

Strength. Performance. Passion.

Teven Quarry Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management

Holcim Australia November 2021 Update

| 1. | Intr | oduction | 5 |
|-----|-------------|---|------|
| 1 | .1 | Background | 5 |
| 1 | .2 | Project Description | 5 |
| 1 | .3 | Purpose and Scope | 6 |
| 1 | .4 | Objectives | 6 |
| 2. | Sta | keholder Consultation | 9 |
| 2 | 2.1 | Pre 2020 Consultation | 9 |
| 2 | 2.2 | 2020 Consultation | 9 |
| 3. | Sta | tutory Requirements | . 10 |
| 3 | 5.1 | Development Consent Requirements | . 10 |
| 3 | 5.2 | EIS Statement of Commitments | . 13 |
| 4. | Bas | seline Data | . 15 |
| 4 | .1 | Existing Environment | . 15 |
| 4 | .2 | Project Impacts Requiring Rehabilitation and Management | . 16 |
| 4 | .3 | Vegetation Condition within Retained Vegetation | . 16 |
| 4 | .4 | Baseline Vegetation Monitoring | . 19 |
| 5. | Rev | vegetation and Rehabilitation of Retained Vegetation | . 19 |
| 5 | 5.1 | Revegetation Areas | . 19 |
| 5 | 5.2 | Rehabilitation Areas | . 21 |
| 5 | 5.3 | Planting, Weeding and Watering | . 21 |
| 6. | Mo | nitoring of Retained Vegetation | . 23 |
| 7. | Reł | nabilitation objectives and criteria | . 23 |
| 7 | '.1 | Rehabilitation objectives | . 23 |
| 7 | .2 | Rehabilitation and closure criteria | . 23 |
| 7 | '. 3 | Proposed final land use | . 25 |
| 7 | .4 | Integration of biodiversity management and rehabilitation | . 26 |
| 8. | Rer | nnant vegetation and habitat disturbance management controls | . 27 |
| 9. | Reł | nabilitation management controls | . 34 |
| 10. | S | hort, medium and long-term biodiversity management measures | . 43 |
| 1 | 0.1 | Measures to be implemented over the next three years | . 43 |
| 11. | E | cological and rehabilitation monitoring and management | . 44 |
| 1 | 1.1 | Rehabilitation monitoring and reporting | . 44 |
| | 1.2 | Monitoring progress of rehabilitation against performance criteria (Contingency | |
| | | onse) | |
| | 1.3 | Risks to the successful implementation of the plan | |
| 12. | F | Reporting and Compliance Management | . 52 |

| 12.1 | Annual Review | 52 |
|------|----------------------------|----|
| 12.2 | 2 Incident Reporting | 52 |
| 12.3 | Complaint Response | 52 |
| 12.4 | Training | 52 |
| 13. | Review and Improvement | 53 |
| 14. | Roles and Responsibilities | 54 |
| 15. | References | 55 |
| 16. | Change Information | 56 |
| | | |

Tables

| Table 1 | Approved activities |
|-----------|---|
| Table 2 | Development Consent Conditions |
| Table 3 | Statement of biodiversity-related commitments |
| Table 4 | Independent Environmental Audit – Required Updates 14 |
| Table 5 | Threatened Biodiversity recorded in, and adjacent to the Project Area by Warren |
| (1994) | |
| Table 6 | Areas of Native Vegetation to be Cleared and Retained in the Project Area 16 |
| Table 7 | Baseline Monitoring Plot Locations 19 |
| Table 8 | Areas of Retained Native Vegetation to be Revegetated 19 |
| Table 9 | Areas of Retained Native Vegetation to be Rehabilitated |
| Table 10 | Weed Treatment for Key Target Species 21 |
| Table 11 | Rehabilitation objectives |
| Table 12 | Rehabilitation and closure criteria |
| Table 13 | Remnant vegetation and habitat disturbance management controls |
| Table 14 | Rehabilitation Management Controls |
| Table 15 | Timing of implementation |
| Table 16 | Rehabilitation and closure criteria and triggers for corrective action (Contingency |
| Response) | |
| Table 17 | Reporting and Management of Incidents52 |
| Table 18 | Roles and responsibilities |
| Table 19 | Summary of Document Changes56 |

Figures

| Figure 1 | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan | 7 |
|----------|--|----|
| Figure 2 | Approved operations | 8 |
| Figure 3 | Vegetation Communities | |
| Figure 4 | Vegetation Condition and Monitoring Locations | 18 |
| Figure 5 | Retained Vegetation Management Zones | 20 |
| Figure 6 | Conceptual final landform | 41 |
| Figure 7 | Indicative Cross-Section of Rehabilitated Quarry Benches | 42 |

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Consultation
- Appendix B Threatened and migratory species not recorded, but likely to occur within 10 km of the project area
- Appendix C Bionet Profiles of PCTs Recommended for Rehabilitation
- Appendix D National Trust Vegetation Condition Method
- Appendix E Baseline Monitoring Plot Field Data
- Appendix F Benchmark Data

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Holcim (Australia) Pty Ltd (Holcim Australia) own and operate an existing hard rock quarry located at Stokers Lane, Teven, New South Wales (NSW) in the Ballina Local Government Area (LGA). The site is approximately eight kilometres (km) north-west of Ballina town centre (**Figure 1**).

In 2014, Holcim Australia sought Development Consent under Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) to increase the maximum annual production limit to 500,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) over a period of 30 years to 2045. The Teven Quarry Development Consent (SSD 6422) (Development Consent) was granted on 15 July 2015 by the NSW Minister for Planning.

The Development Consent allows for continued operations of the existing Teven Quarry which will enable the extraction of additional hard rock resources within the approved extraction area (**Figure 2**).

In accordance with Schedule 3, Condition 29 of the Development Consent, Holcim Australia is required to prepare a Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan (B&RMP) in consultation with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH), now the Biodiversity Conservation Division (BCD) and to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE), formerly the Department of Planning and Environment (DP&E).

1.2 Project Description

A summary of the primary components of the operation as approved by Development Consent SSD 6422, compared with that previously approved, is provided in **Table 1**.

| Project component | Currently approved (2015-2045) | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Quarry life | 30 years from date of approval (15 July 2015), ie to 15 July 2045 | |
| Limits of production | 500,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) | |
| Quarry footprint | Shown on Figure 2 | |
| Overburden management | Shown on Figure 2 | |
| Hours of operation | Blasting: | |
| | 10:00 am - 3:00 pm Monday–Friday, at no time on | |
| | Sundays or public holidays | |
| | All other activities: | |
| | 7:00 am – 6:00 pm Monday – Friday | |
| | 7:00 am – 4:00 pm Saturday | |
| | At no time on Sundays or public holidays | |
| | Extended hours for product loading and | |
| | dispatch: | |

Table 1Approved activities

| | 7:00 am – 10:00 pm Monday to Friday | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | 7:00 am – 4:00 pm Saturday | |
| | At no time on Sundays or public holidays | |
| Transport | Road transport at approved production level | |
| Employment | 11 full time equivalent positions | |
| Infrastructure | Fixed primary, secondary and tertiary plants with the addition of a mobile crushing and screening plant, and a mobile pug mill. | |
| Site access | Off Stokers Lane | |
| Concrete recycling for re-use | Recycling of up to 10,000 tpa of clean surplus concrete material on site using existing and proposed processing infrastructure for re-use as product | |

1.3 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this B&RMP is to describe the biodiversity and rehabilitation management strategies, procedures, controls and the monitoring programs that are to be implemented in accordance with the Teven Quarry Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (Umwelt 2014) and the Development Consent. The B&RMP is stipulated as a requirement under the Development Consent and is designed to be prepared in consultation with the OEH and to the satisfaction of the Secretary.

The relevant Development Consent conditions and Statement of Commitments are provided in **Section 3.1** and **Section 3.2** respectively. This document also outlines the control measures to be implemented as part of the Teven Quarry Project operations to minimise potential impacts on biodiversity.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of this B&RMP include the following:

- Detail the controls to be implemented to minimise impacts to biodiversity as a result of clearance activities for approved disturbance areas, remnant vegetation and fauna habitat features;
- Address the relevant conditions of the Development Consent (refer to Table 2);
- Establish management techniques associated with the clearance of vegetation in the approved extraction limit boundary;
- Establish general management requirements for the rehabilitation of the quarry pit;
- Provide details on the conceptual final landform and final land uses for the quarry;
- Establish rehabilitation monitoring requirements; and
- Detail the requirements for reporting biodiversity related incidents to the relevant stakeholders.





Approved operations Teven Quarry

2. Stakeholder Consultation

2.1 Pre 2020 Consultation

A letter was sent to the then NSW Office of Environments and Heritage (OEH) on 16 October 2015 requesting agency input during the development of the draft B&RMP and review of the final draft document. Holcim received no response during this initial consultation and was unable to speak with the OEH either.

Following the initial communication, this document was sent to the OEH on 27 November 2015 as per Schedule 3, Condition 29 (a) of the Development Consent. Holcim has received no feedback from the OEH since submitting for review and considers that the OEH has had ample time to sufficiently review and comment on the draft document.

2.2 2020 Consultation

A copy of the 2020 updated management plan was provided to DPIE in August 2020. Holcim received comments from DPIE on 7 October 2020 and updated this document and will resubmit to DPIE on as required by the Development Consent (SSD 6422). See **Appendix A** for consultation.

DPIE requested BCD be consulted in regard to the October 2020 revision of this management plan. A copy of the revision was sent to BCD on 4 February 2021. Holcim received feedback from BCD on the 9 March 2021. Holcim have addressed BCD feedback. See **Appendix A** for consultation.

3. Statutory Requirements

3.1 Development Consent Requirements

Development Consent for the Teven Quarry Project was granted by the Minister for Planning on 15 July 2015. The requirement for this B&RMP arises from Schedule 3 Condition 29 of the Development Consent. The requirements from the Development Consent relating to biodiversity and rehabilitation, and where these requirements are addressed within this document, are provided in **Table 2**.

Table 2 Development Consent Conditions

| Develo | opment Conser | It conditions | Section addressed |
|---|---|---|-------------------|
| Schedu | Schedule 3 - Environmental Performance Conditions | | |
| Th str | e Applicant shall r is rehabilitation m ategy in the EIS a ust comply with th Table 5. Biodiver | Section 7 | |
| | Feature | Objective | |
| | Site (as a whole) | Safe, stable and non-polluting Final landform integrated with surrounding natural landforms as far as is reasonable and feasible, and designed to minimise the visual impacts of the development when viewed from surrounding land. Restored with native, endemic vegetation | |
| | Surface Infrastructure | Decommissioned and removed, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise | |
| | Quarry benches | Landscaped and vegetated using native tree and understory species | |
| | Quarry pit floor | Landscaped and revegetated using native tree and understory species, above the final anticipated void water level | |
| rea me ge wh tha <i>Note: It</i> | asonably practical easures must be to neration at any tir here reasonable and at are not active a t is accepted that p | ehabilitate the site progressively, that is, as soon as ble following disturbance. All reasonable and feasible aken to minimise the total area exposed for dust ne. Interim stabilisation measures must be implemented nd feasible to control dust emissions in disturbed areas nd which are not ready for final rehabilitation. barts of the site that are progressively rehabilitated may urbance in the future. | Section 5 |
| Ma | | prepare and implement a Biodiversity and Rehabilitation or the site to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The plan | This document |

| Develop | Section addressed | |
|---------|--|------------------------------|
| a) | Be prepared in consultation with OEH, and be submitted to the Secretary for approval within 6 months of the date of this consent, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise; | Section 2 Appendix A |
| b) | Provide details of the conceptual final landform and associated land uses for the site; | Section 7.3 and Section 9 |
| c) | Describe how the management of biodiversity would be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; | Section 7.4 |
| d) | Include detailed performance and completion criteria for evaluating the performance of the biodiversity management measures and rehabilitation of the site, including triggers for any necessary remedial action; | Section 7.2 |
| e) | Describe the short, medium, and long-term measures that would be implemented to: | Section 10 |
| | Protect and enhance the remnant vegetation and habitat on the site; and Ensure compliance with the biodiversity and rehabilitation objectives, and the progressive rehabilitation obligations in this consent. | |
| f) | Include a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3 years (to be updated for each 3 year period following initial approval of the plan) including the procedures to be implemented for: | Section 10 and 11 |
| | Maximising the salvage of environmental resources within the approved disturbance area, including tree hollows, vegetative and soil resources, for beneficial reuse in site; Restoring and enhancing the quality of native vegetation and fauna habitat on site through assisted natural regeneration, targeted vegetation establishment and the introduction of fauna habitat features; Protecting vegetation and fauna habitat outside the approved disturbance area onsite; Minimising the impacts on native fauna, including undertaking preclearance surveys; Establishing vegetation screening to minimise the visual impacts of the site on surrounding receivers; Ensuring minimal environmental consequences for threatened species, populations and habitats; Collecting and propagating seed; Controlling weeds and feral pests; Controlling access; and Managing bushfire risk. | Section 7.4 and 9 |
| g) | Include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the performance and completion criteria | Section 11 |
| h) | Identify the potential risks to the successful implementation of the plan | Section 11.3 |

| Development Consent conditions | Section addressed |
|---|-------------------|
| and include a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate these risks; and | |
| Include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan. | Section 13 and 14 |
| 30. Within 6 months of the approval of the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, the Applicant shall lodge a Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond with the Department to ensure that the management of biodiversity and the rehabilitation of the site are implemented in accordance with the performance and completion criteria set out in the Biodiversity an Rehabilitation Management Plan. The sum of the bond shall be determined by: | e d |
| Calculating the cost of rehabilitating the site taking into account the likely surface disturbance over the next 3 years of quarrying operations and | 5; |
| Employing a suitably qualified quantity surveyor or other expert to verif the calculated costs, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | ý |
| Note: If the rehabilitation of the site is completed to the satisfaction of the Secretary, then the Secretary will release the bond. If the rehabilitation of the site is not completed to the satisfaction of the Secretary, then the Secretary will call i all or part of the bond, and arrange for the completion of the relevant works. | |
| 31. Within 3 months of each Independent Environmental Audit (see Schedule 5 Condition 8), the Applicant shall review, and if necessary revise, the sum of the Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond to the satisfaction of the Secretar This review must consider the: | |
| a) Effects of inflation; | |
| b) Likely cost of rehabilitating the site (taking into account the likely surface disturbance over the next 3 years of the development); and | ce |
| c) Performance of the implementation of the rehabilitation of the site to date. | |
| Schedule 5 - Environmental Management, Reporting and Auditing | |
| Management Plan Requirements | |
| 2. The Applicant shall ensure that the Management Plans required under this consent are prepared in accordance with any relevant guidelines, and include: | Section 4 |
| a) detailed baseline data; | |
| b) a description of: | |
| the relevant statutory requirements (including any relevant | Section 3; |
| approval, licence or lease conditions);any relevant limits or performance measures/criteria; and | Section 7; and |
| the specific performance indicators that are proposed to be used to judge the performance of, or guide the implementation of, the development or any management measures; | Section 7 |
| c) a description of the measures that would be implemented to comply wi the relevant statutory requirements, limits, or performance | th Section 9 |

| Development Consent conditions | Section addressed |
|--|-------------------|
| measures/criteria; | |
| d) a program to monitor and report on the: impacts and environmental performance of the development; and effectiveness of any management measures (see (c) above); | Section 11 and 12 |
| e) a contingency plan to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences and to ensure that ongoing impacts reduce to levels below relevant impact assessment criteria as quickly as possible; | Section 11.2 |
| f) a program to investigate and implement ways to improve the environmental performance of the development over time; | Section 13 |
| g) a protocol for managing and reporting any: incidents; complaints; non-compliances with statutory requirements; and exceedances of the impact assessment criteria and/or performance criteria; and | Section 12 |
| h) a protocol for periodic review of the plan. | Section 13 |

3.2 EIS Statement of Commitments

The biodiversity and rehabilitation related Statement of Commitments relevant to the B&RMP, and where they are addressed in this document, is detailed in **Table 3**.

