Creek. Runoff from disturbed areas within the quarry operations are managed within the water management system.

The Teven Quarry water management system has two dams/storages, the Main Dam and the Pit Dam. Runoff within the quarry pit is managed in the primary siltation storage (Pit Dam), from which surplus water is pumped to the main silt retention storage (Main Dam) at the northern end of the quarry. The quarry water management system is designed to maximise sedimentation of pit runoff on site, prior to reuse on site or discharge via the licensed discharge point.

7.4 Surface Water Results

Water quality results for weekly surface water monitoring are provided in **Table 20**.

		Compliance
Date of Sample	Result (Criteria 6.5-8.5)	
09/01/17*	7.2	Within criteria
12/01/17	7.8	Within criteria
19/01/17	7.8	Within criteria
27/01/17	7.4	Within criteria
02/02/17	7.3	Within criteria
09/02/17	7.6	Within criteria
13/02/17	7.8	Within criteria
20/02/17	7.7	Within criteria
01/03/17	7.8	Within criteria
06/03/17*	7.6	Within criteria
20/03/17*	7.4	Within criteria
27/03/17	7.6	Within criteria
03/04/17	7.7	Within criteria
10/04/17	7.6	Within criteria

Table 20: Weekly	v pH Monitoring	a Results at	Teven Quarry	v - 2017
TUDIC LO. HOCKI	y pri morniorni	j nesans a		, 2011

		Compliance
Date of Sample	Result (Criteria 6.5-8.5)	
18/04/17	7.6	Within criteria
24/04/17	7.5	Within criteria
01/05/17	7.7	Within criteria
08/05/17	7.3	Within criteria
16/05/17	7.4	Within criteria
22/05/17*	7.2	Within criteria
06/06/17*	7.8	Within criteria
13/06/17	7.7	Within criteria
20/06/17	7.5	Within criteria
27/06/17	7.5	Within criteria
03/07/17	7.6	Within criteria
10/07/17	7.7	Within criteria
17/07/17	7.7	Within criteria
24/07/17*	7.6	Within criteria
07/08/17*	7.7	Within criteria
14/08/17	7.6	Within criteria
21/8/17	7.4	Within criteria
28/8/17	7.4	Within criteria
04/09/17	7.6	Within criteria
11/09/17	7.7	Within criteria
18/09/17	7.6	Within criteria
25/09/17	7.7	Within criteria
03/10/17	7.8	Within criteria
09/10/17*	7.6	Within criteria
23/10/17*	7.6	Within criteria
30/10/17	7.7	Within criteria
06/11/17	7.6	Within criteria
13/11/17	7.7	Within criteria
20/11/17	7.7	Within criteria
27/11/17	7.8	Within criteria
04/12/17	6.9	Within criteria
11/12/17	7.0	Within criteria
18/12/17	7.7	Within criteria
21/12/17*	7.7	Within criteria

Notes: *Sampling prior to or after a period greater than one week.

Longterm Trends:

Results from 2015 through to 2017 show that water samples taken at Teven Quarry have remained within the relevant EPL criteria.

Comparison to EIS Predictions:

The 2017 surface water results remain consistent with the predictions made in the 2014 EIS.

Compliance:

Monitoring of pH at Teven Quarry has been undertaken to ensure four samples per month. Periods where pH samples were not taken weekly, as prescribed by the Quarry Water Management Plan, are identified in **Table 20**.

7.5 Groundwater Results

Groundwater monitoring was not undertaken during the 2017 reporting period. As per Condition 3, Schedule 19 of the Development Consent, in the event that groundwater in excess of negligible quantities is intersected during extraction activities, Holcim will undertake a hydrogeological investigation, in consultation with DPI Water, to the satisfaction of the Secretary.

There are no groundwater trends or comparison to EIS predictions.

7.6 Water Take

There has been no groundwater take during the Annual Review period.

8 REHABILITATION AND LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT

8.1 Rehabilitation Performance during the Reporting Period

The site is required to undertake biodiversity and rehabilitation in accordance with the requirements in **Table 21.**

Table 21: Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Requirements for Teven Quarry (SSD 6422)

Site (as a whole)	Safe, stable and non-polluting
	 Final landform integrated with surrounding natural landforms as far as is reasonable and feasible, and designed to minimise the visual impacts of the development when viewed from surrounding land Restored with native, endemic vegetation
Surface Infrastructure	 Decommissioned and removed, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise
Quarry Benches	 Landscaped and vegetated using native tree and understorey species
Quarry Pit Floor	 Landscaped and revegetated using native tree and understorey species, above the final anticipated void water level

Note: It is accepted that parts of the site that are progressively rehabilitated may be subject to further disturbance in future.

No rehabilitation was completed in 2017 at the site.

Table 22: Rehabilitation Performance in 2017

Guideline Requirement	Site Comment
Extent of the operations and rehabilitation at completion of the reporting period	There was no rehabilitation completed during the Annual Review period. Operations were completed within the existing quarry footprint.
Agreed post- rehabilitation land use	According to the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, vegetation communities consist of: Mixed Eucalyptus Forest; Brushbox Forest; and Subtropical Rainforest.
Key rehabilitation performance indicators	Key rehabilitation indicators are outlined within Section 7 of the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan.
Renovation or removal of buildings	No building removal during the Annual Review period.
 Any other Rehabilitation Taken including: Exploration activities; Infrastructure; 	There was no rehabilitation completed during the Annual Review period.

Guideline Requirement	Site Comment
 Dams; and The installation or maintenance of fences, bunds and any other works. 	
Any rehabilitation areas which have received formal sign off from DRG	No rehabilitation has received signoff during the Annual Review period.
Variations to activities undertaken to those proposed (including why there were variations and whether DRG was notified)	No rehabilitation completed during the Annual Review period.
Outcomes of trials, research projects and other initiatives	No trials conducted during the Annual Review period.
Key issues that may affect successful rehabilitation	There are several potential issues that can affect rehabilitation including availability of material, seed stock, climatic events and rehabilitation methodology.

8.2 Summary of Current Rehabilitation and Performance

A summary of the rehabilitation and disturbance status is outlined in **Table 23.** Current rehabilitation and disturbance are shown **on Figure 3.**

Quarry Area Type	This Reporting Period (Actual)	Next Reporting Period (Forecast)
	Current AEMR Period (ha)	Next AEMR Period (ha)
A. Total Quarry Footprint ₁	17.1	17.8
B. Total Active Disturbance ₂	17.1	17.8
C. Land Being Prepared for Rehabilitation ₃	0	0
D. Land Under Active Rehabilitation ₄	0	0
E. Completed Rehabilitation ₅	0	0

 Table 23: Rehabilitation and Disturbance Status

1 Total disturbance and rehabilitation.

2 Total disturbance within the Project Approval boundary

3 Rehabilitation that is being shaped in a phase of decommissioning, landform establishment and growth medium development.

4 rehabilitation under a phase of ecosystem and land use establishment or ecosystem and land use sustainability

5 This refers to rehabilitation that has been signed off from the DRG.

At the end of 2017 there was approximately 17.1 Ha of active disturbance. There is proposed to be 0.7 Ha of additional disturbance in 2018 at Teven Quarry. There is no active rehabilitation at Teven Quarry, and none proposed in 2018.



ISISLR63012370_F03_Teven scts-SLR1630-SrvNTL1630-NTL1630, 12370 Holcim Qu

PH: 61 2 4037 320

FIGURE 3

8.3 Actions for the Next Reporting Period

The DPE 2015 Annual Review Guidelines require the Annual Review to outline the rehabilitation actions proposed during the next reporting period. These actions are detailed in **Table 24**.

Table 24:	Rehabilitation	and Closure	Actions fo	or the Next	Reporting	Period
			/			

Requirement	Site Comment
Describe the steps to be undertaken to progress agreement during next reporting period, where final rehabilitation outcomes have not yet been agreed between stakeholders.	A program for progressive rehabilitation will be established.
Outline proposed rehabilitation trials, research projects and other initiatives to be undertaken during next reporting period.	No proposed rehabilitation trials.
Summary of rehabilitation activities proposed for next report period.	All benches will be active next reporting period and hence there will be no area in need of rehabilitation.

9 WASTE MANAGEMENT

9.1 Waste Streams

Waste streams produced at Teven Quarry are categorised as:

- Waste oil, filters, grease cartridges;
- Scrap metal;
- Tyres;
- Office paper and general rubbish;
- Silt (from aggregate washing); and
- Waste water from amenities and office.

9.2 Waste Management

All waste generated by Teven Quarry is managed by way of Council collection services, via licensed waste contractors or onsite treatment. No on-site disposal of general waste occurs. Teven Quarry is committed to reducing, reusing and recycling wastes prior to disposal.

Key components of waste management are:

- All waste oil is collected and stored in containers within a covered and bunded area and is removed from the site by an appropriately licensed contractor;
- All oil filters are separately stored and returned to the manufacturer for reuse by appropriately licensed contractor;
- Scrap metal is deposited into a dedicated skip bin for periodic collection and recycling by an appropriately licenced contractor;
- Diesel fuel is stored within a self-bunded, above-ground tank and all refuelling is undertaken on a hardstand area which drains to an oil/water separator (refer waste oil disposal);
- Silt is captured in on-site silt control structures and is periodically removed and placed/stored in the product stockpile area or overburden materials for use;
- All waste tyres are removed by the supplier of replacement tyres;
- All paper and general waste originating from the office and amenities buildings, as well as
 packaging from routine equipment is placed in the appropriate skips for collection by Council
 or a licensed contractor for disposal/ recycling at an appropriate waste management facility;
 and
- Waste water from amenities is treated and disposed of via an on-site septic tank with absorption trenches/pump out.

10 COMMUNITY

10.1 Community Engagement Activities

Holcim has maintained community engagement measures during the reporting period by undertaking the following activities:

- Maintenance of a website (containing publicly available documents;
- A telephone number, email and postal address (on the website) for community complaints and feedback;
- A copy of the Complaints Register is maintained on the company website; and
- All documents and items displayed on the website are regularly updated by Holcim staff.

10.2 Community Contributions

Holcim has maintained community contributions by sponsoring the following sporting clubs from the local region:

- Red Devils Dolphin Rugby League Club;
- Ballina Seagulis Rugby League Club;
- Lennox Head Football Club (LHFC) and
- Bangalow Soccer Club.

10.3 Complaints

A review of the Holcim Safety, Health & Environment (SHE) reporting database (INX) did not identify any complaints from external stakeholders during the 2017 reporting period.

A copy of the register, as well as all publicly listed information including contacts for locals in the community is available on the Teven Quarry webpage in accordance with the Development Consent requirements (<u>http://www.holcim.com.au/about-us/community-link/teven-quarry-teven-ballina-nsw.html</u>).

11 INDEPENDENT AUDIT

The site undertook an Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) in 2016 in accordance with the timeframes of the Development Consent. All recommendations raised in IEA have been actioned in accordance with the report prepared by GHD Consultants. According to the previous Annual Review, all actions have been closed out.

12 INCIDENTS AND NON-COMPLIANCE

Table 25 summarises the incidents and non - compliances at Teven in 2017.

Date	Incident/Non Compliance					Action	
Throughout the Period	Condition 11, Schedule 3 - SSD 6422 The Applicant shall ensure that all reasonable and feasible avoidance and mitigation measures are employed so that particulate matter emissions generated by the development do not cause exceedances of the criteria in Table 4 at any residence on privately-owned land. Table 4: Air quality criteria						
	Pollutant	Averaging Period	c	riterion		Improve the PM_{10} and depositional dust	
	Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM ₁₀)	Annual	a,d	30 µg/m ³		Development Consent requirements	
	Particulate matter < 10 µm (PM ₁₀)	24 hour	b	50 µg/m³		Development donsent requirements.	
	Total suspended particulates (TSP)	Annual	a,d	90 µg/m ³			
	^c Deposited dust	Annual	^b 2 g/m ² /month	a,d 4 g/m ² /month			
	This condition relates to installation and operation of the PM ₁₀ monitor. Note: the PM ₁₀ monitor has been operational since September 2017.						
Throughout the Period	Condition 14, Schedule 3 - SSD 6422 The Applicant shall prepare and implement an Air Quality Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (d) include an air quality monitoring program that: • is capable of evaluating the performance of the development; • includes a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions of consent; • effectively supports the air quality management system; and				Improve the PM ₁₀ and depositional dust sampling process in 2018 to operate as per the Development Consent requirements.		
Date	Incident/Non Compliance	Action					
-----------------------------------	--	---					
	• evaluates and reports on the adequacy of the air quality management system.						
	This condition relates to the implementation of the air quality monitoring component component of the Air Quality Management Plan. Note: the PM ₁₀ monitor has been operational since September 2017.						
Throughout the Period	Condition 10, Schedule 3 The Applicant shall prepare and implement a Blast Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (d) include community notification procedures for the blasting schedule;	The <i>Blast Management Plan</i> will be updated in 2018 to include the relevant Blasting Protocol.					
Weeks 1, 11, 22, 31, 42 and 52	Condition M2.2 of the EPL – Water and/or Land Monitoring Requirements M2.2 Water and/ or Land Monitoring Requirements POINT 1 Pollutant Units of measure Frequency Sampling Method Oil and Grease milligrams per litre Yearly during discharge Grab sample pH - Weekly No method specified Total suspended milligrams per litre Yearly during discharge Grab sample Weekly monitoring for pH is required at EPL Point 1. Monitoring was completed weekly except weeks: 1, 11, 22, 31, 42 and 52.	Complete pH monitoring as per the weekly frequency.					

13 ACTIVITIES TO BE COMPLETED IN THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

Holcim staff will undertake the following works and improvement measures and projects in 2018 to ensure compliance with the consent and to ensure that effective environmental management controls are in place and operating in accordance with the requirements of the Consent.

Table 26:	Improvement	Actions	for	2018
-----------	-------------	---------	-----	------

Improvement Measure	Activities
Progressive Rehabilitation	The site will continue to progressively rehabilitate available areas
PM ₁₀ and depositional dust monitoring	Improve the PM_{10} and depositional dust sampling process in 2018 to operate as per the Development Consent requirements.
Biodiversity	Weed spraying will continue at site during the next Annual Review period.
Water sampling	Complete all weekly pH sampling during the Annual Review period.
Groundwater Assessment	 <u>Condition 3, Schedule 19</u> In the event that groundwater in excess of negligible quantities is intersected during extraction activities, the Applicant shall undertake a hydrogeological investigation, in consultation with NOW, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The investigation must report on groundwater sources, levels, yield and quality; identify any risks to groundwater users or groundwater dependent ecosystems and propose recommended management measures. The Applicant must implement reasonable and feasible management measures to the satisfaction of the Secretary. Holcim will continue to monitor the quarry void for groundwater seepage to ensure that groundwater quantities remain negligible.

APPENDIX 1 QUARTERLY NOISE RESULTS

Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, March 2017.



Prepared for : VGT Pty Limited (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd) April 2017

Document Information

Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW

March 2017

Prepared for: VGT Pty Limited (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd)

Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Status	Date	Written By	Signed
MAC170439RP1	Final	20 April 2017	Oliver Muller	æ

DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.



CONTENTS

1	I		5
2	1	NOISE CRITERIA	7
3	١	METHODOLOGY	9
	3.1	LOCALITY	9
	3.2	NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS	9
	3.3	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	9
4	F	RESULTS	13
	4.1	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N1	13
	4.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N2	14
	4.3	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N3	15
	4.4	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N4	16
	4.5	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N5	17
5	1	NOISE COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT	19
6	(CONCLUSION	21

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS





1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by VGT Pty Limited (VGT) on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd (Holcim) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Teven Quarry ('the quarry'), Teven, NSW.

The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the Teven Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with relevant conditions outlined in the Development Consent (ref: SSD 6422); at five representative monitoring locations. This assessment has been undertaken during Quarter 1 March 2017 and forms part of the noise monitoring program for the quarry.

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Industrial Noise Policy (INP), 2000;
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment, Development Consent (SSD 6422), 2015; and
- Standards Australia AS 1055.1:1997 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in Appendix A.





2 Noise Criteria

Schedule 3 of the Teven Quarry Development Consent (2015), outlines the applicable noise criteria for residential receivers surrounding the quarry site.

Table 1 reproduces relevant criteria for each of the receivers as outlined in Table 2 of the quarriesDevelopment Consent.

Table 1 Noise Criteria						
	Quarry Operations					
	Period: Day	Period: Evening				
	6am – 10pm	6pm – 10pm				
	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)				
R3, R4, R13, R15, R16, R17, R18, R20	38	35				
All other receivers	37	35				

Note 1: Receiver locations are shown in Figure 1.





3 Methodology

3.1 Locality

The quarry is located in Teven, NSW approximately 7km west of Ballina, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the quarry are primarily rural. The surroundings of the quarry include bushland to the west and farming pastures to the east. The monitoring locations with respect to the quarry are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1**.

3.2 Noise Monitoring Locations

 Table 2 presents the noise monitoring location IDs and relevant coordinates with respect to the nearest corresponding receiver.

Table 2 Monitoring Locations									
Location	Nearest Receiver	Easting	Northing						
N1	R7	546698	6809937						
N2	R3/R4	548771	6810481						
N3	R2	547803	6809032						
N4	R10	547725	6810228						
N5	R3/4	548142	6810308						

It is noted that some noise monitoring locations where unable to be reached due to severe weather and agriculture conditions, however the noise monitoring locations completed during this quarter are representative of those locations that were inaccessible.

3.3 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise surveys were conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Australian Standard AS 1055-1997, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise" in the INP. The measurements were carried out using Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser on Wednesday 29 March 2017. The acoustic instrumentation used carries current NATA calibration and complies with AS IEC 61672.1-2004-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ± 0.5 dBA.



As per the Noise Management Plan, two day and two evening measurements were conducted at each monitoring location. Measurements were of 15 minutes in duration and where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis as to calculate the LAeq(15min) quarry noise contribution for comparison against the relevant noise criteria. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore quarry noise contributions are not applicable.

In the event of quarry attributed noise being above the applicable criteria, prevailing meteorological conditions for the monitoring period were sourced from Ballina airport's meteorological station and analysed in accordance with Appendix E4 of the INP to determine the stability category present at the time of each measured sample.

The meteorological analysis has been completed to determine applicability of results in accordance with Condition 1 of Appendix 5 of the Development Consent. Results obtained during non-prevailing meteorological conditions (ie F Class Stability in conjunction with a 2m/s drainage wind or a G Class Stability) are considered not applicable against the criteria.









KEY NOISE MONITORING LOCATION





4 Results

4.1 Assessment Results - Location N1

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N1 for Wednesday 29 March 2017 are presented in **Table**.