Table 3 Statement of biodiversity-related commitments

| Со | nmitment | Section addressed |
|-----|---|---------------------------|
| 27. | Holcim Australia will review the existing ecological mitigation and management measures set out in the Plan of Management (Readymix 2007) as part of an overall update to the Teven Quarry Environmental Management Plan. | Section 13 |
| 28. | Holcim Australia will implement the following measures to minimise impacts of the operation on ecological values: | Section 7.4 Appendix C |
| | Avoidance of impact on remnant subtropical rainforest community within Lot 1; Conservation, where possible, of hollow bearing trees; Implementation of a tree felling procedure to minimise potential impacts on fauna, in particular, koalas; and Implementation of a rehabilitation strategy targeting regeneration of Eucalypt, Brushbox and Rainforest communities across the non-disturbance areas of the site. This strategy involves weed management protocols for Camphor Laurel, Lantana and weed species, and targeted planting of a range of recommended native species, including rare and threatened plant species | |

| Со | nmitment | Section addressed |
|-----|--|-------------------|
| | and species which may be of benefit to threatened fauna species. | |
| 33. | A detailed Quarry Closure Plan will be developed approximately three years prior to cessation of quarrying activities. Section 6.5.1 quarrying activities. | Section 7 |
| 34. | The revised EMP will detail the approach to rehabilitation of the Project, including the species to be used in revegetation works. | Section 5 |
| 35. | Where practicable, rehabilitation will be completed progressively as part of the ongoing development of the quarry. | Section 5 |
| 36. | Annual inspections of rehabilitated areas will be undertaken over the life of the Project to assist in guiding rehabilitation practice. | Section 6 |

3.3 2019 Independent Environmental Audit – Updates

An Independent Environmental Audit (IEA) was completed for Teven Quarry by GHD, with the report dated April 2020. There were several recommendations from that report relevant to this management plan. A copy of the required updates is provided in **Table 4** below.

Table 4 Independent Environmental Audit – Required Updates

| Recommendation from Auditor | Comment/Section Covered by Management Plan |
|--|--|
| Update the management plans required under the consent to include a contingency plan to manage unpredicted impacts. | Section 11.2. |
| Review the strategies, plans and programs following the annual review, incident report, audit report or modification and maintain evidence of the reviews. | Section 13 |
| Lodge a Conservation and Rehabilitation Bond with the Department, in accordance with Condition 30, Schedule 3. | A Rehabilitation Bond was lodged with the Department in 2017 |
| Notify the Secretary and any other relevant agencies of any incident, within 7 days of the date of the incident, in accordance with Condition 7, Schedule 5. | Section 12.2 |

4. Baseline Data

4.1 Existing Environment

An ecological assessment of the project area was completed by Warren (1994) as part of the original application to extend the quarry (McCloskey 1995). The assessment identified fauna species listed as threatened under the *National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974,* namely the Greater Broadnosed Bat (*Scoteanax rueppellii*) and Koala (*Phascolarctos cinereus*). Although not listed at the time, the Grey- headed Flying-fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*) was also recorded.

In 1995, the *Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995* (TSC Act) became the Act that administered threatened species. The above species were subsequently listed under the TSC Act, with the Koala and Grey-headed Flying-fox also listed by the Commonwealth in 1999 under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

Table 5Threatened Biodiversity recorded in, and adjacent to the Project Area byWarren (1994)

| Common name | Scientific name | TSC Act conservation status | Location |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Threatened species | | | |
| Koala | Phascolarctos cinereus | Vulnerable | In project area |
| Grey-headed Flying- fox | Pteropus poliocephalus | Vulnerable | In project area |
| Greater Broadnosed Bat | Scoteanax rueppellii | Vulnerable | In project area |
| Threatened ecological co | ommunities | | |
| Lowland Rainforest in the NSW North Coast and Sydney Basin Bioregions | | Endangered | Directly adjacent to project area |
| Lowland Rainforest of Subtropical Australia | | - | Directly adjacent to project area |

Surveys were completed for the Teven Quarry Project EIS by Umwelt (2014). **Table 6** provides a list of the threatened biodiversity Umwelt (2014) identified during surveys for the EIS. Umwelt (2014) identified potential habitat within a 10 km radius of the quarry for the following species not recorded in the project area:

- 27 threatened flora species;
- 34 threatened fauna species; and
- 13 migratory species.

A complete list of these species is provided at **Appendix B.**

4.2 Project Impacts Requiring Rehabilitation and Management

The project area in its entirety was assessed in the original ecological assessment completed by Warren (1994) and was subsequently approved for clearing. However, vegetation has not yet been cleared in some parts of the Extraction Limit Boundary (**Figure 3**). The extent of vegetation clearing required in the Extraction Limit Boundary and the extent to remain in the project area is shown in **Table 6** and **Figure 3**. These areas represent the impacts that require future rehabilitation and management.

| Table 6 | Areas of Native Vegetation to be Cleared and Retained in the Project |
|---------|--|
| Area | |

| Vegetation Community | Extent to be Cleared in the Extraction Limit Boundary (ha) | Extent to Remain in the Project Area (ha) |
|--|--|--|
| Flooded Gum - Brush Box moist forest of the coastal ranges of the North Coast (PCT 826) | 0.8 | 5.75 |
| Flooded Gum - Tallowwood - Brush Box moist open forest of the coastal ranges of the North Coast (PCT 827) | 1.98 | 5.94 |
| White Booyong - Fig subtropical rainforest of the NSW North Coast Bioregion (PCT 1302) | 0.00 | 0.53 |
| Regrowth Scrub | 0.11 | 0.86 |
| Exotic Grassland | 0.10 | 0.52 |
| Farm Dam Vegetation | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| Total | 2.99 | 13.75 |

4.3 Vegetation Condition within Retained Vegetation

General condition of the retained vegetation on the project area was assessed by an SLR ecologist in August 2021. Using the National Trust Vegetation Condition method (see **Appendix E**), the condition of retained vegetation was assessed as fair to very poor (**Figure 4**). Exotic weed species including Camphor Laurel (*Cinnamonum camphora*), Small-leaved Privet (*Ligustrum sinense*) and Lantana (*Lantana camara*) occur throughout the retained vegetation of the project area. Several parts of the project area contain patches of exotic grassland with little to no native vegetation.

It should be noted that whilst areas of PCT 827 along the western boundary have a canopy cover dominated by Camphor Laurel (*Cinnamomum camphora*) the understorey contains a diverse range of native flora species. There is also limited evidence of Camphor Laurel regeneration or other weediness. Hence, this section of the project area is categorised as poor-fair within the vegetation condition mapping (**Figure 4**). The control of Camphora Laurel in this area must be managed carefully in stages to allow native canopy species to mature and create canopy in small patches before moving focus onto controlling Camphor Laurel in another stage. Using a staged method will allow for a manageable control of weed species that are likely to become established with the temporary reduction of canopy coverage.





FIGURE 4

4.4 Baseline Vegetation Monitoring

Baseline vegetation monitoring, following the methodologies of the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) 2020 was conducted in August 2021 by an SLR Ecologist. Two plots were established for the baseline monitoring, one (BBF1) in PCT 826 and one (STR1) in PCT 1302 as a 20 m x 50 m plot with a nestled 20 m x 20 m floristic plot. The Vegetation Integrity (VI) score for each of these plots at baseline is 21.7 (BBF1) and 19.6 (STR1). Co-ordinates of the Vegetation monitoring plots are shown in **Table 7**. Each plot was selected at random, and a metal star picket was erected at the start and end of the 50 m centre transect. Field datasheets from the baseline monitoring survey are included in **Appendix E**. Plot locations are included in **Figure 4**. These plots are required to be re-surveyed and assessed against targets annually, with data presented in a report and submitted to BCD annually.

Table 7Baseline Monitoring Plot Locations

| Plot | Start | | End | | Transect Line |
|-----------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------------|
| | Easting | Northing | Easting | Northing | Bearing |
| STR1 (PCT 1302) | 547896 | 6809803 | 547871 | 6809761 | 222° |
| BBF1 (PCT 826) | 547655 | 6809195 | 547701 | 6809211 | 75° |

5. Revegetation and Rehabilitation of Retained Vegetation

5.1 Revegetation Areas

Areas outside of the disturbance footprint that are potentially suitable for targeted revegetation were identified by the SLR ecologist during the survey in August 2021. These areas are identified in **Figure 5** and **Table 8**.

Table 8 Areas of Retained Native Vegetation to be Revegetated

| РСТ | Total Area (ha) |
|----------|-----------------|
| PCT 826 | 1.26 |
| PCT 827 | 0.23 |
| PCT 1302 | 0.14 |
| Total | 1.63 |

The Revegetation Areas would be suitably cleared of weeds and subject to replanting with species of the following PCTs that have been mapped within the project area:

- PCT 827 Flooded Gum Tallowwood Brush Box moist open forest of the coastal ranges of the North;
- PCT 826 Flooded Gum Brush Box moist forest of the coastal ranges of the North Coast; and
- PCT 1302 White Booyong Fig subtropical rainforest of the NSW North Coast Bioregion.

The Vegetation Classification Profiles for PCTs, including a list of species in the upper, middle and lower stratum, which would be suitable for planting in these areas are presented in **Appendix C**. Suitable benchmarks for these areas would be 50% of the target PCT benchmarks. Benchmark data is included in **Appendix F**.



FIGURE 5

5.2 Rehabilitation Areas

The remainder of the Retained Vegetation areas would be suitably rehabilitated using gradual weeding with replanting only where monitoring finds specific areas that would benefit from planting. Areas suitable for rehabilitation are identified in **Figure 5** and **Table 9**.

| Table 9 | Areas of Retained Native Vegetation to be Rehabilitated |
|---------|---|
| | / loud of flotallou flatter fogetation to be flotabilitated |

| РСТ | Total Area (ha) |
|----------|-----------------|
| PCT 826 | 5.75 |
| PCT 827 | 5.51 |
| PCT 1302 | 0.39 |
| Total | 11.65 |

Where planting is required plant species are to be selected using the appropriate PCT for the area included in **Appendix C**. Suitable benchmarks for these areas would be 80% of the target benchmarks. Benchmark data is included in **Appendix F**.

5.3 Planting, Weeding and Watering

Planting stocks should be from local provenance origins and suitable evidence of purchase (such as invoices) should be supplied in the annual reports. Any planting is required to be protected by a suitable plant guard to prevent herbivory and followed-up with monthly watering until established. Note it is not practical to undertake planting and monthly waterering in some parts of the quarry's retained vegetation due to limited safe access. Records are required to be kept for any plantings and follow-up surveys and these are to be included in the annual monitoring. An annual assessment of planting success shall be made with recommendations for replacement plantings where required.

Five problematic weeds including two Priority Weeds were identified during the baseline monitoring survey. Weed treatments for key target species and are included within **Table 10**.

Table 10Weed Treatment for Key Target Species

| Weed Species | Status | Location and Nature | Control | Frequency and Timing |
|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|
| Lantana (<i>Lantana camara</i>) | Priority weed - Prohibition on certain dealings. Must not be imported into the state, sold, bartered, exchanged or offered for sale. | All areas | Physical removal of small infestations. Cut and Paint isolated larger plants using glyphosate. Chemical treat larger infestations using splatter gun technique (glyphosate 360g/L at 10:100 water). Spot spray isolated | Treat during growing season (September to April). Follow up within 3 to 6 months. |

| | | | infestations (glyphosate 360g/L at 1:100 water). | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| Crofton Weed (<i>Ageratina adenophora</i>) | Environmental Weed | PCT 1302 and road-sides | Physical removal of isolated infestations, crown must be removed. Chemical treat infestations (glyphosate 360g/L at 5:1000 water or Metsulfuron-methyl 600 g/kg at 15g per 100L water). | Treat during growing season (September to April). Follow up within 3 to 6 months. |
| Groundsel Bush (<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>) | Priority Weed – Regional Recommendation Measure - The plant or parts of the plant should not be traded, carried, grown or released in the environment | PCT 826 | Chemical treat infestations (glyphosate 360g/L at 1:100 water) | Treat during growing season (September to April). Follow up within 3 to 6 months. |
| Camphor Laurel (<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i>) | Environmental Weed | PCT 826 and PCT 827 | Cut and paint / basal brush trees <10 cm diameter (glyphosate). Drill injection on large trees (4 ml glyphosate). | Treat during growing season (September to April). |
| Small-leaf Privet (<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>) | Environmental Weed | All areas | Physically remove by Hand pulling plants of <3 cm diameter. Steam injection / basal Brush larger plants (glyphosate) | Treat during growing season (September to April). |

6. Monitoring of Retained Vegetation

Annual reports are required to be prepared and to include the outcomes of management activities in areas of retained vegetation, including, but not limited to, updates on vegetation condition and the rehabilitation activities undertaken in that reporting period. Changes in vegetation condition are required to be assessed by periodic determination of vegetation integrity, as per Chapter 4 of BAM 2020, along with reference to the identified benchmarks. Adaptive management procedures to be implemented in response to the results of monitoring.