Table 3 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N1						
Dete	Time (hr-)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)		Motoprology	Description and SPL,	
	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meleorology	dBA	
						Birds and insects 36 - 46
					Dir: NE	Aircraft 50 - 76
29/03/2017	9:26	76	54	51	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Quarry Hum 33 - 37
					Rain: Nil	Wind
						Local residential noise
	Tev	ven Quarry	LAeq(15mi	n) Contributi	on	35
					Dir: NE	Wind 36 - 42
29/03/2017	0.13	72 4	17	13	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Birds and insects 33 - 49
25/05/2011	9.40		47	45	Rain: Nil	Quarry Hum 34 - 38
						Traffic 72
	Tev	ven Quarry	LAeq(15mi	n) Contributi	on	36
						Wind <45
					Dir: N	Insects <45
29/03/2017	18:00	69	50	49	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Local residential noise
					Rain: Nil	45 - 69
						Cars 45
	Tev	ven Quarry	LAeq(15mi	n) Contributi	on	N/A
					Dir: N	Wind <46
20/02/2017	19.15	80	54	50	Wind Spood: 2.4 m/c	Insects and birds 46 - 57
29/03/2011	18:15	5 82 54	54	50	VVIIIIa Speed. 3-4 M/S	Aircraft <47
						Cars 46 - 68
	Теч	ven Quarry	LAeq(15mi	n) Contributi	on	N/A



4.2 Assessment Results - Location N2

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N2 for Wednesday 29 March 2017 are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N2							
Dete	Time (hrs)	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)	Matagralagy	Description and SPL,	
Dale	Time (firs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	dBA	
					Dir: N	Traffic 42 - 44	
20/02/2017	10.15	00	6E	60	Wind Speed: 2.2 m/s	Insects <42	
29/03/2017	10.15	90	05	02	Dein: Nil	Birds 42 - 48	
					Rain: Nii	Trucks 45 - 90	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	
					Dir: N	Traffic 42 - 44	
20/02/2017	10.20	90	6E	61	Wind Speed: 2.2 m/s	Insects <45	
29/03/2017	10.30	89 02	05	01	Dein: Nil	Birds 38 - 47	
					Raill. Nii	Trucks 45 - 89	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	
						Birds and insects 55 -	
					Dir: N	59	
29/03/2017	18:47	63	54	52	Wind Speed: 2-3 m/s	Traffic 58 - 60	
					Rain: Nil	Local residential noise	
						56 - 63	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	
					Dir: N	Birds and Insects	
29/03/2017	19:02	60	51	49	Wind Speed: 2-3 m/s	40 - 53	
					Rain: Nil	Traffic 53 - 56	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	



4.3 Assessment Results - Location N3

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening night survey period at location N3 for Wednesday 29 March 2017 are presented in Table 5.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N3									
Dete	Time (bre)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				Description and SPL,			
Dale	nine (nis)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	dBA			
					Dir: NE	Quarry hum 32 - 35			
29/03/2017	10:57	68	44	42	Wind Speed: 2-3 m/s	Wind 34 - 38			
					Rain: Nil	Insects <34			
	Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution								
						Aircraft 39 - 43			
20/02/2017	11.10	68 41	11	40	Wind Spood: 2.2 m/s	Quarry hum 33 - 34			
29/03/2017	11.12		41	40	Rain: Nil	Wind 34 - 38			
						Insects <34			
	Te	even Quarry	/LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	34			
					Dir: N	Insects and birds <43			
20/02/2017	10.01	66	17	45	DIL. N	Wind <43			
29/03/2017	19.21		41	40	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Traffic 43 - 46			
					Rain. Nii	Aircraft 44 - 66			
	Te	even Quarry	/LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A			
					Dir: N	Insects 42 - 46			
29/03/2017	19:36	51	44	43	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Wind <42			
					Rain: Nil	Traffic 43 - 51			
	Te	even Quarry	/LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A			



4.4 Assessment Results - Location N4

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N4 for Wednesday 29 March 2017 are presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N4							
Data	Time (hr-)	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)	Matagralagy	Description and CDL - DA	
	Time (firs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA	
						Birds 34 - 46	
					Dir: N	Insects <36	
29/03/2017	11:40	81	61	59	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Local residential noise <36	
					Rain: Nil	Trucks 34 – 81	
						Quarry hum 36	
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min) Contributio	วท	36	
						Birds 34 – 46	
					Dir: N	Insects <36	
29/03/2017	11:55	78	56	55	Wind Speed: 3-4 m/s	Local residential noise <36	
					Rain: Nil	Trucks 34 –78	
						Quarry hum 36	
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min) Contributio	วท	36	
					Dir: N	Incosts 42 49	
29/03/2017	19:55	53	45	44	Wind Speed: 3-5 m/s	Distort troffic < 44	
					Rain: Nil	Distant tranic <44	
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min) Contributio	on	N/A	
					Dir: N	Insects 42 - 44	
29/03/2017	20:10	58	44	43	Wind Speed: 3-5 m/s	Distant traffic <44	
					Rain: Nil	Wind 44 - 55	
	Tev	N/A					



4.5 Assessment Results - Location N5

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N5 for Wednesday 29 March 2017 are presented in **Table 7**.

Table 7 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N5							
Dete	T:	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)		Description and SPL,	
Date	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	dBA	
						Wind <38	
					Dir: NE	Birds 38 - 52	
29/03/2017	12:16	78	58	56	Wind Speed: 2-3 m/s	Traffic<38	
					Rain: Nil	Trucks 48 - 78	
						Aircraft 48 - 65	
	N/A						
						Wind <38	
29/03/2017	10.01	76 5	FO	EC	Dir: NE Wind Speed: 2-3 m/s Rain: Nil	Birds 38 - 52	
	12.31		90	00		Traffic <38	
						Trucks 48 - 76	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	
					Dir: N	line ante i c 40	
29/03/2017	20:25	66	45	44	Wind Speed: 3-5 m/s	Troffic 44	
					Rain: Nil	Trailic 44 - 66	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	
					Dir: N	Insects <40	
29/03/2017	20:40	50	44	43	Wind Speed: 3-5 m/s	Traffic 40 - 50	
					Rain: Nil	Livestock <40	
	Te	even Quarry	LAeq(15m	nin) Contribu	tion	N/A	





5 Noise Compliance Assessment

The compliance assessment for each residential receiver R2, R3, R7, R10 and R14 are presented in **Table 8** and **Table 9** for day and evening assessment periods.

Table 8 Daytime Noise Compliance Assessment					
Receiver	Monitoring	Quarry Noise Contribution	Quarrying Noise Criteria	Compliant	
No.	Location ID	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	- Compliant	
R2	N1	34	37	\checkmark	
R3	N2	Nil	38	\checkmark	
R7	N3	36	37	\checkmark	
R10	N4	36	37	\checkmark	
R14	N5	Nil	37	\checkmark	

Note: Monday to Saturday; Day 7am to 6pm; Evening 6pm to 10pm; Night 10pm to 7am. On Sundays and Public Holidays, Day 8am to 6pm; Evening 6pm to 10pm; Night 10pm to 8am.

Table 9 Evening Noise Compliance Assessment					
Receiver	Monitoring	Quarry Noise Contribution	Quarrying Noise Criteria	Compliant	
No.	Location ID	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	Compliant	
R2	N1	Nil	37	\checkmark	
R3	N2	Nil	38	\checkmark	
R7	N3	Nil	37	\checkmark	
R10	N4	Nil	37	\checkmark	
R14	N5	Nil	37	\checkmark	

Note: Monday to Saturday; Day 7am to 6pm; Evening 6pm to 10pm; Night 10pm to 7am. On Sundays and Public Holidays, Day 8am to 6pm; Evening 6pm to 10pm; Night 10pm to 8am.





6 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment for VGT Pty Ltd on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd at the Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW. The assessment was completed to assess the quarry's compliance with the relevant criteria outlined in their Development Consent for relevant surrounding residential receivers.

Attended noise monitoring was undertaken on 29 March 2017 at several representative monitoring locations, quarry noise contributions were compared against the relevant criteria. The assessment has identified that noise emissions generated by Teven Quarry comply with relevant statutory noise criteria specified in the conditions of consent at all assessed residential receivers.





Appendix A - Glossary of Terms



Table A1 provides a number of technical terms have been used in this report.

Table 1A Glossary of Terms			
Term	Description		
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts		
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being twice		
	the lower frequency limit.		
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the INP as a single figure background level for		
	each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured LA90		
	statistical noise levels.		
Adverse Weather	Weather effects that enhance noise (that is, wind and temperature inversions) that occur at a site		
	for a significant period of time (that is, wind occurring more than 30% of the time in any		
	assessment period in any season and/or temperature inversions occurring more than 30% of the		
	nights in winter).		
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many		
	sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.		
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human		
	ear to noise.		
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise, the		
	most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the frequency		
	response of the human ear.		
dB(Z), dB(L)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.		
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second		
	equals 1 hertz.		
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average of		
	maximum noise levels.		
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 % of the time.		
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a		
	source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.		
LAmax	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone during a		
	measuring interval.		
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing		
	each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the		
	intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.		
Sound power level (LW)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a		
	fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a		
	measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by :		
	= 10.log10 (W/Wo)		
	Where : W is the sound power in watts and Wo is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.		



Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA			
Source	Typical Sound Level		
Threshold of pain	140		
Jet engine	130		
Hydraulic hammer	120		
Chainsaw	110		
Industrial workshop	100		
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90		
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80		
Elevated speech	70		
Typical conversation	60		
Ambient suburban environment	40		
Ambient rural environment	30		
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20		
Threshold of hearing	0		

Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.









Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com



Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, June 2017



Prepared for : VGT Pty Ltd (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd) July 2017

Document Information

Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW

June 2017

Prepared for: VGT Pty Limited (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd)

Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Status	Date	Written By	Signed
MAC170439RP2	Final	6 July 2017	Oliver Muller	QL_

DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.



CONTENTS

1	١١	ITRODUCTION	5
2	N	OISE CRITERIA	7
3	N	IETHODOLOGY	9
	3.1	LOCALITY	9
	3.2	NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS	9
	3.3	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	9
4	R	ESULTS	11
	4.1	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N1	11
	4.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N2	12
	4.3	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N3	13
	4.4	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N4	14
	4.5	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N5	15
5	N	OISE COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT	17
6	D	ISCUSSION	19
	6.1	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N1	19
	6.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N2	19
	6.3	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N3	19
	6.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N4	19
	6.5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N5	20
7	С	ONCLUSION	21

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS





1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by VGT Pty Limited (VGT) on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd (Holcim) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Teven Quarry ('the quarry'), Teven, NSW.

The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the Teven Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with relevant conditions outlined in the Development Consent (ref: SSD 6422); at five representative monitoring locations. This assessment has been undertaken during Quarter 2, June 2017, and forms part of the noise monitoring program for the quarry.

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Industrial Noise Policy (INP), 2000;
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment, Development Consent (SSD 6422), 2015; and
- Standards Australia AS 1055.1:1997 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in Appendix A.