Annual reports are required to be submitted to the Biodiversity Conservation Division of DPIE.

7. Rehabilitation objectives and criteria

7.1 Rehabilitation objectives

The rehabilitation objectives are provided in **Table 11**, in accordance with Development Consent Schedule 3 Condition 27.

| Feature | Objective |
|------------------------|---|
| Site (as a whole) | Safe, stable and non-polluting Final landform integrated with surrounding natural landforms as far as is reasonable and feasible, and designed to minimise the visual impacts of the development when viewed from surrounding land Restored with native, endemic vegetation |
| Surface infrastructure | 4. Restored with native, endemic vegetation |
| Quarry benches | 5. Progressive landscaping and revegetation using native tree and understorey species |
| Quarry pit floor | 6. Progressive landscaping and revegetation using native tree and understorey species, above the final anticipated void water level |

Table 11Rehabilitation objectives

7.2 Rehabilitation and closure criteria

Rehabilitation and closure criteria will be utilised to demonstrate achievement of rehabilitation objectives. Preliminary rehabilitation and closure criteria were developed as part of the Teven Quarry Project EIS (Umwelt 2014). These criteria have been developed further to incorporate progressive rehabilitation, erosion and sedimentation management, visual impact management and the preparation of a Closure Plan. The criteria are presented in **Table 12**. Triggers for corrective actions and recommended corrective actions, monitoring and reporting to assess rehabilitation performance against the criteria are provided in **Section 9**.

Table 12 Rehabilitation and closure criteria

| Rehabilitation objective | Rehabilitation and closure criteria |
|--|---|
| 1. Provide a safe, stable and non-polluting site | Progressive stabilisation and rehabilitation of quarry benches and floor. Rehabilitated slopes on overburden dumps are stable, and |
| | are battered to a maximum of 25°. |
| | • No significant erosion is present that would constitute a |

| Rehabilitation objective | Rehabilitation and closure criteria |
|--|--|
| | safety hazard or compromise the capability of support the end land use. |
| | • Terminal face rehabilitated landform has been assessed by a qualified geotechnical engineer to validate that it is stable and does not pose a safety risk. An indicative profile is shown in Figure 4 . |
| | Contour banks are stable and there is no evidence of overtopping or significant scouring as a result of runoff. |
| | Surface layer is free of any hazardous materials.Any contamination will be appropriately remediated so that |
| | appropriate guidelines for land use are met. |
| | Topsoil or a suitable alternative has been spread uniformly over the rehabilitation surface. |
| | Monitoring demonstrates soil profile development in rehabilitated areas (eg development of organic litter, litter layer). |
| | Runoff water quality from the site does not pose a threat to downstream water quality. |
| | Appropriate bushfire hazard controls have been implemented. |
| | Appropriate mechanisms are established to control access and manage public safety post-closure. |
| 2. Integrate the final landform with surrounding natural landforms as far as is reasonable and feasible, and minimise visual impacts on surrounding land | Progressive revegetation of quarry benches and floor with plant species representative of surrounding vegetation communities. Salvage topsoil and fauna habitat features from cleared areas for later use in rehabilitation. Construct a visual bund on the western quarry boundary. |
| 3. Restore the site with native, endemic | Revegetation areas contain flora species assemblages characteristic of the desired native vegetation communities. |
| vegetation | • Weed levels in retained native vegetation are maintained at a low level and compare with reference sites. |
| 4. Decommission and remove surface infrastructure, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise | • All surface infrastructure which does not have a potential future use associated with the post mining land use will be removed, unless such removal has greater environmental impact than rehabilitating the area with the infrastructure remaining in place. |
| | Removal of all services (power, water and communications). |
| | • All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the future land use have been assessed by an appropriately qualified person and determined to be suitable for the intended use and do not pose any hazard to the community. |
| 5. Progressively landscape and revegetate the quarry benches with native tree | • Second generation tree seedlings are present or likely to be, based on monitoring in comparable older rehabilitation sites (ie evidence of fruiting of native species observed). |
| and understorey species | More than 75% of trees are healthy as indicated by long- term monitoring. |
| | • Weeds do not comprise a significant proportion of species in any stratum (ie understorey, midstorey or canopy strata). |
| 6. Progressively landscape and revegetate the quarry | Low levels of feral fauna observed in area. |

| Rehabilitation objective | Rehabilitation and closure criteria |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| pit floor with native tree and understorey species, above the final anticipated water void | |

The rehabilitation and closure criteria outlined in **Table 12** have been developed based on an assumed final land use as a native ecosystem. Revised criteria would be developed and documented in the Closure Plan (to be developed) if an alternative final land use is proposed. These criteria will be reviewed throughout the quarry life and used as the basis for further refinement following the commencement of rehabilitation activities, consideration of the results of rehabilitation monitoring programs, and consideration of any stakeholder feedback.

7.3 Proposed final land use

At the completion of extraction and rehabilitation works in the quarry pit, Holcim proposes to primarily establish a native ecosystem on land available for rehabilitation in the pit, overburden and surface infrastructure areas, consistent with surrounding vegetation communities. Areas within the pit that are unsuitable for the establishment of native vegetation communities (eg rock faces) will be rehabilitated to form a safe and stable landform. Quarry benches, bunds and overburden areas will be reshaped, stabilised and revegetated by:

- Striping topsoil from impact areas into loose piles;
- Spreading topsoil with a nominal 10 cm thickness;
- Sowing target flora species (see Appendix C); and
- Installing erosion and sedimentation controls in accordance with the Teven Quarry Water Management Plan.

The final landform will be integrated with the surrounding landform through the sowing of target flora species representative of surrounding vegetation communities, and the creation of visual screening. This is discussed further in **Section 9**.

An alternative land use for the pit and surface infrastructure areas identified by Holcim is ongoing light industrial use. However, any such future use would be subject to suitable zoning, environmental assessment and planning approval. It is intended that native vegetation communities would be established on the remaining areas of the site.

Holcim will consider other sustainable and economically productive post-closure land uses as part of the detailed Quarry Closure Plan to be developed. Such post-closure land uses will be considered in the context of local and regional land use strategies that are in operation closer to the end of the quarry's life. Holcim will consult with relevant stakeholders including Ballina Shire Council during development of the Quarry Closure Plan with regard to the proposed final land use.

7.4 Integration of biodiversity management and rehabilitation

Biodiversity management has been integrated with rehabilitation by adopting the hierarchy of avoidance, minimisation and mitigation through rehabilitation. The following avoidance, minimisation and mitigation/rehabilitation strategies will be applied:

- Avoiding impacts on remnant subtropical rainforest (Figure 3);
- Avoiding and minimising of hollow-bearing tree removal;
- Minimising potential harm to fauna through implementation of a tree felling procedure;
- Mitigation of vegetation and habitat loss through the implementation of a rehabilitation strategy that targets the regeneration of surrounding Eucalypt, Brushbox and Rainforest communities outside the disturbance footprint, removes target weeds (Camphor Laurel and Lantana), and plants a variety of native species that provide habitat for fauna species; and
- Mitigation of habitat loss through the salvage and re-use of fauna habitat features.

Adoption of the above hierarchy results in an integrated biodiversity management strategy rather than isolated approaches throughout the project life. Mitigation measures will be implemented during operation and rehabilitation of the quarry to ensure impacts to vegetation and fauna follow this hierarchy.

Progressive rehabilitation will be undertaken to ensure visual, erosion and dust impacts are minimised. It should be noted however that the majority of rehabilitation will be completed closer to closure and post closure. Ecologically sensitive areas and areas outside of the extraction limit will be avoided, particularly Lowland Rainforest endangered ecological community, by clearly marking and restricting access to these areas. These areas are important in providing connectivity to native vegetation areas outside of the extraction boundary once rehabilitation has taken place. Retained vegetation will be managed such that impacts are avoided in areas directly adjacent to the extraction limit boundary. Regular inspections will be completed in retained native vegetation and rehabilitation areas to monitor weed infestations. Weed control protocols will be implemented in areas of native vegetation and rehabilitation if infestations are found.

Species to be used for revegetation and reseeding will be representative of surrounding native vegetation communities to ensure successful integration of rehabilitation and biodiversity management at the site. Fauna habitat features and topsoil to will be salvaged for use during rehabilitation, including felled hollow-bearing trees, fallen timber and bush rock. The use of existing materials with newly instated habitat features will encourage fauna to utilise the rehabilitated areas and provide connectivity to adjacent native vegetation and rehabilitation areas.

8. Remnant vegetation and habitat disturbance management controls

The relevant remnant vegetation and habitat disturbance management controls are outlined in **Table 13**.

Table 13 Remnant vegetation and habitat disturbance management controls

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Pre-clearance s | surveys | | | |
| BR1 | Pre-clearance surveys will be completed by a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist prior to any vegetation clearing within the Extraction Limit Boundary. The purpose of the pre-clearance survey is to identify fauna habitat features including tree hollows, hollow logs, burrows, nests, boulders and Koala feed trees (ie Tallowwood) that require management during vegetation clearing. | Previous management plan | Prior to clearing | Quarry Manager Ecologist |
| BR2 | Fauna habitat features will be marked with brightly coloured (ie pink/red) spray paint and flagging tape. The location and type (ie hollow tree) will be recorded using a digital GPS. The results will be tabulated in the pre- clearing report, which will determine the number, type and location of fauna habitat features for management during vegetation clearing. The report will also document the habitat features available for salvage and future use in rehabilitation (see Section 9). | Previous management plan | Prior to clearing | Quarry Manager Ecologist |
| Vegetation clea | ring procedure | | | |
| BR3 | The Extraction Limit Boundary will be surveyed by a registered surveyor and clearly marked in the field with survey pegs. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR4 | The vegetation clearing area will be checked for the presence of Koalas prior to any tree felling. If Koalas are present in the area to be cleared, clearing will cease in this area until such time that the Koala moves outside | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|---|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | the clearing area. | | | Ecologist |
| BR5 | All non-hollow bearing trees will be cleared first, and the clearing of all hollow-bearing trees and Koala feed trees will be avoided, where possible. This will provide hollow-dependent fauna with a chance to self -relocate | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | and reduce handling stress. | | | Ecologist |
| BR6 | Hollow bearing trees will be shaken by tapping the tree with the excavator bucket the afternoon/evening prior to clearing. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR7 | Hollow bearing trees and Koala feed trees will be cleared 24 hours following the clearing of non- hollow bearing trees under the supervision of a suitably qualified and experienced ecologist. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR8 | The clearing plant operator will fell the tree as slowly as possible to minimise the intensity of the impact to any fauna potentially roosting in the tree hollow. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR9 | The ecologist will view the tree hollows with an inspection camera for signs of any trapped or injured fauna. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| BR10 | Injured fauna will be carefully captured by the ecologist and taken to the nearest veterinarian. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR11 | Juvenile fauna will be carefully captured by the ecologist and taken to the nearest wildlife carer. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR12 | Felled hollow bearing trees should be left in situ for 24 hours following clearing to allow fauna potentially roosting in the hollows to self-relocate. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| BR13 | Where practical, felled hollow bearing trees should be stockpiled for future use in the future rehabilitation areas, where they would provide habitat for ground-dwelling fauna species. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Ecologist |
| Weed Managen | nent | | | |
| BR14 | Soil disturbance for quarrying and the entry of machinery from off site has the potential to introduce weeds or cause existing weeds to spread into areas of retained native vegetation and rehabilitation areas. A weed management program will be implemented, consisting of: | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | Regular inspections of the retained native vegetation and rehabilitation areas to identify weed infestations; | | | Weed contractor |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------|
| BR15 | Weed control in accordance with the techniques prescribed in Noxious and Environmental Weed Control Handbook (http://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/pests- weeds/weeds/publications/noxious-enviro-weed-control); and Annual review of the noxious weed listings for the Ballina LGA to identify any recently listed species that may require control. Weed control should focus on Camphor Laurel, Lantana and other exotic weeds identified during regular weed inspections. | 2015 EIS | When clearing | Quarry Manager |
| | | | | Weed contractor |
| Habitat Reinsta | itement | | | |
| BR16 | Where practical, felled hollow-bearing trees and rocks will be stockpiled for future use in the rehabilitation areas. These habitat features will provide shelter habitat in the rehabilitation areas and encourage ground-dwelling fauna to recolonise the cleared area. | 2015 EIS | When clearing and in future rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| Bushfire Manag | gement | | | 1 |
| BR17 | The site water management system provides a sufficient water supply to use in the event of a bushfire. Where possible, firefighting equipment including fire hydrants, extinguishers and hose reels are provided at all infrastructure areas and on mobile equipment. Such equipment will be maintained in accordance with Australian Standards and Work Health and Safety guidelines. | 2015 EIS | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| BR18 | Holcim has a history of safe operation of Teven Quarry and the implementation of appropriate bushfire risk measures. Holcim will continue to implement these measures to minimise the risk of bushfire, in | 2015 EIS | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS). | | | |
| Erosion and se | diment control | | | 1 |
| BR19 | Appropriate erosion and sediment control works will be implemented and maintained by Holcim in the vicinity of the construction and infrastructure areas. Measures will also be implemented to stabilise the rehabilitation areas prior to revegetation. All erosion and sediment controls will be implemented in accordance with the Teven Quarry Water Management Plan. | 2015 EIS | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| Retained veget | ation management | | | |
| BR20 | The following management controls and activities are permitted in areas of retained native vegetation (Figure 3), ie the area between the extraction limit boundary and project area boundary: Use and maintenance of existing access tracks; Exclusion of stock; | 2015 EIS | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| | Fencing repair/installation (where required); Erosion and sediment control (where required); Management of vegetation for bushfire, in consultation with the RFS; Routine weed control; and Feral animal control (if required). | | | |
| BR21 | Install boundary marking to separate retained vegetation from clearance areas. This will be completed in accordance with Attachment 4.19A Holcim (Australia) Aggregates Boundary Marking Standard and Section 6.18 of Attachment 6.00A Environmental Standards for Aggregate Operations. | BCD consultation letter March 2021 | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| BR22 | Undertake an annual weeding program. | BCD consultation letter March | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|---|---|---|----------------|
| | | 2021 | | |
| BR23 | Undertake an annual inspection of retained vegetation areas to assist with management. | BCD consultation letter March 2021 | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| BR24 | Undertake annual vegetation monitoring program at the two established monitoring plots located within PCT 827 and PCT 1032. Monitoring to follow the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) 2020 methodology. | BCD consultation letter March 2021 | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| BR25 | Quarry staff involved with onsite operations will undergo an induction in regard to identifying clearing and disturbance boundary limits and vegetation exclusion zones. | BCD consultation letter March 2021 | Prior to starting work as a Teven staff member. | Quarry Manager |

Teven Quarry Biodiversity & Rehab Management

9. Rehabilitation management controls

The relevant rehabilitation management controls are outlined in Table 14.

Table 14 Rehabilitation Management Controls

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Progressive rel | habilitation | | | |
| R1 | Rehabilitation will be undertaken progressively to minimise the visual impact of the quarry the potential for erosion and dust generation and provide native vegetation and habitat resources for fauna. Rehabilitation will follow clearing and quarrying activities, which will commence at the highest bench and terminate at the quarry floor. Each bench will be stabilised and revegetated following clearing. | 2015 EIS | Throughout life of the quarry | Quarry Manager |
| Salvage of mat | erials | | | |
| R2 | Habitat FeaturesWhere practical, habitat features including hollow-bearing trees, hollow logs and rocks will be salvaged during vegetation clearing and stockpiled for future use in the rehabilitation areas. These habitat features will provide shelter habitat in the rehabilitation areas and encourage ground-dwelling fauna to recolonise the cleared area. | 2015 EIS | During clearing | Quarry Manager |
| R3 | TopsoilHolcim has sufficient volumes of suitable overburden for use during rehabilitation activities. However, local topsoil may be intermittently imported and stockpiled upon availability. Soil testing (including suitability, contamination and weed infestation) will be completed prior to importing soil or other organic materials to the site. | 2015 EIS | During clearing | Quarry Manager |
| R4 | Topsoil Where practical, topsoil from cleared areas will be salvaged during clearing | 2015 EIS | During clearing | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | operations and stored for later use on the rehabilitation areas. The following topsoil management controls will be implemented: Where practical, topsoil will be stripped when moist to maintain soil structure and reduce dust; Topsoil stockpile sites will be located on level or gently sloping areas to minimise erosion; | | | |
| | Sediment and erosion controls will be implemented to prevent erosion; The height of topsoil stockpiles will not exceed 3 m, and will be placed in windrows to maximise surface exposure; Topsoil stockpiles stored in excess of three months will be stabilised with a sterile cover crop to prevent erosion and weed invasion; Topsoil stockpiles will be monitored regularly for weed growth; Weed growth will be scalped from the top of topsoil stockpiles prior to re-spreading in the rehabilitation areas; and Topsoil stockpiles will be appropriately signposted to prevent unauthorised use or disturbance. | | | |
| R5 | Substrate preparation The substrate should be prepared in accordance with the following measures: Prior to revegetation, soils will be characterised to determine the type and required application rates of soil ameliorants (ie gypsum, lime, fertiliser, biosolids); The required soil ameliorants will be applied prior to reshaping of the landform; In areas where direct seeding is proposed, the soil should be deep ripped parallel to the contour; and Appropriate erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented. | 2015 EIS | During clearing | Quarry Manager |
| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| R6 | Holcim personnel will review the feasibility of seed collection in the project area. If it is deemed to be feasible, seed collection will focus on locally native plant species representative of the surrounding native vegetation communities. Where adverse seasonal conditions (ie drought) affect seed production in the project area, local provenance seed (ie within 10–20 km of the project area) should be sought from a local nursery. | | During clearing | Quarry Manager Ecologist |
| Species and co | mmunities to be planted | | | - · |
| R7 | The following communities should be the focus of revegetation activities: PCT 827 - Flooded Gum - Tallowwood - Brush Box moist open forest of the coastal ranges of the North ; PCT 826 - Flooded Gum - Brush Box moist forest of the coastal ranges of the North Coast ; and PCT 1302 - White Booyong - Fig subtropical rainforest of the NSW North Coast Bioregion . Species characteristic of these vegetation communities are recommended for use in revegetation and are listed in Appendix C. | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| Fencing and Ac | ccess Controls | <u> </u> | | |
| R8 | The Extraction Limit Boundary should be marked and maintained to prevent access into the retained native vegetation where reasonable and practical, with the exception of contractors undertaking weed and introduced fauna management. | 2015 EIS | During clearing | Quarry Manager |
| Conceptual Fin | al Landform | | | |
| R9 | The conceptual final landform for the quarry is shown in Figure 6 and will primarily consist of the rehabilitated western overburden emplacement area, water management structures, and the quarry void. An indicative | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | cross section of the quarry benches in the final void is presented in Figure 7 , which will comprise the following: | | | |
| | Shaped, stabilised and planted quarry benches; Planted vegetation screening along the western quarry boundary to minimise visual impacts; A safety bund (approximately 1.5 m height) at the toe of each bench; Trees and shrubs planted on backfilled benches; and A vehicle access track (approximately 3 m wide) with spoon drains to redirect water rungfilled access bench. | | | |
| R10 | redirect water runoff along each bench. Quarry Pit | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| | Rehabilitation of the quarry pit will be achieved by battering back the upper bench in highly weathered material to achieve a stable sloping landform of approximately 1V:2H. The gradient of this conceptual final landform is considered to result in a safe and stable landform. Notwithstanding, Holcim | | | |
| R11 | will conduct ongoing stability monitoring throughout the life of the quarry. Quarry Pit | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| | Quarry benches will be rehabilitated with overburden material. A 1.5 m high bund will be created at the toe of each quarry bench to act as a safety barrier and redirect water runoff. The overburden will then be covered with stored topsoil or a suitable alternative that is seeded with locally endemic plant species. A sterile cover crop will be applied prior to native seed broadcasting to stabilise the topsoil. The safety bund will be direct seeded with endemic grass species. | | | |
| R12 | Quarry Pit Where possible, rehabilitation will be completed progressively as part of the ongoing development of the quarry. Opportunities for progressive rehabilitation of the quarry pit will be limited until such time as the quarry pit | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | has expanded to its full extent. Terminal quarry pit walls will be progressively rehabilitated once they are available, and opportunities for progressive rehabilitation within the pit will be sought and implemented during staged quarry development. | | | |
| R13 | Quarry Pit Rehabilitation areas will be seeded/planted with a mixture of locally endemic plant species, representative of the surrounding vegetation communities. Revegetation will be conducted using direct seeding with locally endemic species and a cover crop for stabilisation. Where direct seeding is not possible or fails to establish native species cover, native tubestock should be planted. Planting tubestock is considered appropriate for steep slopes. | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| R14 | Quarry Pit Security measures including a fence, appropriately designed safety berms and signage will be installed and maintained in consultation with the landowner and the relevant government agencies. Measures to restrict access and manage public safety following site closure will be developed as part of the Quarry Closure Plan. | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| R15 | Overburden emplacement areas Overburden will be placed in the western overburden emplacement area (see Figure 6), in accordance with the following measures: Slopes will be battered to a maximum of 25°; The surface of the overburden emplacement area will be constructed such that excess ponding of surface water is prevented and a profile is created that is broadly commensurate with the local topography; A surface drainage network will be established that diverts most surface water away from the final pit and the replenishment of natural catchment areas is maximised; | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |

| Mitigation ID | Mitigation Measures | Reference Document | When Required | Responsibility |
|---------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| | The overburden emplacement area will be covered with topsoil (or a suitable alternative) and seeded with local provenance seed; and A sterile cover crop will be applied prior to broadcasting local provenance seed. | | | |
| R16 | Surface infrastructure areas During decommissioning, the processing plant, workshop and other buildings no longer required will be removed. Where required, the product stockpile, processing plant, workshop, office and weighbridge areas will be reshaped, deep ripped, topsoiled and revegetated. | 2015 EIS | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| R17 | Holcim Australia will implement the following measures to minimise impacts of the operation on ecological values: Avoidance of impact on remnant subtropical rainforest community within Lot 1; Conservation, where possible, of hollow bearing trees; Implementation of a tree felling procedure to minimise potential impacts on fauna, in particular, koalas; and Implementation of a rehabilitation strategy targeting regeneration of Eucalypt, Brushbox and Rainforest communities across the non-disturbance areas of the site. This strategy involves weed management protocols for Camphor Laurel, Lantana and weed species, and targeted planting of a range of recommended native species, including rare and threatened plant species and species which may be of benefit to threatened fauna species. | Statement of Commitments | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| R18 | A detailed Quarry Closure Plan will be developed approximately three years prior to cessation of developed approximately three years prior to cessation of quarrying activities. Section 6.5.1 quarrying activities. | Statement of Commitments | Three years prior to closure | Quarry Manager |
| R19 | The revised EMP will detail the approach to rehabilitation of the Project, including the species to be used in revegetation works. | Statement of Commitments | This document | Quarry Manager |
| R20 | Where practicable, rehabilitation will be completed progressively as part of the ongoing development of the quarry. | Statement of Commitments | During rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |
| R21 | Annual inspections of rehabilitated areas will be undertaken over the life of the Project to assist in guiding rehabilitation practice. | Statement of Commitments | Annual during rehabilitation | Quarry Manager |



Conceptual final landform Teven Quarry Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan





10. Short, medium and long-term biodiversity management measures

Specific measures have been provided to be implemented in the short, medium and long-term during the quarry's life. Short-term measures will be completed between 0-3 years, medium-term actions from 3-10 years and long-term measures from 10-30 years. These measures and the timing of their implementation are described in **Table 15**.

| Table 15 Timing of implementation | Table 15 | Timing of implementation |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|

| | Tim | ing of implement | ation |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Measure | Short term (0-3 years) | Medium-term (3-10 years) | Long-term (10-30 years) |
| Decommissioning | | | |
| Pre-clearance surveys prior to vegetation clearing | | | |
| Stablised slopes to be rehabilitated on overburden dumps and contour banks. | | | |
| Progressive rehabilitation to avoid visual, erosion and dust impacts from the works. | | | |
| Install erosion and sediment controls. | | | |
| Rehabilitation of any contaminates. | | | |
| Spread of topsoil or a suitable alternative over rehabilitation surfaces to develop a soil profile | | | |
| Appropriate bushfire hazard controls Implemented | | | |
| Strip topsoil from impact areas. | | | |
| Sow target flora species in rehabilitation areas | | | |
| Retained vegetation strategy to avoid ecological sensitive areas and areas outside of the extraction limit. | | | |
| Weed controls in areas of native vegetation and rehabilitation areas when infestations are found. | | | |
| Salvage of fauna habitat features and topsoil. | | | |
| Staged clearing of hollow-bearing and Koala trees. | | | |

10.1 Measures to be implemented over the next three years

Activities at Teven Quarry over the next three years will focus on development of the quarry and to commence progressive rehabilitation. The primary short-term objectives (i.e. next 3 years) will be to minimise the impacts of quarry to biodiversity, and commence progressive rehabilitation as soon as practical. Biodiversity management and rehabilitation activities will consist of the following during the next three years:

- Weed monitoring and identification of introduced fauna control requirements;
- Weed management to enhance the value of remnant native vegetation;
- Rehabilitation activities where possible; and
- Commencement of the rehabilitation monitoring program (if any active rehabilitation has been undertaken).

11. Ecological and rehabilitation monitoring and management

Rehabilitation monitoring is required by Condition 29(g) of the Development Consent (SSD 6422). This chapter details the rehabilitation monitoring program, completion criteria and reporting requirements for Teven Quarry. Baseline monitoring of areas of retained native vegetation was undertaken by SLR in August 2021.

11.1 Rehabilitation monitoring and reporting

Rehabilitation areas will be monitored on an annual basis, by site staff, for the life of quarrying operations. An annual report of monitoring results will be completed in accordance with the Teven Quarry Annual Review, in accordance with the Development Consent.

The following variables will be monitored:

- Soil conditions and erosion;
- Performance of drainage and sediment control structures;
- Runoff water quality;
- Native plant germination rates;
- Plant health; and
- Weed infestation.

The rehabilitation monitoring results will be reviewed and the required corrective measures will be determined. Depending on the monitoring results, the following corrective measures may be required:

- Weed and feral animal control in rehabilitation areas;
- Erosion control;
- Additional seed broadcasting or planting tubestock where germination and/or survival rates are low; and
- Repair of fences, access tracks and other general land management.

The monitoring program and implementation of corrective actions will be continued until it can be demonstrated that the completion criteria have been satisfied.

Holcim will record the details of each rehabilitation and revegetation action undertaken to facilitate a review of their effectiveness, allow for adaptive management and achievement of good environmental outcomes. The following will be recorded:

- Landform design details;
- Drainage design details;
- Substrate characterisation;
- Site preparation techniques (eg topsoil source, time of sowing, soil ameliorants applied);
- Revegetation methods (eg cover crop and rate, seed germination rates);
- Weather conditions;
- Photographic records; and
- Corrective actions implemented.

11.2 Monitoring progress of rehabilitation against performance criteria (Contingency Response)

Rehabilitation performance indicators are provided in **Section 7**. Rehabilitation monitoring against performance indicators will be undertaken progressively during rehabilitation of the site. Refinement of closure criteria will be undertaken through the development of a Closure

Plan, which will be developed three years from closure. There have been no changes to closure criteria during the 2021 document review.

Monitoring rehabilitation progress against closure criteria provides a positive feedback loop whereby, based on the results of monitoring, specific actions can be implemented to assist in the progression of rehabilitation and achievement of rehabilitation goals and objectives.