2 Noise Criteria

Schedule 3 of the Teven Quarry Development Consent (2015), outlines the applicable noise criteria for residential receivers surrounding the quarry site.

Table 1 reproduces relevant criteria for each of the receivers as outlined in Table 2 of the quarry'sDevelopment Consent.

Table 1 Noise Criteria				
	Quarry Operations			
	Period: Day	Period: Evening		
Location -	7am – 6pm	6pm – 10pm		
	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)		
R3, R4, R13, R15, R16, R17, R18, R20	38	35		
All other receivers	37	35		

Note 1: Receiver locations are shown in Figure 1.





3 Methodology

3.1 Locality

The quarry is located in Teven, NSW approximately 7km west of Ballina, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the quarry are primarily rural. The surroundings of the quarry include bushland and farming pastures. The monitoring locations with respect to the quarry are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1.**

3.2 Noise Monitoring Locations

 Table 2 presents details of monitoring locations, representative to receiver locations.

Table 2 Monitoring Locations					
Location	Nearest Receiver	Easting	Northing		
N1	R7	547017	6810098		
N2	R3/R4	548877	6810290		
N3	R2	548642	6810801		
N4	R10	547729	6810226		
N5	R15	547793	6808998		

3.3 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise surveys were conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Australian Standard AS 1055-1997, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise in the INP. The measurements were carried out using Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser on Monday 19 June 2017. The acoustic instrumentation used carries current NATA calibration and complies with AS IEC 61672.1-2004-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ± 0.5 dBA.

As per the Noise Management Plan, two day and two evening measurements were conducted at each monitoring location. Measurements were of 15 minutes in duration and where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis as to calculate the LAeq(15min) quarry noise contribution for comparison against the relevant noise criteria. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore quarry noise contributions are not applicable.





FIGURE 1 LOCALITY PLAN REF: MAC170439

0 150m





4 Results

4.1 Assessment Results - Location N1

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N1 for Monday 19 June 2017 are presented in **Table 3**.

Table 3 Ope	Table 3 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N1					
Dete	Time (bra)	Descript	or (dBA re	e 20 µPa)		Description and SDL dDA
Dale	Time (firs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
					Dir: S	Birds 43-56
19/06/17	09:41	69	56	50	Wind Speed: 3 m/s	Wind in trees 38-46
_					Rain: Nil	Local traffic 45-69
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contribution		NA
					Dir: S	Wind in trees 44-47
10/06/17	00.26	09:56 65 5	53	48	Wind Speed: 3 m/s	Birds 46-61
19/00/17 09.	09.00		55	40	Poin: Nil	Local residential noise 41-49
						Local & distant traffic 31-64
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contribution		NA
					Dir: S	Wind in trees 48-56
19/06/17	18:01	66	53	48	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Insects <30
					Rain: Nil	Local & distant traffic 50-65
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contribution		NA
					Dir: S	Aircraft 49-61
19/06/17	18:16	65	54	47	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Wind in trees 36-55
					Rain: Nil	Insects <30
	Teve	NA				



4.2 Assessment Results - Location N2

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N2 for Monday 19 June 2017 are presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N2						
Dete	Time (bre)	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)	Mataaralagy	Description and SDL dDA
Dale	Time (fills)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
					Dir: S	Local traffic 46-83
19/06/17	12:27	87	66	49	Wind Speed: 3 m/s	Birds 48-57
					Rain: Nil	Wind in trees 41-56
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior	1	NA
					Dir: S	Local traffic 52-80
19/06/17	12:42	86	63	46	Wind Speed: 3 m/s	Wind in trees 41-58
					Rain: Nil	Local residential noise 47-65
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior	1	NA
						Wind in trees 46-54
					Dir: S	Insects <30
19/06/17	18:45	84	54	44	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Birds 46-55
					Rain: Nil	Local traffic 44-82
						Aircraft 44-64
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior	1	NA
					Dir: S	Wind in trees 38-46
19/06/17	19:00	83	58	45	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Insects <30
					Rain: Nil	Local & distant traffic 50-82
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior	1	NA



4.3 Assessment Results - Location N3

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening night survey period at location N3 for Monday 19 June 2017 are presented in **Table 5**.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N3						
Dete	Time (bre)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			Motoorology	
Date	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
						Wind in trees 41-52
					Dir: S	Distant traffic 31-34
19/06/17	10:39	66	48	44	Wind Speed: 2 m/s	Insects <30
					Rain: Nil	Birds 48-62
						Aircraft 51-61
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior	ı	NA
					Dir: S	Distant traffic <35
10/06/17	11.15	72 5	50	50 46	Wind Speed: 2 m/s	Birds 46-65
19/00/17 11.15	11.15		30		Rain: Nil	Aircraft 43-65
					Ran. Nii	Wind in trees 41-58
	Teve	n Quarry LA	Aeq(15min)	Contributior	n	NA
		19:29 70	53		Dir: SW	Wind in trees 39-61
19/06/17	19:29			45	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Insects <35
					Rain: Nil	Distant traffic <35
	Teve	n Quarry LA	Aeq(15min)	Contributior	n	NA
						Wind in trees 39-46
					Dir: SW	Insects <30
19/06/17	19:44	80	55	48	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Distant traffic <35
					Rain: Nil	Birds 49-55
						Aircraft 52-76
	Teve	NA				



4.4 Assessment Results - Location N4

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N4 for Monday 19 June 2017 are presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N4						
Dete	Time = (h.m.)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				
Date	time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meleorology	Description and SPL, dBA
						Wind in trees 38-46
					Dir: S	Birds 42-52
19/06/17	11:51	80	61	49	Wind Speed: 3 m/s	Quarry hum 32-36
					Rain: Nil	Local traffic 48-80
						Aircraft 46-78
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution						34
					Dir: 9	Wind in trees 48-58
40/00/47 40.00	01	60	40		Birds 45-56	
19/06/17	12.00	01	00	49	Wind Speed. 3 m/s	Quarry hum 32-34
					Ram. Nii	Local traffic 32-81
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min)) Contributio	n	33
					Dir: SW	Wind in trees 41-56
19/06/17	20:07	75	46	40	Wind Speed: 2 m/s	Insects <30
					Rain: Nil	Distant traffic 32-34
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min) Contributio	n	NA
					Dir: SW	Wind in trees 41-59
19/06/17	20:22	69	49	42	Wind Speed: 2 m/s	Insects <30
					Rain: Nil	Distant traffic <35
	Tev	NA				



4.5 Assessment Results - Location N5

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N5 for Monday 19 June 2017 are presented in **Table 7**.

Table 7 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N5						
Data	Time (bre)	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)	Motoorology	
Dale	nine (nis)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
					Dir: S	Birds 56-61
10/06/17	12.50	83	62	48	Wind Speed: 8 m/s	Wind in trees 38-52
19/00/11	12.55	00	02	40	Poin: Nil	Birds 41-46
					Naill. Nii	Local & distant traffic 46-81
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior	1	NA
					Dir: S	Birds 46-52
19/06/17	13:14	88 6	67	67 56	Wind Speed: 8 m/s	Wind in trees 46-61
					Rain: Nil	Local & distant traffic 46-84
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior)	NA
					Dir: S	Insects <30
19/06/17	20:39	71	52	44	Wind Speed: 4 m/s	Wind in trees 39-68
					Rain: Nil	Running water (Creek) 36-40
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributior)	NA
					Dir: S	Insects 18-21
10/06/17	20.55	Q1	57	11	Wind Spood: 4 m/s	Wind in trees 39-58
19/00/17	20.00	81 57	57	41	Rein: Nil	Running water (Creek) 36-40
					Kalli. Nii	Local traffic 42-80
	Teve	NA				





5 Noise Compliance Assessment

The compliance assessment for each residential receiver R2, R3, R7, R10 and R15 are presented in **Table 8** and **Table 9** for day and evening assessment periods.

Table 8 Daytime Noise Compliance Assessment					
	Monitoring	Quarry Noise	Quarry Noise Criteria		
Receiver No.	Locations -	Contribution	Quarry Noise Omena	Compliant	
		LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)		
R2	N3	Nil	37	\checkmark	
R3/R4	N2	Nil	38	\checkmark	
R7	N1	Nil	37	\checkmark	
R10	N4	34	37	\checkmark	
R15	N5	Nil	38	\checkmark	

Table 9 Evening Noise Co	ompliance Assessment
--------------------------	----------------------

Receiver No.	Monitoring	Quarry Noise Contribution	Quarry Noise Criteria	Compliant
		LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	
R2	N3	Nil	35	\checkmark
R3/R4	N2	Nil	35	\checkmark
R7	N1	Nil	35	\checkmark
R10	N4	Nil	35	\checkmark
R15	N5	Nil	35	\checkmark





6 Discussion

6.1 Discussion of Results - Location N1

Monitoring on 19 June 2017 identified that Teven Quarry noise was inaudible on all four occasions, and therefore satisfied the daytime noise limits of 37dBA. It is noted that Holcim Teven was not operational during the evening period although background measurements were undertaken for completeness and as per the EPL. Extraneous sources audible during the four attended surveys included birds, wind in trees, insects, aircraft, local residential noise, local and residential traffic.

6.2 Discussion of Results - Location N2

Monitoring results for N2 during the June 2017 quarter were dominated by local traffic that was mostly constant during all four attended measurements. Quarry emissions were inaudible on all four occasions, therefore satisfying the relevant daytime noise limit of 38dBA. The quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore satisfying the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Extraneous sources measured include local traffic, birds, wind in trees, local residential noise, insects, birds (ducks), aircraft and distant traffic.

6.3 Discussion of Results - Location N3

Quarry noise was inaudible on all four occasions during the June 2017 survey period satisfying the daytime criteria of 37dBA. Teven quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore satisfying the evening criteria of 35dBA. Non-mining noise sources included birds, distant highway traffic, insects, aircraft and wind in trees.

6.4 Discussion of Results - Location N4

Quarry noise emissions were audible during both daytime attended noise surveys at N4 for the June 2017 quarter. The relevant daytime noise limits of 37dBA were satisfied as Holcim emissions ranged from 33dBA to 34dBA at this monitoring location. It is noted that Teven Quarry was not operational during the evening period, therefore satisfying the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Local traffic was the dominant source at this receiver with other non-quarrying sources including wind in trees, birds, aircraft, insects and distant traffic all audible throughout the four attended measurements.



6.5 Discussion of Results - Location N5

Holcim Quarry hum was inaudible on all four occasions throughout the June 2017 monitoring quarter at N5. Therefore, quarry emissions satisfied the relevant daytime noise limit of 38dBA It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period and therefore satisfied the evening noise limits 35dBA. Local traffic was the dominant source at this receiver with other non-quarrying sources including wind in trees, birds (ducks), distant traffic, insects, and water flow noise from the nearby creek all audible during the June 2017 quarter.



7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment for VGT Pty Ltd on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd at the Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW. The assessment was completed to assess the quarry's compliance with the relevant criteria outlined in their Conditions of Consent for relevant surrounding residential receivers. It is again reaffirmed that the quarry was not operational during the evening period on 19 June 2017 although measurements were completed as per the EPL which is considered a comprehensive assessment approach.

Attended noise monitoring was undertaken on 19 June 2017 at available representative monitoring locations, quarry noise contributions were compared against the relevant criteria. The assessment has identified that noise emissions generated by Teven Quarry comply with relevant statutory noise criteria specified in the Conditions of Consent at all assessed residential receivers.





Appendix A - Glossary of Terms



 Table A1 provides a number of technical terms have been used in this report.

Table 1A Glossary of Terms				
Term	Description			
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts			
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being twice			
	the lower frequency limit.			
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the INP as a single figure background level for			
	each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured LA90			
	statistical noise levels.			
Adverse Weather	Weather effects that enhance noise (that is, wind and temperature inversions) that occur at a site			
	for a significant period of time (that is, wind occurring more than 30% of the time in any			
	assessment period in any season and/or temperature inversions occurring more than 30% of the			
	nights in winter).			
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many			
	sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.			
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human			
	ear to noise.			
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise, the			
	most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the frequency			
	response of the human ear.			
dB(Z), dB(L)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.			
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second			
	equals 1 hertz.			
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average of			
	maximum noise levels.			
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 % of the time.			
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a			
	source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.			
LAmax	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone during a			
	measuring interval.			
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing			
	each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the			
	intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.			
Sound power level (LW)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a			
	fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a			
	measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by :			
	= 10.log10 (W/Wo)			
	Where : W is the sound power in watts and Wo is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.			



Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA			
Source	Typical Sound Level		
Threshold of pain	140		
Jet engine	130		
Hydraulic hammer	120		
Chainsaw	110		
Industrial workshop	100		
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90		
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80		
Elevated speech	70		
Typical conversation	60		
Ambient suburban environment	40		
Ambient rural environment	30		
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20		
Threshold of hearing	0		

 Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.







Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com



Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, September 2017



Prepared for : VGT Pty Ltd (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd) October 2017

Document Information

Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW

September 2017

Prepared for: VGT Pty Limited (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd)

Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Status	Date	Prepared By	Signed
MAC170439RP3	Final	16 October 2017	Oliver Muller	æ

DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.



CONTENTS

1	11	NTRODUCTION	5
2	Ν	IOISE CRITERIA	7
3	N	IETHODOLOGY	9
	3.1	LOCALITY	9
	3.2	NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS	9
	3.3	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	9
4	F	ESULTS	11
	4.1	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N1	11
	4.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N2	12
	4.3	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N3	13
	4.4	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N4	14
	4.5	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N5	15
5	Ν	IOISE COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT	17
6	C	DISCUSSION	19
	6.1	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N1	19
	6.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N2	19
	6.3	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N3	19
	6.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N4	19
	6.5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N5	20
7	C	ONCLUSION	21

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS





1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by VGT Pty Limited (VGT) on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd (Holcim) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Teven Quarry ('the quarry'), Teven, NSW.

The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the Teven Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with relevant conditions outlined in the Development Consent (ref: SSD 6422); at five representative monitoring locations. This assessment has been undertaken during Quarter 3, September 2017, and forms part of the noise monitoring program for the quarry.

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Industrial Noise Policy (INP), 2000;
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment, Development Consent (SSD 6422), 2015; and
- Standards Australia AS 1055.1:1997 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in Appendix A.





2 Noise Criteria

Schedule 3 of the Teven Quarry Development Consent (2015), outlines the applicable noise criteria for residential receivers surrounding the quarry site.

Table 1 reproduces relevant criteria for each of the receivers as outlined in Table 2 of the quarry'sDevelopment Consent.

Table 1 Noise Criteria								
	Quarry Operations							
	Period: Day	Period: Evening						
	7am – 6pm	6pm – 10pm						
	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)						
R3, R4, R13, R15, R16, R17, R18, R20	38	35						
All other receivers	37	35						

Note 1: Receiver locations are shown in Figure 1.





3 Methodology

3.1 Locality

The quarry is located in Teven, NSW approximately 7km west of Ballina, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the quarry are primarily rural. The surroundings of the quarry include bushland and farming pastures. The monitoring locations with respect to the quarry are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1**.

3.2 Noise Monitoring Locations

 Table 2 presents details of monitoring locations, representative to receiver locations.

Table 2 Monitoring Locations									
Location	Nearest Receiver	Easting	Northing						
N1	R7	547017	6810098						
N2	R3/R4	548877	6810290						
N3	R2	548642	6810801						
N4	R10	547729	6810226						
N5	R15	547793	6808998						

3.3 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise surveys were conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Australian Standard AS 1055-1997, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise in the INP. The measurements were carried out using Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser on Wednesday 20 September 2017 and Thursday 21 September 2017. The acoustic instrumentation used carries current NATA calibration and complies with AS IEC 61672.1-2004-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ±0.5dBA.

As per the Noise Management Plan, two day and two evening measurements were conducted at each monitoring location. Measurements were of 15 minutes in duration and where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis as to calculate the LAeq(15min) quarry noise contribution for comparison against the relevant noise criteria. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore quarry noise contributions are not applicable.





FIGURE 1 LOCALITY PLAN REF: MAC170439

0 150m





4 Results

4.1 Assessment Results - Location N1

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N1 for Wednesday 20 September 2017 and Thursday 21 September 2017 are presented in **Table 3**.

Table 3 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N1						
		Descriptor (dBA re 20 μPa)				
Dale	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
	10.10				Dir: S	Insects 30-41
20/09/17	18:16	54	36	31	Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s	Wind in trees <39
	(Evening)				Rain: Nil	Distant traffic <39
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
	10.21				Dir: S	Insects 28-36
20/09/17	(Evening)	55	38	32	Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s	Distant traffic <28
	(Evening)				Rain: Nil	Aircraft 34-52
	Teve	n	Quarry Inaudible			
	07:36 (Day)			07		Wind in trees 36-42
			54		Dir: NE Wind Speed: 0.5 m/s Rain: Nil	Birds 38-42
01/00/17		00				Distant traffic <36
21/09/17		82		31		Aircraft 38-46
						Dog bark <38
						Local traffic 40-81
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
						Birds 33-56
	07.51				Dir: NE	Wind in trees 34-38
21/09/17	(D)	77	51	33	Wind Speed: 0.5 m/s	Local residential noise 44-62
	(Day)				Rain: Nil	Local traffic 38-61
						Aircraft 38-46
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible				



4.2 Assessment Results - Location N2

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N2 for Wednesday 20 September 2017 and Thursday 21 September 2017 are presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N2						
Dete	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				
Dale	Time (firs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	wieteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
	10.50				Dir: S	Local traffic 36-82
20/09/17	06:01	86	62	34	Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s	Insects <36
	(Evening)				Rain: Nil	Birds 36-40
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible				
				38		Insects <34
	19:11 (Evening)		50		Dir: S	Local residential noise 38-63
20/09/17		73			Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s	Aircraft 36-62
					Rain: Nil	Distant traffic 32-36
						Local traffic 39-64
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible				
	08:18 (Day)				Dir: E	Birds 40-54
21/09/17		88	67	41	Wind Speed: 1.0 m/s	Local traffic 40-84
					Rain: Nil	Wind in trees <40
	Teve	n Quarry LA	Aeq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
	08.22				Dir: E	Birds 37-47
21/09/17	08:33 (Day)	89	67	40	Wind Speed: 1.0 m/s	Local traffic 38-81
					Rain: Nil	Wind in trees <37
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible				



4.3 Assessment Results - Location N3

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N3 for Wednesday 20 September 2017 and Thursday 21 September 2017 are presented in **Table 5**.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N3						
Dete	Time (hre)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				
Date	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	- Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
20/09/17	19:28 (Evening)	53	36	33	Dir: S Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s Rain: Nil	Insects 28-34 Distant traffic <34 Aircraft 38-52 Birds 37-53
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
	10.44				Dir: S	Insects <32
20/09/17	(Evening)	44	36	33	Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s	Distant traffic 32-38
					Rain: Nil	Local traffic 38-42
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributic	n	Quarry Inaudible
						Quarry hum <34
01/00/17	08:55	60	40	36	DIL INE	BIRUS 42-00
21/09/17	(Day)	62			wind Speed: 0.5 m/s	Insects < 34
					Rain: Nil	Wind in grass 35-42
						Aircraft 45-52
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	<34
						Birds 39-61
	09.10				Dir: NE	Quarry hum <34
21/09/17	(Dav)	62	43	35	Wind Speed: 1.0 m/s	Insects <34
	(Day)				Rain: Nil	Aircraft 36-59
						Wind in grass 33-42
	Teve	<34				



4.4 Assessment Results - Location N4

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N4 for Wednesday 20 September 2017 and Thursday 21 September 2017 are presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N4									
Data	Time - (h.m.)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)							
Date	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA			
20/09/17	20:03 (Evening)	60	36	34	Dir: S Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s Rain: Nil	Insects <28 Distant traffic 28-36			
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min)) Contributio	on	Quarry Inaudible			
20/09/17	20:18 (Evening)	56	36	34	Dir: S Wind Speed: 0.1 m/s Rain: Nil	Insects <28 Distant traffic 32-34 Local traffic 32-46			
	Quarry Inaudible								
21/09/17	09:32 (Day)	89	67	40	Dir: NE Wind Speed: 1.2 m/s Rain: Nil	Quarry hum <36 Local traffic 39-88 Insects <36 Birds 36-54 Wind in grass<40 Aircraft 55-64			
	Tev	en Quarry L	Aeq(15min)) Contributio	on	<36			
21/09/17	09:47 (Day)	91	66	40	Dir: NE Wind Speed: 1.5 m/s Rain: Nil	Local traffic 39-91 Quarry hum <34 Birds 39-54 Insects <39 Wind in trees 36-42			
	Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution								



4.5 Assessment Results - Location N5

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N5 for Wednesday 20 September 2017 and Thursday 21 September 2017 are presented in **Table 7**.