The rehabilitation performance indicators will be reviewed and revised in consultation with DPIE throughout the life of quarrying operations and used as the basis for further refinement following:

- Ecological management activities;
- Consideration of the results of rehabilitation monitoring programs; and
- Consideration of stakeholder feedback.

It is envisaged that this process will occur as part of subsequent reviews of the B&RMP that are submitted to DPIE.

The gradual achievement (or otherwise) of these completion criteria will be assessed and discussed in the annual monitoring report, which will include the identification of instances where criteria is not met, and measures taken to address any issue.

Rehabilitation monitoring will determine how the site is tracking against completion criteria. It is noted that much of the rehabilitation at site will not be completed until close to closure.

The contingency response regarding the site's progress against rehabilitation monitoring is outlined in **Table 16**.

| Rehabilitation objective | Performance criteria | Triggers for corrective action | Recommended corrective actions | Monitoring | Reporting |
|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------|
| Provide a safe, stable and non- polluting site | Progressive stabilisation and rehabilitation of quarry benches and floors | Works have been completed on quarry bench or floor however rehabilitation has not commenced | Commence rehabilitation of quarry bench/floor | Keep records of the staging of works | Annual reporting |
| | Rehabilitated slopes on overburden dumps are stable, and are battered to a maximum of 25° | Rehabilitated slopes on overburden dumps are eroding | Stability assessment of overburden dumps by a qualified geotechnical engineer Re-shape and spray with cover crop | Visual stability inspections | Annual reporting |
| | No significant erosion is present that would constitute a safety hazard or compromise the capability of support the end land use | Erosion observed during rehabilitation monitoring | Stability assessment by a qualified geotechnical engineer Stabilise surface prior to significant erosion occurring | Visual erosion inspections | Annual reporting |
| | Terminal face rehabilitated landform has been assessed by a qualified geotechnical engineer to validate that it is stable and does not pose a safety risk. An indicative profile is shown in Figure 7 | Terminal face landform is unstable | Stability assessment of terminal face by a qualified geotechnical engineer Compact and spray with cover crop | Visual landform stability inspections | Annual reporting |
| | Contour banks are stable and there is no evidence of overtopping or significant scouring as a result of runoff | Evidence of overtopping and scouring from runoff | Stability assessment of contour banks by a qualified geotechnical engineer as required Re-shape and spray with cover | Visual contour bank inspections | Annual reporting |

Table 16 Rehabilitation and closure criteria and triggers for corrective action (Contingency Response)

| Rehabilitation objective | Performance criteria | Triggers for corrective action | Recommended corrective actions | Monitoring | Reporting |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|----------------------|
| | Surface layer is free of any hazardous materials | Hazardous materials observed in surface layer | Contamination assessment by a suitably qualified contamination specialist Demark area and test to confirm contamination. If contaminated excavate and remove to a licensed facility | Visual inspections to identify contamination | Annual reporting |
| | Any contamination will be appropriately remediated so that appropriate guidelines for land use are met | Contamination is identified | Remediation plan developed by a suitably qualified contamination specialist Excavation and removal of contaminated material to a licensed facility | Visual inspections to identify contamination | Annual reporting |
| | Topsoil or a suitable alternative has been spread uniformly over the rehabilitation surface | Unsuitable soil has been spread, or not spread uniformly | Import suitable soil or spread soil uniformly | Visual inspection to determine topsoil spreading and quality | Annual reporting |
| | Monitoring demonstrates soil profile development in rehabilitated areas (eg development of organic litter, litter layer) | Soil profile not well developed | Add woody debris or mulch | Visual inspection to ensure suitable development of topsoil growth media | Annual reporting |
| | Runoff water quality from the site does not pose a threat to downstream water quality | Runoff water quality outside of the benchmarks outlined in the Teven Water Management Plan | Treat water in accordance with water management plan prior to discharge off site | Water quality monitoring in accordance with the Teven Quarry Water Management Plan. | Annual reporting |
| | Appropriate bushfire hazard controls have been implemented | Bushfire | Review bushfire management procedures and asset protection | Event-driven bushfire monitoring | Annual reporting |

| Rehabilitation objective | Performance criteria | Triggers for corrective action | Recommended corrective actions | Monitoring | Reporting |
|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------|
| | Appropriate mechanisms are established to control access and manage public safety post-closure | Unauthorised entry | zones Review fencing and security measures and implement appropriate measures to secure the site where reasonable and practical | Visual inspection of fencing and security measures | Annual reporting |
| 2. Integrate the final landform with surrounding natural landforms as far as is reasonable and feasible, and minimise visual impacts on surrounding | Revegetation of quarry benches and floor with plant species representative of surrounding vegetation communities | Unsuitable plant species used in revegetation (ie those not locally sourced or different species than those listed in Section 4 and Appendix C) | Use locally sourced plant species from the recommended list in Appendix C | Audit of species against the target communities and provenance | Annual reporting |
| | Salvage topsoil and fauna habitat features from cleared areas for later use in rehabilitation | Topsoil and habitat features stockpiled, and not spread across the rehabilitation area | Evenly spread topsoil fauna habitat features across the rehabilitation area | Visual inspection of topsoil and fauna habitat features | Annual reporting |
| | Construct a visual bund on the western quarry boundary | Survival of planted visual bund tubestock falls below 70%, and/or does not provide appropriate screening | Replace lost tubestock | Quarterly monitoring to determine survival rates of tubestock | Annual reporting |
| Restore the site with native, endemic vegetation | Revegetation areas contain flora species assemblages characteristic of the desired native vegetation | Unsuitable plant species used in revegetation (ie those not locally sourced or | Use locally sourced plant species from the recommended list in Appendix C | Audit of species against the target communities and provenance | Annual reporting |

| Rehabilitation objective | Performance criteria | Triggers for corrective action | Recommended corrective actions | Monitoring | Reporting |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | communities. | different species than those listed in Section 7 and Appendix C Survival of planted tubestock falls below 70% | Replace lost tubestock | Quarterly monitoring to determine survival rates of tubestock | Annual reporting |
| | Weed levels in retained native vegetation are maintained at a low level and compared with reference sites | Weed levels exceed those recorded at reference sites | Weed control using best practice guidelines | Follow-up weed inspections | Annual reporting |
| 4. Decommission and remove surface infrastructure, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise | All surface infrastructure which does not have a potential future use associated with the post mining land use will be removed, unless such removal has greater environmental impact than rehabilitating the area with the infrastructure remaining in place | Surface infrastructure not removed by decommissioning stage | Remove surface infrastructure | Monitoring in accordance with the Closure Plan to be developed | Reporting in accordance with the Closure Plan to be developed |
| | Removal of all services (power, water and communications) | Services not removed by decommissioning stage | Remove services | Monitoring in accordance with the Closure Plan to be developed | Reporting in accordance with the Closure Plan to be developed. |
| | All infrastructure that is to remain as part of the future land use have been assessed by an | Infrastructure not assessed by decommissioning stage | Assess infrastructure to remain | Monitoring in accordance with the Closure Plan to be developed | Reporting in accordance with the Closure Plan |

| Rehabilitation objective | Performance criteria | Triggers for corrective action | Recommended corrective actions | Monitoring | Reporting |
|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------|
| | appropriately qualified person and determined to be suitable for the intended use and do not pose any hazard to the community | | | | to be developed |
| 5. Progressively landscape and revegetate the quarry benches with native tree and understorey species | Second generation tree seedlings are present or likely to be, based on monitoring in comparable older rehabilitation sites (ie evidence of fruiting of native species observed) | No evidence of fruiting/seeding observed | Source suitable local seed and replant species that are not fruiting | Comparison of short-term monitoring results (0-3 years) with medium term (3- 10 years) results | Annual reporting |
| 6. Progressively landscape and revegetate the quarry pit floor with native tree and understorey species, above the | More than 75% of trees are healthy as indicated by long-term monitoring | Less than 75% of trees are healthy | Plant additional trees | Comparison of long-term (10-30 years) plant survival when compared with numbers originally planted | Annual reporting |
| final anticipated water void level | Weeds do not comprise a significant proportion of species in any stratum (ie understorey, midstorey or canopy strata) | New noxious weed species recorded | Engage a weed control contractor | Floristic plots in retained native vegetation and rehabilitation areas to determine weed coverage. | Annual reporting |
| | Low levels of feral fauna observed in area | Fox observed in the project area Rabbit warrens observed in the project area | Engage a suitably qualified pest contractor to undertake pest control | Visual inspection for scats and tracks | Annual reporting |

11.3 Risks to the successful implementation of the plan

Risks to the successful implementation of this plan include:

- Poor definition of roles and responsibilities for implementation;
- Failure of responsible parties to complete required actions;
- Failure to complete adequate reviews of the plan and implement corrective actions;
- Vegetation clearing outside the Extraction Limit Boundary; and
- Stochastic events that affect revegetation success, i.e. bushfire or drought.

These risks will be managed through a clear definition of roles and responsibilities, adhering to regular reviews of the plan, adherence to the Extraction Limit Boundary and adaptive management.

12. Reporting and Compliance Management

12.1 Annual Review

A summary of rehabilitation and remnant vegetation monitoring results and management works including rehabilitation will be provided in the Teven Quarry Annual Review in accordance with Schedule 5 Condition 4 of the Development Consent.

12.2 Incident Reporting

Clearing outside the Extraction Limit Boundary will be managed in accordance with the Teven Quarry EMS which includes a procedure for the management of environmental incidents and community complaints. Reporting and management of incidents will be completed as per **Table 17**.

| Aspect | Summary |
|----------------------|--|
| Initial Notification | As soon as practical after becoming aware of the breach of results due to quarry activities, the Quarry Manager will notify the Holcim NSW Planning and Environment Manager and enter the incident into the Holcim Safety, Health & Environment (SHE) reporting database (INX). |
| | The Quarry Manager will notify the Secretary of the DPIE of the EPA of the incident as soon practicable. |
| Reporting | A report will be prepared and submitted by the Quarry Manager to the DPIE and EPA within 7 days of becoming aware of the incident, this report will include: Cause of the non-compliance. Environmental Harm caused due to the non-compliance. Actions undertaken to rectify the non-compliance and ensure. |
| Subsequent Review | Following the reporting of subsequent review, should it be concluded that the Quarry is the source of elevated pollutant levels, the continuous improvement process outlined in the EMS is to be implemented and corrective actions identified. |

Table 17 Reporting and Management of Incidents

12.3 Complaint Response

Complaints relating to biodiversity or rehabilitation from Teven Quarry are to be managed in accordance with the requirements of the Teven Quarry EMS. A summary of complaints will be available to regulatory authorities on request, published on the Holcim website and provided in the Annual Review.

12.4 Training

Staff involved with onsite operations will undergo an induction in regard to identifying clearing and disturbance boundary limits and vegetation exclusion zones. Those completing rehabilitation work at Teven Quarry will be provided a copy of this management plan to assist with rehabilitation and closure implementation. Training will be provided to on-site personnel in regard to avoiding sensitive vegetation (listed in **Table 5** and **Appendix B**).

13. Review and Improvement

Ongoing monitoring and review of the performance and implementation of this plan will be undertaken in accordance with the Teven Quarry EMS.

As per Schedule 5, Condition 5 of the Development Consent, Holcim will review, and if necessary revise, the plan within three months of the submission of an:

- Annual review;
- Incident report;
- Audit report; and
- Any modifications to the Development Consent.

In terms of sub clause a), the requirement to review and update management plans will be assessed during the preparation of each Annual Review. The Annual Review will state which management plans require updating and which management plans do not require updating. Details on the requirements to prepare Annual Reviews are outlined in the Environmental Management Strategy.

Updated versions of management plans will be put on the website.

14. Roles and Responsibilities

The roles and responsibilities for implementing this plan are provided in Table 18.

| Table 18 | Roles and responsibilities |
|----------|----------------------------|
|----------|----------------------------|

| Role | Responsibilities |
|--|--|
| Holcim Australia General Manger and Operations Manager | Approve appropriate resources for the effective implementation of this plan |
| Teven Quarry Manager | Allocate sufficient resources to facilitate implementation of this B&RMP |
| | Coordinate implementation of this B&RMP |
| | Review the plan and audit its implementation against the conditions of development consent |
| | Coordinate rehabilitation monitoring |
| | Evaluate and report monitoring results |
| Holcim Planning and Approvals Manager | Coordinate biodiversity-related incident investigations and reporting as required by legislation and internal standards and guidelines |
| Holcim Environmental | Assist with the review of this plan |
| Manager | Assist with biodiversity-related incident investigations and reporting as required by legislation and internal standards and guidelines |
| All employees and | Comply with all requirements of this plan |
| contractors of Teven Quarry | Report all potential environmental incidents immediately to the supervisor |
| | Seek approval from the Teven Quarry Manger prior to changing infrastructure/processes which may result in impacts to biodiversity and rehabilitation areas |

15. References

BW McCloskey Development Consultants 1995, Environmental Impact Statement: Extractive Industry and Gravel Crushing Plan Fox's Quarry – Stokes Lane Teven, Lots 2 and 3 DP732288 Shire of Ballina.

EMM Consulting 2019. Pollution Reduction Program – Teven Quarry – Review of Current Sediment Basin Management and Stormwater Management.

GHD April 2020. Teven Quarry Project Independent Environmental Compliance Audit.

National Trust of Australia 1999, Bush Regenerators Handbook, NSW National Trust of Australia, Sydney.

Umwelt 2014, Teven Quarry Project Environmental Impact Statement, report to Holcim Australia.

16. Change Information

Table 19 summarises the main changes in the management plan updates.Table 19Summary of Document Changes

| Version | Date | Change Summary |
|---------|---------------|---|
| 1 | May 2016 | Original management plan |
| 2 | August 2020 | Review of the template for all Teven management plans; General structure updates; Section 2- Consultation – separate section; Section 3 – Statutory requirements; Section 6 and 7 – inclusion of responsibilities and timing for controls; Section 11 – inclusion of change information. The following did not change: No change to monitoring or reporting requirements; and No change to figures. |
| 3 | November 2021 | See Appendix A for changes in response to DPIE's review and BCD's review. |

Appendix A Consultation

DPIE

Dear Evan Smith,

The Department is requesting that you provide additional information in relation to the Teven Quarry - B&RMP.

Please access your profile for details of this request and to upload your response. You are requested to provide this response by 6/11/2020.

If you have any enquiries, please contact Mark Davis at Mark.Davis@planning.nsw.gov.au .

To sign in to your account click here or visit the Major Projects Website.

Please do not reply to this email.

Kind regards

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment

The linked image cannot be displayed. The file may have been moved, renamed, or deleted. Verify that the link points to the correct file and location.

Subscribe to our newsletter



Mr Evan Smith Environmental Manager

Teven Quarry By email: evan.smith@lafargeholcim.com

07/10/2020

Dear Mr. Smith

Teven Quarry (SSD-6422) Request for Additional Information

I refer to your submission of the revised Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan (B&RMP), dated August 2020, in accordance with condition 29 of Schedule 3 of the Teven Quarry development consent (SSD-6422).

The Department has carefully considered the revised B&RMP and requests that you provide additional information as detailed in Attachment A.

You are requested to provide the revised B&RMP to the Department by Fri 06 November 2020. If you are unable to meet this deadline, you are required to provide an updated timeframe for the provision of this information.

If you have any questions, please contact Mark Davis at 8275 1518.

Yours sincerely

Colin Phillips Team Leader Resource Assessments (Coal & Quarries)

Teven Quarry Department of Planning Industry and Environment Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan Review – Oct 2020

| Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan, Schedule 3, Condition 29 | Satisfacto ry (Yes/No) | | Comment | Action Required | Holcim | Response |
|---|------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Biodiversity & Rehabilitation | Partial | ٠ | 29.a requires | Holcim must update | • | Biodiversity |
| Management Plan (B&RMP) | | | consultation | this plan to address | | Management |
| 29. The Applicant shall prepare | | | with the BCD | the DPIEs | | Plan sent BCD |
| and implement a Biodiversity | | | (formerly | comments. | | on 4 February |
| and Rehabilitation | | | OEH) in the | | | 2021. Feedback |
| Management Plan for the site | | | preparation of | | | was received on |
| to the satisfaction of the | | | the amended | | | the 9 March |
| Secretary. The plan must: | | | B&RMP. | | | 2021. Holcim |
| a) Be prepared in consultation | | • | The Quarry's | | | have addressed |
| with OEH, and be submitted to | | | Consent | | | BCD feedback |

| Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan, Schedule 3, Condition 29 | Satisfacto ry (Yes/No) | Comment | Action Required | Holcim Response |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| the Secretary for approval within 6 months of the date of this consent, unless the Secretary agrees otherwise; | | allows, with the Secretary's approval, for the revised management plan to be prepared without further agency consultation. However, as comments were not provided by BCD (OEH) in 2016 DPIE requires that consultation with BCD be undertaken for this revised 2020 B&RMP. Copies of consultation for the 2020 version of the B&RMP need to be included in an Appendix to the B&RMP. • Section (S.) 2.2 'update management' (plan). | | (see Appendix A). |
| b) Provide details of the conceptual final landform and associated land uses for the site; | Yes | S.5.3 & S.7 R9-R21. | | |
| c) Describe how the management of biodiversity would be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; | Yes | • S.5.4 | | |
| d) Include detailed performance and completion criteria for evaluating the performance of the biodiversity management measures and rehabilitation of the site, including triggers for any necessary remedial action; | No | DPIE requires clarity on how the adjacent weeds (Commitment 28 dot-point 4) are managed. The criterion that weeds being at the same levels as adjacent sites is not acceptable if those sites are infested with weeds i.e. Camphor Laurel Forest, | Where is the evidence for the Weed Management Program? | Weed management in retained vegetation areas is addressed by Section 5.4 and Table 9 (BR20). The wording for weed criteria has been changed to compare against reference sites: 'weed levels in retained native vegetation are maintained at a low level and compared with reference sites'. Weed management across the site during different |

| Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan, Schedule 3, Condition 29 | Satisfacto ry (Yes/No) | Comment | Action Required | Holcim Response |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | (Tes/No) | which is 100% weeds. | | stages of the quarry including clearing, and rehabilitation are addressed by Section 5.3, Table 9 (BR20 and Table 10 R17 collectively. Weed control is currently occurring around the office and entrance way. A fee proposal has been requested to implement weed management protocols for Camphor laurel, Lantana and other weed species. |
| e) Describe the short, medium, and long-term measures that would be implemented to: Protect and enhance the remnant vegetation and habitat on the site; and Ensure compliance with the biodiversity and rehabilitation objectives, and the progressive rehabilitation obligations in this consent. | Yes | • S.8 | | |
| f) Include a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3 years (to be updated for each 3-year period following initial approval of the plan) including the procedures to be implemented for: Maximising the salvage of environmental resources within the approved disturbance area, including tree hollows, vegetative and soil resources, for beneficial reuse in site; Restoring and enhancing the quality of native vegetation and fauna habitat on site through assisted natural regeneration, targeted vegetation establishment and the introduction of fauna habitat features; Protecting vegetation and fauna habitat outside the approved disturbance area onsite; Minimising the impacts on native fauna, including undertaking preclearance surveys; Establishing vegetation screening to minimise the visual impacts of the site on | No | S.8 & 9. S.8.2 Where is the record of measures that were implemented within the first three years regarding weed and pest management and lessons learnt that can be used to update this B&RMP? | Where is the evidence for the Pest Management Program? | Previously the management plan only required pest management if pests were observed in rehabilitation (See Table 12). Considering rehabilitation has not commenced at Teven and pests have not been observed, the site has not required pest management. While pest management has not occurred at the site, it will be undertaken if triggered by the requirement in Table 12. |

| Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan, Schedule 3, Condition 29 | Satisfacto ry (Yes/No) | Comment | Action Required | Holcim Response |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| surrounding receivers; • Ensuring minimal environmental consequences for threatened species, populations and habitats; • Collecting and propagating seed; • Controlling weeds and feral pests; • Controlling erosion; • Controlling access; and | | | | |
| Managing bushfire risk. | Yes | | | |
| g) Include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the performance and completion criteria | res | • S.9 | | |
| h) Identify the potential risks to the successful implementation of the plan and include a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate these risks; and | Yes | • S.6, 7 & 9.2. | | |
| i) Include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan. | No | Is the Quarry Manager responsible? | How has the Quarry Manager fulfilled their responsibilities? | • Yes. |

| Other Comments on Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan | Holcim Response |
|---|---|
| S.1.1 para 4 says 'formally' instead of 'formerly'. Figure 1 needs to be updated to show the current route of the Pacific Highway. T.3 Commitment 33 please correct the wording of the commitment. T.4 IEA para 3 indicates that a required Rehabilitation Bond may not have been provided to DPIE. However, according to DPIE records, this was lodged in 2017. T.5 Title needs ' recorded in, and adjacent to,'. Headings in last two columns are in error, please correct. S.5.4 Para 2 on page 20 Incorrect word usage in 'by clearing (clearly) marking and restricting access'. T.10 R9 second 'Figure 4' should be 'Figure 5'. T.10 R15 dot point 1: should 25 be followed by degrees? T.13 What is INX? S.10.4 There needs to be training of on-site personnel in the avoidance of sensitive vegetation. T.15 Change Summary reference to Lynwood management plan is inappropriate. | Addressed. Addressed. Wording corrected. Comments in Table 4 have been updated to explain the Rehabilitation Bond was lodged with the Department in 2017. Addressed. Table corrected. Addressed. Addressed. Addressed. The degree symbol has been added. INX has been expanded upon. A commitment has been added to this section stating on-site personnel will receive training on how to avoid sensitive vegetation (as described in Table 5 and Appendix B). Reference to Lynwood has been changed to Teven. |

Teven Quarry

Department of Planning Industry and Environment - Biodiversity Conservation Division

Biodiversity & Rehabilitation Management Plan Review – November 2021

| BCD Recommendations | Holcim Response |
|--|--|
| The proponent should consider revising the vegetation mapping in the BRI the NSW Vegetation Information System Vegetation Classification scheme of PCTs) and updating mapping in the plan accordingly. | |
| If the proponent decides to revise the vegetation mapping into PCTs, the actions should be tailored to each PCT. | Management actions have been tailored for each PCT throughout the plan. |
| 3. The BRMP should be amended to: | |
| a. clearly identify the measures to be implemented for protecting retained including, but not limited to, erecting temporary and clearly identifiable to clearing boundaries, requiring quarry staff to undergo induction so they the clearing and disturbance boundary limits and vegetation exclusion a | included in Section 5. These include measures to undertake weed clearing and replanting of the relevant |

| | | | zones. |
|----|--|---|---|
| b. | clearly identify planting, watering and weeding regimes and protocols for the regeneration activities within the areas of retained vegetation. | • | Planting, watering and weeding regimes in areas of retained vegetation are discussed in Section 5 . Note it is not practical to undertake planting and monthly watering in some parts of the quarry's retained vegetation due to limited safe access. |
| C. | require baseline condition assessments of the retained vegetation areas prior to the implementation of the BRMP management actions. We suggest that the condition of the vegetation on site be determined using the relevant parts of Chapter 4 of the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) 2020. | • | Baseline monitoring of areas of retained native vegetation was undertaken by SLR in August 2021. The results of the assessment undertaken in accordance with the relevant parts of Chapter 4 of the Biodiversity Assessment Method (BAM) 2020 are summarised in Section 4 . |
| d. | identify suitable benchmarks for the retained vegetation. | • | Suitable benchmarks for retained vegetation are described in Section 5 and Appendix F . |
| e. | include monitoring and reporting procedures and state timelines to enable assessments of the efficacy of ongoing revegetation actions and weed and pest management actions. | • | Section 6 describes monitoring and the requirement to submit annual reports which detail the outcomes of monitoring and management within retained vegetation areas to the Biodiversity Conservation Division of DPIE. |
| f. | identify adaptive management procedures to be implemented in response to the results of monitoring. | • | Section 11.2 and Table 16 address adaptive management primarily around rehabilitation. |
| g. | require the preparation of annual reports on the outcomes of management activities in areas of retained vegetation, including, but not limited to, updates on vegetation condition and the rehabilitation activities undertaken in that reporting period. Changes in vegetation condition should be assessed by periodic determination of vegetation integrity, as per Chapter 4 of BAM 2020, along with reference to the identified benchmarks. | • | Section 6 describes monitoring and the requirement to submit annual reports which detail the outcomes of monitoring and management within retained vegetation areas to the Biodiversity Conservation Division of DPIE. |
| | | • | Linked to Condition 3 (g) above. |

| h. require submission of annual reports to the BCD. | |
|---|--|
| | |

Appendix B

Threatened and migratory species not recorded, but likely to occur within 10 km of the project area

Table 1 Threatened and migratory species not recorded, but with potential to occur within10 km of the project area

| Common name | Scientific name | TSC Act | EPBC Act status | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | conservation status | | |
| Threatened flora spec | ies | | | |
| Acalypha | Acalypha eremorum | Endangered | - | |
| Arrow-head Vine | Tinopspora tinosporoides | Vulnerable | - | |
| Ball Nut | Floydia praealta | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Brush Sophora | Sophora fraseri | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Coast Euodia | Melicope vitiflora | Endangered | - | |
| Davidson's Plum | Davidsonia jerseyana | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Durobby | Syzygium moorei | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Dwarf Heath | Casuarina defungens | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Casuarina | _ | | | |
| Green-leaved Rose | Endiandra muelleri subsp. | Endangered | - | |
| Walnut | bracteata | | | |
| Hairy Jointgrass | Arthraxon hispidus | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Jointed Baloghia | Baloghia marmorata | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Knicker Nut | Caesalpinia bonduc | Endangered | - | |
| Leafless Tongue | Cryptostylis hunteriana | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Orchid | | | | |
| Macadamia Nut | Macadamia integrifolia | - | Vulnerable | |
| Magenta Lilly Pilly | Syzygium paniculatum | Endangered | Vulnerable | |
| Minature moss-orchid | Bulbophyllum globuliforme | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Onion Cedar | Owenia cepiodora | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Red Lilly Pilly | Syzygium hodgkinsoniae | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Rough-shelled Bush | Macadamia tetraphylla | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Nut | | | | |
| Scented Acronychia | Acronychia littoralis | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Small-leaved | Diploglottis campbellii | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Tamarind | | | | |
| Smooth Davidson's | Davidsonia johnsonii | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Plum | | | | |
| Southern Ochrosia | Ochrosia moorei | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Southern Swamp | Phaius australis | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Orchid | | | | |
| Stinking Cryptocarya | Cryptocarya foetida | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| Thorny Pea | Desmodium acanthocladum | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | |
| White Lace Flower | Archidendron hendersonii | Vulnerable | - | |
| Threatened fauna spe | cies | | | |
| Albert's Lyrebird | Menura alberti | Vulnerable | - | |
| Australasian Bittern | Botaurus poiciloptilus | Endangered | Endangered | |
| Australian Painted | Rostratula australis | - | Endangered, | |
| Snipe | | | Migratory | |
| Black Bittern | Ixobrychus flavicollis | Vulnerable | - | |
| Black-necked Stork | Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus | Endangered | - | |
| Brolga | Grus rubicunda | Vulnerable | - | |
| Bush Stone Curlew | Burhinus grallarius | Endangered | - | |
| Common Planigale | Planigale maculata | Vulnerable | - | |
| Coxen's Fig Parrot | Cyclopsitta diopthalma | Critically Endangered | Endangered | |
| - | coxeni | | - | |

| East Coast Freetail | Mormopterus norfolkensis | Vulnerable | - |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|---|
| Bat | | | |
| Eastern Bentwing Bat | Miniopterus schreibersii | Vulnerable | - |
| | oceanensis | | |
| Eastern Grass Owl | Tyto longimembris | Vulnerable | - |
| Eastern Long-eared | Nyctophilus bifax | Vulnerable | - |
| Bat | | | |