Table 7 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N5							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				Description and CDL dDA	
	rime (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA	
20/09/17	20:35 (Evening)	63	38	35	Dir: S Wind Speed: 0.3 m/s	Distant traffic 35-40 Insects <34	
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible	
20/09/17	20:51 (Evening)	76	49	35	Dir: S Wind Speed: 0.5 m/s Rain: Nil	Insects <32 Distant traffic 32-40 Local traffic 38-75 Ducks 36-40	
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible					
21/09/17	10:06 (Day)	86	59	36	Dir: N Wind Speed: 1.0 m/s Rain: Nil	Insects <34 Aircrafts 42-56 Local traffic 47-86 Birds 48-52 Wind in trees <46	
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible	
21/09/17	10:21 (Day)	88	63	36	Dir: N Wind Speed: 0.5 m/s Rain: Nil	Local traffic 48-88 Insects <33 Birds 48-72 Wind in trees 39-48	
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible					




5 Noise Compliance Assessment

The compliance assessment for each residential receiver R2, R3/R4, R7, R10 and R15 are presented in **Table 8** and **Table 9** for day and evening assessment periods.

Table 8 Daytime Noise Compliance Assessment									
Receiver No.	Monitoring	Quarry Noise	Quarry Noise Criteria	Compliant					
	Locations —	Contribution	Quarry Noise Ontena						
		LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)						
R2	N3	<34	37	\checkmark					
R3/R4	N2	Nil	38	\checkmark					
R7	N1	Nil	37	\checkmark					
R10	N4	<36	37	\checkmark					
R15	N5	Nil	38	\checkmark					

Receiver No.	Monitoring	Quarry Noise Contribution	Quarry Noise Criteria	Compliant
	Locations -	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	
R2	N3	Nil	35	\checkmark
R3/R4	N2	Nil	35	\checkmark
R7	N1	Nil	35	\checkmark
R10	N4	Nil	35	\checkmark
R15	N5	Nil	35	\checkmark





6 Discussion

6.1 Discussion of Results - Location N1

Monitoring on 20 September 2017 and 21 September 2017 identified that Teven Quarry noise was inaudible on all four occasions, and therefore satisfied the daytime noise limits of 37dBA. It is noted that Holcim Teven was not operational during the evening period however background measurements were undertaken for completeness and as per the EPL. Extraneous sources audible during the four attended surveys included birds, wind in trees, insects, aircrafts, dog bark, local residential noise, distant and residential traffic.

6.2 Discussion of Results - Location N2

Monitoring results for N2 during the September 2017 quarter were dominated by local traffic that was mostly constant during all four attended measurements. Quarry emissions were inaudible on all four occasions, therefore satisfying the relevant daytime noise limit of 38dBA. The quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore satisfying the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Extraneous sources measured include local traffic, birds, wind in trees, local residential noise, insects, birds, aircraft and distant traffic.

6.3 Discussion of Results - Location N3

Quarry noise was audible on two of four occasions during the September 2017 survey period with contributions of <34dBA on both occasions, therefore satisfying the daytime criteria of 37dBA. Teven quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore satisfying the evening criteria of 35dBA. Non-quarrying noise sources included birds, wind in grass, insects, aircrafts, distant and local traffic.

6.4 Discussion of Results - Location N4

Quarry noise emissions were audible during both daytime attended noise surveys at N4 for the September 2017 quarter. The relevant daytime noise limits of 37dBA were satisfied as Holcim emissions ranged from <34dBA to <36dBA at this monitoring location. It is noted that Teven Quarry was not operational during the evening period, therefore satisfying the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Local traffic was the dominant source at this receiver with other non-quarrying sources including wind in grass and trees, birds, aircraft, insects and distant traffic all audible throughout the four attended measurements.



6.5 Discussion of Results - Location N5

Holcim Quarry was inaudible on all four monitoring occasions throughout the September 2017 monitoring quarter at N5. Therefore, quarry emissions satisfied the relevant daytime noise limit of 38dBA It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period and therefore satisfied the evening noise limits 35dBA. Local traffic was the dominant source at this receiver with other non-quarrying sources including wind in trees, birds (ducks), distant traffic, insects, and aircraft noise all audible during the September 2017 quarter.



7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment for VGT Pty Ltd on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd at the Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW. The assessment was completed to assess the quarry's compliance with the relevant criteria outlined in their Conditions of Consent for relevant surrounding residential receivers. It is again reaffirmed that the quarry was not operational during the evening period on 20 September 2017 although measurements were completed as per the EPL which is considered a comprehensive assessment approach.

Attended noise measurements were undertaken on both 20 September 2017 and 21 September 2017 at representative monitoring locations, quarry noise contributions were compared against the relevant criteria. The assessment has identified that noise emissions generated by Teven Quarry comply with relevant statutory noise criteria specified in the Conditions of Consent at all assessed residential receivers.





Appendix A - Glossary of Terms



 Table A1 provides a number of technical terms have been used in this report.

Table 1A Glossary of Terms						
Term	Description					
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts					
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being twice					
	the lower frequency limit.					
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the INP as a single figure background level for					
	each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured LA90					
	statistical noise levels.					
Adverse Weather	Weather effects that enhance noise (that is, wind and temperature inversions) that occur at a site					
	for a significant period of time (that is, wind occurring more than 30% of the time in any					
	assessment period in any season and/or temperature inversions occurring more than 30% of the					
	nights in winter).					
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many					
	sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.					
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human					
	ear to noise.					
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise, the					
	most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the frequency					
	response of the human ear.					
dB(Z), dB(L)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.					
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second					
	equals 1 hertz.					
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average of					
	maximum noise levels.					
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 % of the time.					
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a					
	source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.					
LAmax	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone during a					
	measuring interval.					
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing					
	each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the					
	intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.					
Sound power level (LW)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a					
	fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a					
	measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by :					
	= 10.log10 (W/Wo)					
	Where : W is the sound power in watts and Wo is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.					



Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA					
Source	Typical Sound Level				
Threshold of pain	140				
Jet engine	130				
Hydraulic hammer	120				
Chainsaw	110				
Industrial workshop	100				
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90				
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80				
Elevated speech	70				
Typical conversation	60				
Ambient suburban environment	40				
Ambient rural environment	30				
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20				
Threshold of hearing	0				

 Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.







Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com



Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, December 2017



Prepared for : VGT Pty Ltd (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd) December 2017

Document Information

Quarterly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW

December 2017

Prepared for: VGT Pty Limited (on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd)

Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Status	Date	Prepared By	Signed
MAC170439RP4	Final	22 December 2017	Oliver Muller	æ

DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.



CONTENTS

1	١١	ITRODUCTION	5
2	N	OISE CRITERIA	7
3	N	IETHODOLOGY	9
	3.1	LOCALITY	9
	3.2	NOISE MONITORING LOCATIONS	9
	3.3	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	9
4	R	ESULTS	11
	4.1	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N1	11
	4.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N2	12
	4.3	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N3	13
	4.4	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N4	14
	4.5	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION N5	15
5	N	OISE COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT	17
6	D	ISCUSSION	19
	6.1	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N1	19
	6.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N2	19
	6.3	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N3	19
	6.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N4	19
	6.5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION N5	20
7	С	ONCLUSION	21

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS





1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by VGT Pty Limited (VGT) on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd (Holcim) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Teven Quarry ('the quarry'), Teven, NSW.

The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the Teven Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with relevant conditions outlined in the Development Consent (ref: SSD 6422); at five representative monitoring locations. This assessment has been undertaken during Quarter 4, December 2017, and forms part of the noise monitoring program for the quarry.

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Noise Policy for Industry (NPI), 2017;
- NSW Department of Planning and Environment, Development Consent (SSD 6422), 2015; and
- Standards Australia AS 1055.1:1997 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in Appendix A.





2 Noise Criteria

Schedule 3 of the Teven Quarry Development Consent (2015), outlines the applicable noise criteria for residential receivers surrounding the quarry site.

Table 1 reproduces relevant criteria for each of the receivers as outlined in Table 2 of the quarry'sDevelopment Consent.

Table 1 Noise Criteria								
	Quarry	Operations						
	Period: Day	Period: Evening						
	7am – 6pm	6pm – 10pm						
	dBA, LAeq(15min)	dBA, LAeq(15min)						
R3, R4, R13, R15, R16, R17, R18, R20	38	35						
All other receivers	37	35						

Note 1: Receiver locations are shown in Figure 1.





3 Methodology

3.1 Locality

The quarry is located in Teven, NSW approximately 7km west of Ballina, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the quarry are primarily rural. The surroundings of the quarry include bushland and farming pastures. The monitoring locations with respect to the quarry are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1.**

3.2 Noise Monitoring Locations

 Table 2 presents details of monitoring locations, representative to receiver locations.