Table 2 Threatened and migratory species not recorded, but with potential to occur within10 km of the project area

| Common name | Scientific name | TSC Act conservation status | EPBC Act status |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Eastern Osprey | Pandion cristatus | Vulnerable | - |
| Freckled Duck | Stictonetta naevosa | Vulnerable | - |
| Greater Broadnosed Bat | Scoteanax rueppellii | Vulnerable | - |
| Green and Golden Bell Frog | Litoria aurea | Endangered | Vulnerable |
| Grey-crowned Babbler | Pomatostomus temporalis temporalis | Vulnerable | - |
| Grey-headed Flying-fox | Pteropus poliocephalus | Vulnerable | Vulnerable |
| Koala | Phascolarctos cinereus | Vulnerable | Vulnerable |
| Large-eared Pied Bat | Chalinolobus dwyeri | Vulnerable | Vulnerable |
| Little Bentwing Bat | Miniopterus australis | Vulnerable | - |
| Little Eagle | Hieraeetus morphnoides | Vulnerable | - |
| Long-nosed Potoroo | Potorous tridactylus | Vulnerable | Vulnerable |
| New Holland Mouse | Pseudomys novaehollandiae | - | Vulnerable |
| Red Goshawk | Erythrotriorchis radiates | Endangered | Vulnerable |
| Regent Honeyeater | Anthochaera phrygia | Critically Endangered | Endangered |
| Rose-crowned Fruit Dove | Ptilinopus regina | Vulnerable | - |
| Southern Myotis | Myotis macropus | Vulnerable | - |
| Spotted Harrier | Circus assimilis | Vulnerable | - |
| Spotted-tail Quoll | Dasyurus maculatus | Vulnerable | Endangered |
| Swift Parrot | Lathamus discolor | Endangered | Endangered |
| Three-toed Snake- tooth Skink | Coeranoscincus reticulatus | Vulnerable | Vulnerable |
| Varied Sittella | Daphoenositta chrysoptera | Vulnerable | - |
| Wallum Froglet | Crinia tinnula | Vulnerable | - |
| Wallum Sedge Frog | Litoria olongburensis | Vulnerable | Vulnerable |
| Water Mouse | Xeryomys myoides | - | Vulnerable |
| Wompoo Fruit Dove | Ptiliopus magnificus | Vulnerable | - |
| Migratory species | | | |
| Black-faced Monarch | Monarcha melanopsis | - | Migratory |
| Cattle Egret | Ardea ibis | - | Migratory |
| Fork-tailed Swift | Apus pacificus | - | Migratory |
| Great Egret | Ardea modesta | - | Migratory |
| Oriental Plover | Charadrius veredus | - | Migratory |
| Rufous Fantail | Rhipidura rufifrons | - | Migratory |

| Satin Flycatcher | Myiagra cyanoleuca | - | Migratory |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|
| Spectacled Monarch | Monarcha trivirgatus | - | Migratory |
| White-throated | Hirundapus caudacutus | - | Migratory |
| Needletail | | | |

Appendix C

Bionet Profiles of PCTs Recommended for Rehabilitation

BioNet Vegetation Classification - Community Profile Report

Plant Community Type ID (PCT ID): 826 PCT Name: Flooded Gum - Brush Box moist forest of the coastal ranges of the North Coast Classification Confidence Level: 5-Very Low Vegetation Description: Other Diagnostics Features: Very tall to extremely tall moist open forest.; LandscapePosition: Occurs on sheltered valleys, creek flats or benches. Variation and Natural Disturbance: Vegetation Formation: Wet Sclerophyll Forests (Shrubby sub-formation); Vegetation Class: North Coast Wet Sclerophyll Forests; IBRA Bioregion(s): NSW North Coast; South Eastern Queensland; IBRA Sub-region(s): Clarence Lowlands; Scenic Rim; Macleay Hastings; Coffs Coast and Escarpment; Karuah Manning; Burringbar-Conondale Ranges; LGA: Not Assessed Lithology: Not Assessed Landform Pattern: Not Assessed Landform Element: Not Assessed **Emergent species: None** Upper Stratum Species: Eucalyptus grandis; Lophostemon confertus; Eucalyptus microcorys; Archontophoenix cunninghamiana; Polyscias elegans; Mid Stratum Species: Alpinia caerulea; Cissus antarctica; Dioscorea transversa; Diospyros pentamera; Linospadix monostachyos; Mallotus philippensis; Neolitsea dealbata; Pittosporum multiflorum; Polyscias elegans; Guioa semiglauca; Synoum glandulosum; Ground Stratum Species: Adiantum formosum; Doodia aspera; Oplismenus aemulus; Smilax australis; Diagnostic Species: Not Assessed Fire Regime: TEC Assessed: No associated TEC TEC List: Not Assessed Associated TEC Comments: 13-04-2017 - Subtropical Coastal Floodplain Forest TEC removed. No TEC match for this PCT. PCT Percent Cleared: 40.00 PCT Definition Status: Approved

Community Profile Report

BioNet Vegetation Classification - Community Profile Report

Plant Community Type ID (PCT ID): 827 PCT Name: Flooded Gum - Tallowwood - Brush Box moist open forest of the coastal ranges of the North Coast Classification Confidence Level: 5-Very Low Vegetation Description: Other Diagnostics Features: Tall to extremely tall moist open forest, often with an understorey of rainforest trees and shrubs.; LandscapePosition: Mainly in near coastal valleys and foothills of the Nambucca, Bellinger, Orara and Tweed Valleys. Variation and Natural Disturbance: Vegetation Formation: Wet Sclerophyll Forests (Shrubby sub-formation); Vegetation Class: North Coast Wet Sclerophyll Forests; IBRA Bioregion(s): NSW North Coast; South Eastern Queensland; IBRA Sub-region(s): Macleay Hastings; Scenic Rim; Coffs Coast and Escarpment; Burringbar-Conondale Ranges; LGA: Not Assessed Lithology: Not Assessed Landform Pattern: Not Assessed Landform Element: Not Assessed **Emergent species: None** Upper Stratum Species: Eucalyptus grandis; Eucalyptus microcorys; Lophostemon confertus; Syncarpia glomulifera; Corymbia intermedia: Mid Stratum Species: Acmena smithii; Archontophoenix cunninghamiana; Cissus hypoglauca; Cordyline stricta; Cryptocarya microneura; Cryptocarya rigida; Dioscorea transversa; Smilax australis; Smilax glyciphylla; Trochocarpa laurina; Wilkiea huegeliana; Guioa semiglauca; Synoum glandulosum; Syzygium smithii; Ground Stratum Species: Adiantum hispidulum; Blechnum cartilagineum; Dianella caerulea; Hibbertia scandens; Morinda jasminoides; Diagnostic Species: Not Assessed Fire Regime: TEC Assessed: No associated TEC TEC List: Not Assessed Associated TEC Comments: 13-04-2017 - Subtropical Coastal Floodplain Forest TEC removed. No TEC match for this PCT. PCT Percent Cleared: 55.00 PCT Definition Status: Approved

Community Profile Report

BioNet Vegetation Classification - Community Profile Report

Plant Community Type ID (PCT ID): 1302 PCT Name: White Booyong - Fig subtropical rainforest of the NSW North Coast Bioregion Classification Confidence Level: 5-Very Low Vegetation Description: Other Diagnostics Features: None; LandscapePosition: Low altitudes on fertile soils near sea level, in sheltered mid altitude valleys or on basalt terraces. Variation and Natural Disturbance: Vegetation Formation: Rainforests; Vegetation Class: Subtropical Rainforests; IBRA Bioregion(s): NSW North Coast; South Eastern Queensland; IBRA Sub-region(s): Coffs Coast and Escarpment; Macleay Hastings; Scenic Rim; Clarence Lowlands; Burringbar-Conondale Ranges; LGA: Not Assessed Lithology: Not Assessed Landform Pattern: Not Assessed Landform Element: Not Assessed **Emergent species: None** Upper Stratum Species: Ficus spp.; Daphnandra micrantha; Cryptocarya obovata; Endiandra pubens; Castanospermum australe; Flindersia schottiana; Dysoxylum fraserianum; Archontophoenix cunninghamiana; Dysoxylum muelleri; Toona australis; Dendrocnide excelsa; Sloanea australis; Mid Stratum Species: Cordyline petiolaris; Cyathea leichhardtiana; Harpullia alata; Linospadix monostachyos; Neolitsea dealbata; Ground Stratum Species: Adiantum formosum; Elatostema reticulatum; Helmholtzia glaberrima; Lastreopsis spp.; Pteris umbrosa; Diagnostic Species: Not Assessed Fire Regime: TEC Assessed: Has associated TEC

TEC List: Listed BC Act,E: Lowland Rainforest on Floodplain in the New South Wales North Coast Bioregion (Equivalent); Listed BC Act,E: Lowland Rainforest in the NSW North Coast and Sydney Basin Bioregions (Equivalent); Listed EPBC Act,CE: Lowland Rainforest on Floodplain in the NSW North Coast Bioregion (Equivalent); Listed EPBC Act,CE: Lowland Rainforest on Floodplain in the New South Wales North Coast Bioregion (Equivalent);

Associated TEC Comments: PCT Percent Cleared: 75.00 PCT Definition Status: Approved

Monday, 6 September 2021

Community Profile Report

Page 1 of 1

Appendix D

National Trust Vegetation Condition Method

| Colour Code | Condition of Bushland | Description | Intervention Required |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Green | Good | Virtually weed free A healthy native community | Minimal Prevention of future impacts Removal of possible scattered weed |
| Blue | Fair | Minor infestation of weeds Natives dominate the site | Low Requires removal of minor impact (eg overuse) Low level weed invasion |
| Orange | Poor | Severely infested Regeneration of native species is being suppressed | Medium Removal of impacts required Removal of weeds Additional "kick-start" to promote natural regeneration (eg fire, physical disturbance) |
| Red | Very Poor | Bushland replaced by exotic species; or Only mature specimens of highest stratum remain – no seedlings or saplings due to infestation of understorey with exotics | Medium or high Ability of system to recover is lost or seriously limited Definitely needs a "kick-start" or may need reconstruction to approximate the original system |

 Table D1
 Vegetation Condition Assessment according to National Trust Method

Source: NSW National Trust of Australia 1999

Appendix E

Baseline Monitoring Plot Field Data

| | Site sheet # | 1 of 2 Date | 3181. | 있 Survey name | Tever Q | vary. | | Plot dentifier | STR | L |
|---------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | Recorders | J.Be | etoc | ١. | IBRA region | | | | Veg zone ID | P. |
| | ¹ Datum | Coord | dinate m | Projected Geographic | MGA zone | ¹ X coord | linate 28.838 | 544 140 | oordinate | 153,49 |
| | Location desc | ription | Adia | noted to locate s | in Soithe anit | 1358 6 GC | ce) to the | 00 | 90 | |
| | ¹ Plot dimensio | ons 20 | mosilion notion (100 | & structure 2000 10m²): 20 m x 50 | n ² 58 m x 20 m | ¹ Ori 0 m | ientation of midlin point | ne from | 2 | Photo # |
| | | WGS84, GDA9 | 4, GDA202 | 0 or Other (speci | fy). MGA Zone (| for Projected of | coordinate. system Easting/Northing (fi | | | |
| | | | | | Vegetatio | n integrity | to available tools. | P. C. P. | | |
| | Composition (| | actore sum | Structure (400 | | | Function (1000 | m ² plot) | | |
| 154 | | | Sum values | | | Sum values (%) (may sum to >100%) | ³ Tree stem size (DBH) | approp genera | are to be us priate local da ate local bene be counted | |
| The war | Total count of | Trees (TG) | 1 | Sum of | Trees (TG) | 30 | 80 + cm | Mant | ie counted | |
| | native plant species (richness) in | Shrubs (SG) | 2 | ² foliage cover of native plant species by | Shrubs (SG) | 10.1 | 50 – 79 cm | Count | (best practic | se)/tick hmark size ≥50 |
| | each growth | Grasses etc. | ~ | growth form group | Grasses etc. | 10.1 | 00 10 011 | cm, cc | unt | |
| | (not individual plants within | (GG) | 1 | | (GG) | 0.5 | 30 – 49 cm | cim, co | unt | se)/tick. hmark size ≥ 30 |
| | each growth form) | Forbs (FG) | 2. | | Forbs (FG) | 10.6 | 20 – 29 cm | | | se)/tick. hmark size ≥ 20 |
| | | Ferns (EG) | 1 | | Ferns (EG) | 4 | 10 – 19 cm | | (best practic | e)/tick |
| | | Other (OG) | | | Other (OG) | 1 . | 5 – 9 cm | Count | (best practic | e)/fick |
| | | | 5 | | | 6.3. | ⁴ Tree regeneration | on V | | |
| | | | | Total high threa | t weed cover | 1 - % | <5 cm ⁵ Length of fallen | logs ILT | nace | Tetal |
| | | | | | | 63.3 | ⁶ Hollow bearing | LAI I | n | 8 |
| | Vegetation inter cont. (five 1 m ²) | | ⁷ Litter o | cover (%) | Bare groun | d cover (%) | Cryptogam cov | er (%) Ro | ock cover (% | %) |
| | Subplot score (% Average of the 5 | % in each) | 908 | to loo loo 9 | 0 10 20 0 | 00 10 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 |
| | These altributes | | ation of site | observations ar | d may be compl | eled after field | work: | | | |
| | Vegetation clas | | ation of site | e observations at | ⁸ Large tree b | | 20/ 30/ 5 | 0/ 80 DBH | Confiden | ice H/ M/ L |
| | | | | | Laigeneer | ononinani oiz | | EC Tick | Confiden | ce H/ M/ L |
| | Plant communit | | at many had | n in determining | DOT and manage | amont some la | | TICK | | |
| | Morphological | d site reatures tr | Landf | | | indform | ptional) or for BioN | | nora survey | purposes: |
| | type | | eleme | | | ltern | A. | Aicrorelief | | |
| | Lithology | | Soil si textur | urface | Sc | oil colour | S | oil depth | | |
| | Slope | | Aspec | | Sit | te drainage | |)istance to nea | | |
| | ciope | Sever | | | | | W | ater and type | | |
| | Disturbance | code | code | Brief site de | scription of othe | r notes | | | | |
| | Clearing (inc. log | | _ | Steep : | s.te. | | | | | |
| | Cultivation (inc.) | pasture) | | Hugh (| | lo ver | Camphor | laval. | Paul | aly- |
| | Soil erosion Firewood / CWD | removal | | | 1 1 | | Camphor 30m of | | 0 | 3 |
| | Grazing (id. nativ | | | Proverine | - indust | 2. | | | | |
| × 0. | Fire damage | (DISTOCK) | | Dense | weed | alter | 30m of | Snall | leaf 1 | print. |
| | Storm damage | | | Emergents I | | per stratum he | | atum heights | | r stratum height |
| | Weediness | | | Top Mid | Bottom To | | | lid Bollom | | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Teven Querry