Table 2 Monitoring Locations								
Location	Nearest Receiver	Easting	Northing					
N1	R7	547017	6810098					
N2	R3/R4	548877	6810290					
N3	R2	548642	6810801					
N4	R10	547729	6810226					
N5	R15	547793	6808998					

3.3 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise surveys were conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Australian Standard AS 1055-1997, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise and the NPI. The measurements were carried out using Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser on Thursday 14 December 2017. The acoustic instrumentation used carries current NATA calibration and complies with AS IEC 61672.1-2004-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ± 0.5 dBA.

As per the Noise Management Plan, two day and two evening measurements were conducted at each monitoring location. Measurements were of 15 minutes in duration and where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis as to calculate the LAeq(15min) quarry noise contribution for comparison against the relevant noise criteria. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore quarry noise contributions are not applicable.





FIGURE 1 LOCALITY PLAN REF: MAC170439

0 150m





4 Results

4.1 Assessment Results - Location N1

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N1 for Thursday 14 December 2017 are presented in **Table 3**.

Table 3 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N1						
Dete	Time = (h.m.)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			Mada and an	Description and CDL dDA
Dale	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA
						Insects 42-50
	00.22				Dir: N	Local traffic 51-63
14/12/17	(Dev)	71	48	40	Wind Speed: 0.5m/s	Birds 49-60
	(Day)				Rain: Nil	Wind in trees 46-47
						Aircraft 44-51
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
						Insects <42
	00.20		58		Dir: N	Local residential noise 47-74
14/12/17	(Dov)	75		42	Wind Speed: 0.5m/s	Local traffic 43-65
	(Day)				Rain: Nil	Birds 51-63
						Wind in trees 48-52
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
						Local traffic 48-74
14/10/17	18:02	75	Ē٨	10	DII. INE	Wind in trees 38-46
14/12/17	(Evening)	15	54	43	Pain: Nil	Aircraft 41-48
					Naili. Nii	Birds 41-45
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
	10.10				Dir: NE	Wind in trees 44-52
14/12/17	(Evoning)	72	54	48	Wind Speed: 1.5m/s	Birds 46-51
	(Evening)				Rain: Nil	Local traffic 54-69
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible				



4.2 Assessment Results - Location N2

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N2 for Thursday 14 December 2017 are presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N2						
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)			Meteorology	Description and SPL dBA
	Time (TII3)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and Sr E, dBA
14/12/17	10:17 (Day)	87	67	53	Dir: N Wind Speed: 0.1m/s Rain: Nil	Local traffic 51-83 Insects <50 Birds 49-59 Local residential noise 60-63
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
14/12/17	10:33 (Day)	84	64	43	Dir: N Wind Speed: 0.1m/s Rain: Nil	Insects <42 Birds 42-51 Local traffic 44-79
						Local residential noise 44-47
	Teve	n Quarry LA	Aeq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
14/12/17	18:45 (Evening)	80	57	40	Dir: N Wind Speed: 1m/s Rain: Nil	Birds 47-51 Wind in trees 46-56 Local traffic 46-80
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
14/12/17	19:01 (Evening)	82	58	39	Dir: N Wind Speed: 1m/s Rain: Nil	Birds 44-52 Wind in trees 46-52 Local traffic 45-82 Aircraft 50-54
	Teve	Quarry Inaudible				



4.3 Assessment Results - Location N3

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N3 for Thursday 14 December 2017 are presented in **Table 5**.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N3						
Dete	Time (hr-)	Descript	or (dBA re	20 µPa)	Mataaralagu	Description and CDL - DA
Date	Time (nrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meleorology	Description and SPL, dBA
	10.50				Dir: N	Insects 51-54
14/12/17	10:53 (Dev)	63	58	54	Wind Speed: 1m/s	Distant traffic <51
	(Day)				Rain: Nil	Aircraft 55-58
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
						Insects <53
	11.00				Dir: N	Birds 53-63
14/12/17	(Day)	63	59	57	Wind Speed: 1m/s	Distant traffic <53
					Rain: Nil	Wind in trees <56
						Aircraft 58-63
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
	19:21 (Evening)	76	54	42	Dir: N	Wind in trees 40-57
14/12/17					Wind Speed: 1.5m/s	Birds <40
					Rain: Nil	Aircraft 51-54
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
					Dir: N	Wind in trees 42-48
11/10/17	19:37	65	50	47	Wind Spood: 1 Em/c	Insects 42-63
14/12/11	(Evening)	05	59	47	wind Speed: 1.5m/s	Birds 55-58
						Aircraft 56-64
	Quarry Inaudible					



4.4 Assessment Results - Location N4

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N4 for Thursday 14 December 2017 are presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N4						
Dete	T:	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				
Dale	LAmax LAeq LA90		Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA		
			Wind in trees <48			
11		01	50	3 45		Birds 48-53
	11:29				DIL N	Insects 44-48
14/12/17	(Day)	01	90		Wind Speed. 1.2m/s	Local residential noise 44-47
					Ram. Nii	Aircraft 46-58
						Local traffic 46-81
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution Quarry Inaudible				Quarry Inaudible		
11:45 14/12/17 76 61 51 (Day)						Wind in trees 42-48
	11.15				Dir: N	Local residential noise 42-51
	76	61	51	Wind Speed: 1.5m/s	Insects 50-54	
		Rain: Nil	Birds 53-58			
						Local traffic 50-76
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution				Quarry Inaudible		
14/12/17	19:57 (Evening)	69	66	63	Dir: N Wind Speed: 1.5m/s Rain: Nil	Insects 54-66 Wind in tees 46-54
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution				Quarry Inaudible		
	20:13 (Evening)	67	59	47	Dir: N	Insects 64-67
14/12/17					Wind Speed: 1.5m/s	Wind in trees <64
					Rain: Nil	Aircraft 51-54
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution					Quarry Inaudible	



4.5 Assessment Results - Location N5

The monitored noise level contributions and observed meteorological conditions for each day and evening survey period at location N5 for Thursday 14 December 2017 are presented in **Table 7**.

Table 7 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location N5						
Date T	Time - (hana)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 µPa)				
	Time (firs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Meteorology	Description and SFL, dBA
14/12/17	12.02	90	63	45	Dir: N	Wind in trees 44-52
	(Dav)				Wind Speed: 1.5m/s	Local traffic 48-83
	(Day)				Rain: Nil	Birds 47-53
	Teve	n Quarry LA	eq(15min)	Contributio	n	Quarry Inaudible
	12:18 (Day)	88	62	45	Dir: N	Wind in troop 42,48
14/12/17					Wind Speed: 1.5m/s	
					Rain: Nil	Local traffic 42-04
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution					Quarry Inaudible	
	20:30	07	50	40	Dir: N	Insects 38-41
14/10/17						Distant traffic 39-42
(Evening)	07	29	40	Wind Speed. III/S	Local traffic 40-77	
					Raill. Nil	Aircraft 40-46
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution				Quarry Inaudible		
	20:46 (Evening)	84	54	40	Dir: N Wind Speed: 1.5m/s Rain: Nil	Insects 36-40
14/10/17						Local traffic 41-73
14/12/17						Wind in trees 41-46
						Aircraft 43-48
Teven Quarry LAeq(15min) Contribution				Quarry Inaudible		





5 Noise Compliance Assessment

The compliance assessment for each residential receiver R2, R3/R4, R7, R10 and R15 are presented in **Table 8** and **Table 9** for day and evening assessment periods.

Table 8 Daytime Noise Compliance Assessment				
Receiver No.		Quarry Noise	Quarry Noise Criteria	Compliant
	Monitoring Locations	Contribution	Quarry Noise Onteria	
		dBA, LAeq(15min)	dBA, LAeq(15min)	
R2	N3	Nil	37	\checkmark
R3/R4	N2	Nil	38	\checkmark
R7	N1	Nil	37	\checkmark
R10	N4	Nil	37	\checkmark
R15	N5	Nil	38	✓

Table 9 Evening Noise Compliance Assessment	

		Quarry Noise	Quarry Noise Criteria	
Receiver No.	Monitoring Locations	Contribution	Quarry Noise Chiena	Compliant
		dBA, LAeq(15min)	dBA, LAeq(15min)	
R2	N3	Nil	35	\checkmark
R3/R4	N2	Nil	35	\checkmark
R7	N1	Nil	35	\checkmark
R10	N4	Nil	35	\checkmark
R15	N5	Nil	35	\checkmark





6 Discussion

6.1 Discussion of Results - Location N1

Monitoring on 14 December 2017 identified that Teven Quarry noise was inaudible during all four measurements, and therefore satisfied the daytime noise limits of 37dBA. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period however background measurements were undertaken for completeness and as per the EPL. Extraneous sources audible during the four attended surveys included insects, local traffic, birds, wind in trees, aircraft and local residential noise.

6.2 Discussion of Results - Location N2

Monitoring results for N2 during the December 2017 quarter were dominated by local traffic that was mostly constant during all four measurements. Quarry emissions were inaudible on all four occasions, therefore satisfying the relevant daytime noise limit of 38dBA. The quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore satisfying the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Extraneous sources measured include local traffic, insects, birds, local residential noise, wind in trees and aircraft.

6.3 Discussion of Results - Location N3

Quarry noise was inaudible during all four measurements during the December 2017 survey period, therefore satisfying the daytime criteria of 37dBA. The quarry was not operational during the evening period therefore satisfying the evening criteria of 35dBA. Non-quarrying noise sources included insects, distant traffic, aircraft, birds and wind in trees.

6.4 Discussion of Results - Location N4

Quarry noise was inaudible during all four noise measurements at N4 for the December 2017 quarter, therefore relevant daytime noise limits of 37dBA were satisfied. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period, therefore satisfying the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Non-quarrying sources include wind in trees, birds, insects, local residential noise, aircraft, local traffic and aircraft all audible throughout the four noise measurements.



6.5 Discussion of Results - Location N5

Quarry noise was inaudible during all four measurements throughout the December 2017 monitoring quarter at N5. Therefore, quarry emissions satisfied the relevant daytime noise limit of 38dBA. It is noted that the quarry was not operational during the evening period and therefore satisfied the evening noise limit of 35dBA. Local traffic was the dominant source at this receiver with other non-quarrying sources including wind in trees, local traffic, birds, insects, distant traffic and aircraft all audible during the December 2017 quarter.



7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for VGT Pty Ltd on behalf of Holcim Pty Ltd at the Teven Quarry, Teven, NSW. The assessment was completed to assess the quarry's compliance with the relevant criteria outlined in their Conditions of Consent for relevant surrounding residential receivers. It is again reiterated that the quarry was not operational during the evening period on 14 December 2017 although measurements were completed as per the EPL which is considered a comprehensive assessment approach.

Attended noise measurements were undertaken on 14 December 2017 at representative monitoring locations with quarry noise contributions compared against the relevant criteria. The assessment has identified that noise emissions generated by Teven Quarry comply with relevant statutory noise criteria specified in the Conditions of Consent at all assessed residential receivers.





Appendix A - Glossary of Terms



 Table A1 provides a number of technical terms have been used in this report.

Table 1A Glossary of Terms				
Term	Description			
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts			
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being twice			
	the lower frequency limit.			
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the NPI as a single figure background level for			
	each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured LA90			
	statistical noise levels.			
Adverse Weather	Weather effects that enhance noise (that is, wind and temperature inversions) that occur at a site			
	for a significant period of time (that is, wind occurring more than 30% of the time in any			
	assessment period in any season and/or temperature inversions occurring more than 30% of the			
	nights in winter).			
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many			
	sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.			
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human			
	ear to noise.			
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise, the			
	most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the frequency			
	response of the human ear.			
dB(Z), dB(L)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.			
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second			
	equals 1 hertz.			
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average of			
	maximum noise levels.			
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 $\%$ of the time.			
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a			
	source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.			
LAmax	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone during a			
	measuring interval.			
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing			
	each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the			
	intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.			
Sound power level (LW)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a			
	fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a			
	measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by :			
	= 10.log10 (W/Wo)			
	Where : W is the sound power in watts and Wo is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.			



Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA			
Source	Typical Sound Level		
Threshold of pain	140		
Jet engine	130		
Hydraulic hammer	120		
Chainsaw	110		
Industrial workshop	100		
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90		
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80		
Elevated speech	70		
Typical conversation	60		
Ambient suburban environment	40		
Ambient rural environment	30		
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20		
Threshold of hearing	0		

 Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.







Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 262, Newcastle NSW 2300 ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com


APPENDIX 2 TRANSPORT SUMMARY

	January				February					March				April				May				June		
	Day	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks	Day	Loads	Split Loads	Truck Movem ents	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks
S	1			·												·								
Μ	2	0	0	0													1	48	2	46				
Т	3	0	0	0													2	42	2	40				
W	4	0	0	0	1	37	0	37	1	32	1	31					3	54	5	49				
Т	5	0	0	0	2	51	2	49	2	85	1	84					4	29	0	29	1	58	2	56
F	6	0	0	0	3	39	0	39	3	116	1	115					5	33	3	30	2	61	1	60
S	7	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
S	8				5				5				2				7				4		_	
M 	9	16	0	16	6	48	0	48	6	101	1	100	3	29	1	28	8	49	2	47	5	85	3	82
T 	10	42	0	42	7	40	0	40	7	117	0	117	4	33	0	33	9	43	1	42	6	50	5	45
W -	11	36	0	36	8	30	1	29	8	114	0	114	5	44	1	43	10	83	1	82	/	33	1	32
 	12	46	1	45	9	25	2	23	9	99	0	99	6	18	0	18	11	56	0	56	8	55	1	54
F	13	27	0	27	10	1/	0	1/	10	88	1	8/	/	39	2	37	12	84	1	83	9	/3	2	/1
S	14	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	11	35	0	35	8	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
3 M	15	24	2	22	12	21	2	20	12	00	1	80	9	96	1	00	14	00	0	00	11			
т	10	54 17	2	52	13	31		29	1/	90 25	1	09 24	10	00 02	1 2	00	15	99 117	0	115	12	10	0	10
۱ \\\/	18	30	2	- 47	14	25	1	35	14	12	1	11	12	96	2	96	17	03		113	1/	5	0	5
Т	19	63	1	62	16	50	1		16	5	1	11	13	32	1	31	18	64	1	63	15	38	1	37
· F	20	63	0	63	17	31	1	30	17	93	0	93	14	52	Ŧ	51	19	51	0	51	16	63	3	60
S	21	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	15				20	0	0	0	17	0	0	0
S	22		-	-	19	-	-		19	-	-	-	16				21			-	18	-	-	-
M	23	98	1	97	20	18	0	18	20	12	1	11	17				22	38	5	33	19	34	0	34
Т	24	106	0	106	21	31	1	30	21	10	0	10	18	0	0	0	23	89	2	87	20	34	0	34
W	25	53	0	53	22	38	1	37	22	130	1	129	19	112	1	111	24	58	2	56	21	46	1	45
Т	26				23	83	2	81	23	107	2	105	20	74	1	73	25	61	1	60	22	36	2	34
F	27	29	0	29	24	92	0	92	24	87	1	86	21	45	1	44	26	35	2	33	23	85	2	83
S	28	0	0	0	25	27	0	27	25	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	24	0	0	0
S	29				26				26				23				28				25			
М	30	52	0	52	27	25	1	24	27	110	2	108	24	31	0	31	29	40	2	38	26	63	0	63
Т	31	39	0	39	28	0	0	0	28	121	0	121	25				30	77	2	75	27	97	2	95
W									29	97	1	96	26	50	0	50	31	50	3	47	28	67	4	63
Т									30	19	0	19	27	46	1	45					29	56	3	53
F									31	10	0	10	28	38	0	38					30	35	4	31
S		_											29	0	0	0								
S													30			_								
Μ																								
TOTAL		781	7	774		782	15	767		1715	17	1698		865	12	853		1393	39	1354		1084	37	1047
# Dispatch Days		25				24				27				21				27				25		
Daily Average		31				32				63				41				50				42		
Annual Average		51																						

Annual Average

Public Holiday Sunday

	July				August				September				October				November				December			
	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Irucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Irucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Irucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Irucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks	Date	Loads	Split Loads	Trucks
S	_	_	0 / =				• •				• •		1		• –	<u>F</u>		_	0 / _	<u>F</u>		_	<u>, </u>	
M													2											
Т					1	48	5	43					3	28	2	26								
W					2	103	0	103					4	43	3	40	1	134	1	133				
Т					3	84	0	84					5	38	3	35	2	117	3	114				
F					4	46	2	44	1	50	2	48	6	48	2	46	3	132	2	130	1	132	2	130
S	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	4	38	0	38	2	60	0	60
S	2				6				3				8				5				3			
М	3	49	1	48	7	92	1	91	4	62	1	61	9	46	1	45	6	37	1	36	4	172	2	170
Т	4	88	0	88	8	82	5	77	5	71	2	69	10	47	1	46	7	94	1	93	5	172	2	170
W	5	51	1	50	9	42	3	39	6	70	3	67	11	63	3	60	8	45	0	45	6	85	0	85
Т	6	47	2	45	10	76	2	74	7	43	1	42	12	43	4	39	9	53	0	53	7	163	1	162
F	7	75	2	73	11	63	2	61	8	43	0	43	13	75	2	73	10	49	0	49	8	154	5	149
S	8	21	1	20	12	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
S	9				13				10				15				12				10			
Μ	10	62	1	61	14	74	2	72	11	80	5	75	16	22	0	22	13	137	2	135	11	100	1	99
Т	11	49	3	46	15	55	3	52	12	46	2	44	17	44	0	44	14	139	4	135	12	174	2	172
W	12	52	1	51	16	60	1	59	13	60	2	58	18	21	1	20	15	151	1	150	13	152	1	151
Т	13	66	2	64	17	95	4	91	14	48	2	46	19	79	1	78	16	143	2	141	14	79	4	75
F	14	92	1	91	18	70	3	67	15	45	3	42	20	131	1	130	17	151	1	150	15	67	2	65
S	15	0	0	0	19	3	0	3	16	12	1	11	21	0	0	0	18	44	0	44	16	0	0	0
S	16				20				17				22				19				17			
М	17	66	3	63	21	122	1	121	18	70	2	68	23	65	1	64	20	39	5	34	18	56	3	53
Т	18	62	0	62	22	63	3	60	19	57	5	52	24	51	1	50	21	47	5	42	19	65	5	60
W	19	72	1	71	23	74	0	74	20	53	4	49	25	66	1	65	22	28	2	26	20	47	2	45
Т	20	102	0	102	24	39	0	39	21	119	1	118	26	48	2	46	23	38	2	36	21	21	0	21
F	21	110	0	110	25	46	2	44	22	65	4	61	27	46	3	43	24	53	1	52	22	0	0	0
S	22	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	23	0	0	0
S	23				27				24				29				26				24			
Μ	24	39	1	38	28	90	3	87	25	111	5	106	30	46	2	44	27	49	2	47	25			
Т	25	52	2	50	29	88	2	86	26	71	0	71	31	104	2	102	28	73	4	69	26			
W	26	75	2	73	30	73	1	72	27	39	1	38					29	48	2	46	27	0	0	0
Т	27	74	2	72	31	73	2	71	28	21	2	19					30	82	2	80	28	0	0	0
F	28	51	3	48					29	38	2	36									29	0	0	0
S	29	0	0	0					30	0	0	0									30	0	0	0
S	30																				31			
М	31	47	3	44																				
TOTAL		1402	32	1370		1661	47	1614		1274	50	1224		1154	36	1118		1921	43	1878		1699	32	1667
# Dispatch Days		26				27				26				25				26				24		
Daily Average		53				60				47				45				72				69		