- A - The -

| 00 m ² | floristics plot: | Survey name | Plot identifier | Recorde | rs | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Date | 3 8 21 | STR 1 (Fever) | | 75 | iceton. | | | |
| GF code | Species name Full species name, mandatory. Data fro | or a unique means of identify om here will be used to assign | ing separate taxa withi a growth form richness | n a survey is and cover. | N, HTW or non- HTW | ² Foliage cover | Abund -ance | Voucher |
| on | | phenix connig! | | | N | 60 | 40 | |
| un | Liaustium | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 0.10 | | HTW | 30 | 100 t | |
| Sa | Printer | oronata | | | 6 | 5 | 50 | |
| oc | 4 Cordylin | | | | V | 10 | 30 | |
| un | Paspalon | 1 1 1 1 | | | HTLS . | 3 | 30 | |
| | | | | | HTW | 0.5 | 10 | |
| | 8 1 pomoeo | | | | HTW | | 10 | |
| | 0.1 | 1 camara | | | HTW | 6.5 | 30 | |
| Ta | Bidens | piloser | | * | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| TC | Λ | | anom. | | N | 0045 | 6 | 1 |
| Sa | 10 fimeare | | | | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| | Myrsine | · Cetoroples: | 1 | 100 | N | 0.1 | 3 | |
| Oa | 12 Sigerae | LITW | 0.5 | 5 | | | | |
| aa | | Serina perdula | | | | | | |
| ili | | menus hirbell | | | N HTW | 0.5 | 15 | |
| 20 | 0.0 | time aderoph | NOCOL | | N | 0.1 | S | |
| OCL | 16 Person | | | | | 0.1 | ī | |
| DC. | Tass, to | | | | N | 0.1 | 3 | |
| Ta | 18 Supario | pisis anacardic | oides. | | N | 0.1 | 4 | |
| FC | | oria. spp. | | | | | 2 | |
| FC | 20 Neolits | - 11 | | | N | | | Dist- |
| | 21 Solanur | - n'grum | | | 120-117 | 30.1 | 1 | |
| | 22 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | + | | | |
| e | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | 29 | | | | - | | | |
| | |) | | | | | | |
| 1 | 31 | | NE CONTRACTOR | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 35 | | | | | | | |

Print more copies of this page to allow for higher species counts at a plot. All vascular plant species in a plot need to be recorded.

GF Code: see growth form definitions in BAM 2020 Appendix F. N: native, HTW: high threat weed.

² Foliage cover: 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, ...100%; Note: 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately $1.4 \times 1.4 m$, and $1\% = 2.0 \times 2.0 m$, $5\% = 4 \times 5 m$, $25\% = 10 \times 10 m$. Note the top 3 dominant native species within each GF group.

Abundance: Count 1, 2, 3 ..., when ≤10, estimate when >10, 20, 30 ... 100, 200, 300 ..., 1000, 2000, 3000 ... (as integer values).

| Site sheet # | | Date | | | BBFI | Teven | Plot identi | fier É | BF1 |
|---|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Recorders | 7 | Be | elon | | IBRA region | | | Ve | g zone |
| ¹ Datum | | Coordi system | | Projected Geographic | MGA zone | ¹ X coord | linate 28.84402 | Y coord | inate 153. 488 |
| Location desc | ription | ch | escriptive | notes to locate si | te without grid n | elerence | | | |
| ¹ Plot dimension | ons | 20 x | 20110 | & stru 2 0 (400) | 2): 20 m x 20 m | ¹ Ori 0 m | entation of midline from | m May Stic | Photo # |
| Datum: AGD66 NSW or 54 (We | i, WGS84, istern NSV | GDA94. | GDA20 | 20 or Other (specil | y). MGA Zone (rojected coordin | for Projected c ate. system), E | coordinate. system only); Easting/Northing (for geo | 56 (Coastal | NSMA 55 (Control |
| Con | nposition : | and struc | ture sum | values may be co | ompleted after e | n integrity ntering data ini | to available tools. It is no | t required wi | nile in the field |
| Composition (| 400 m ² pl | ot) | Sum | Structure (400 r | m ² plot) | | Function (1000 m ² plo | t) | o be used as more |
| | | | values | | | (%) (may sum to >100%) | (DBH) | appropriate | local data i.e. to cal benchmarks, sten |
| Total count of native plant | Trees (1 | rg) | 34 | Sum of ² foliage cover | Trees (TG) | 6.2. | 80 + cm | Count | undu |
| species (richness) in | Shrubs | (SG) | 2. | of native plant species by | Shrubs (SG) | 5.1 | 50 – 79 cm | | practice)/tick a benchmark size ≥5 |
| each growth | Grasses | s etc | 2. | growth form group | Grasses etc. | 0.1 | 00 - 79 UN | cm, count | |
| (not individual plants within | (GG) | , 610. | 1 | group | (GG) | 0.5 | 30 – 49 cm | f ^{1 s} large tre | practice)/lick. e benchmark size ≥ 3 |
| each growth form) | Forbs (F | -G) | 1 | | Forbs (FG) | 0.1 | 20 – 29 cm | Fount (best Flarge free | practice)/tick. ≥ bonchmark size ≥ 2 |
| | Ferns (E | EG) | 0 | | Ferns (EG) | 0 | 10 – 19 cm | cm, count Count (best | practice)/lick |
| | Other (C | DG) | 1 | | Other (OG) | U | 5 – 9 cm | Count (best | practice)/tick |
| | | | 4 | | | 70.2. | ⁴ Tree regeneration | Tick | |
| | | | | Total high threat | weed cover | | <5 cm | V | Totale. |
| | | | | . eta ngr in eai | | 35.2, | | IH IH | 1 mill |
| Vegetation inte | | nction | 71 itter | cover (%) | Bare ground | 1 00100 (9/) | Last and a subject | Tick | 1013 |
| cont. (five 1 m ²) Subplot score (% | | | | and the second | | | Cryptogam cover (%) | | over (%) |
| Average of the 5 | | | 30 - | 0 00 100 4 | 40 30 3 | 00 20 | 00000 | 000 | 0000 |
| These attributes | require co | onsiderati | ion of site | e observations and | I may be comple | eted after field | | | |
| | S | | | | ⁸ Large tree be | nchmark size | 20/ 30/ 50/ 80 D | BH Co | onfidence H/ M/ L |
| Vegetation class | | - | | | | | EEC | Tick Co | onfidence H/ M/ L |
| Vegetation clas | ty type (P | CT) | | | | | | | SHEVEN DURDOSES |
| Plant communit | | | may hel | p in determining P | CT and manage | ment zone (op | tional) or for BioNet syst | ematic flora | ourroy purposes. |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological | | | Landf | orm | Lar | ndform | | | survey purposes. |
| Plant communit Physiography an | | | Landf | orm ent | Lar | | ntional) or for BioNet syst Microrel | | arroy parposes. |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological | | | Landf | orm int urface | Lar pat | ndform | | ief | ourroy purposes. |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type | | | Landf eleme Soil si | orm nt urface | Lar pat Soi | ndform tern | Microrel Soil dep Distance | ief th e to nearest | an toj parposos. |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope | d site feat | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm int grface g | Lar pat Soi Site | ndform tern I colour e drainage | Microrel Soil dep | ief th e to nearest | |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance | d site feat | ures that | Landf eleme Soil si textur Aspec | orm int a t Brief site dese | Lar pat Soi Site | ndform tern I colour e drainage | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar | th to nearest d type | |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log | d site feat | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm int a t Brief site dese | Lar pat Soi Site | ndform tern I colour e drainage | Microrel Soil dep Distance | th to nearest d type | |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p | d site feat | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm int urface e I Brief site desc Strong Co | Lar pat Soi Site cription or other | ndform tern I colour I drainage notes es behave | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar | th th to nearest id type | tophase cu: |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p Soil erosion | d site feat (ging) pasture) | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm nt urface b Brief site desc Strong Ca Open Ca | Lai pat Soi Site Site Cov Cov | ndform tern I colour o drainage notes es behan Woed ac | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar | th th to nearest id type | tophase cus |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p Soil erosion Firewood / CWD | d site feat iging) pasture) removal | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm nt urface b Brief site desc Strong Ca Open Ca | Lai pat Soi Site Site Cov Cov | ndform tern I colour o drainage notes es behan Woed ac | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar | th th to nearest id type | tophase cu: |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p Soil erosion Firewood / CWD Grazing (id. nativ | d site feat iging) pasture) removal | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm nt arface a Brief site desc Storm Cr Open Cu Plub met | Lai pat Soi Site cription or other -er S cou -er S ware 20 | ndform tern I colour o drainage notes es behave Weed ace | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar - O-Lom of Lerotre gresse | th th to nearest id type | tophase cu: |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p Soll erosion Firewood / CWD Grazing (id. nativ Fire damage | d site feat iging) pasture) removal | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm int Inface Brief site desc Strong Ca Open cu Plub bet Icrye Ps | Lan pat Soi Site Site Court Co | adform tern I colour I colour | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar - O-Jom of Lerobic gression - Ho - Som. | th th A ahou A ahou | tophase cue |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p Soil erosion Firewood / CWD Grazing (id. nativ Fire damage Storm damage | d site feat iging) pasture) removal | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm int urface e Brief site desc Strong Ca Open cu Plub bet Icrye Py Emergents he | Lai pat Soi Site Site Court Co | adform tern I colour I colour | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar Distance water ar Distance are of Lerothe grosse Lio - Som. Middle stratum h | ief th to nearest id type Aachoo eights | tophase cue ross the Lower stratum heigh |
| Plant communit Physiography an Morphological type Lithology Slope Disturbance Clearing (inc. log Cultivation (inc. p Soll erosion Firewood / CWD Grazing (id. nativ Fire damage | d site feat iging) pasture) removal | sures that | Landf eleme Soil si textun Aspec | orm int Inface Brief site desc Strong Ca Open cu Plub bet Icrye Ps | Lan pat Soi Site Site Court Co | adform tern I colour I colour | Microrel Soil dep Distance water ar Distance water ar Distance are of Lerothe grosse Lio - Som. Middle stratum h | th th A ahou A ahou | tophase cus |

| 400 m ² | floristics plot: | Survey name | Plot identifier | Record | lers | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Date | 5821 | Tever Quary | BBF 1. | 7. | Beeton | | | |
| GF code | | r a unique means of iden n here will be used to ass | | | N, HTW or non- HTW | ² Foliage cover | Abund -ance | Voucher |
| | Cinnamor | num Camp | shora | | LITW | 60 | 5 | |
| | Liquestor | 1 sinces | 5. | | HTW | 02. | 30. | · |
| SC | P. Hospox um | undolati | | | N | 10. | 15 | |
| Ta | Cupaniop | sis Anacas | dioides. | | .N | -1 | 3 | |
| OC | Livistonn | austral | :5 | | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| oc | Machera | cochinc | hinensis. | | N | 5 | 15 | |
| CAGe | Pass flore | - subera | sa. | | HTW | 0.1 | 1 | |
| ou | Gigenner (| Leitonoplesio | m cymosum | 2. | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| | & Senna | pedula | | | | 2. | 5 | |
| OC | Eustreph | ius latico | lios. | | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| | Wilkien | huegelin | ana. | | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| | Lantona | Camara | - | | HTW | 0.1 | ١ | |
| | Othra | Serrulata | - | | HTW | 0.1 | 1 | |
| ac | Gahnia | aspeso | | | W | 0.5 | 2. | |
| Da | Smi lax | | is . | | N | 1 | 8 | * |
| FC | Alpinia | Caerule | | | N | 0.5 | 4. | |
| - | Paspalu | | ~ · | | HTW. | ١ | 20 | |
| FC | Dianello | . co-erules | | | N | 0.11 | l | |
| EQ | Adjointur | n hispid | | | N | 4 | 20 | |
| Sa | poleletere | 2 longitation | · | | N | 0.1 | 1 | |
| | Agesatina | c.paria | | | 醒- | 0.5 | 10 | |
| Ta | Neofitseo | , dealbata | | | J | 30 | 墨6 | |

Print more copies of this page to allow for higher species counts at a plot. All vascular plant species in a plot need to be recorded.

GF Code: see growth form definitions in BAM 2020 Appendix F. N: native, HTW: high threat weed.

² Foliage cover: 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, ...100%; Note: 0.1% cover represents an area of approximately 63 x 63 cm or a circle about 71 cm across, 0.5% cover represents an area of approximately $1.4 \times 1.4 m$, and $1\% = 2.0 \times 2.0 m$, $5\% = 4 \times 5 m$, $25\% = 10 \times 10 m$. Note the top 3 dominant native species within each GF group.

Abundance: Count 1, 2, 3 ..., when ≤10, estimate when >10, 20, 30 ... 100, 200, 300 ..., 1000, 2000, 3000 ... (as integer values).

Appendix F

Benchmark Data

| | Tree Richness | Shrub Richness | Grass And Grass Like Richness | Forb Richness | Fern Richness | Other Richness |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Benchmark | 13 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 14 |
| Rehabilitation (80%) | 10.4 | 11.2 | 4 | 4.8 | 4 | 11.2 |
| Revegetation (50%) | 6.5 | 7 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.5 | 7 |

 Table F1
 Benchmark Species Richness Targets for PCT 826 and PCT 827

Table F2Benchmark Cover Targets for PCT 826 and PCT 827

| | Tree Cover | Shrub Cover | Grass And Grass Like Cover | Forb Cover | Fern Cover | Other Cover |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Benchmark | 99 | 34 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 31 |
| Rehabilitation (80%) | 79.2 | 27.2 | 8 | 2.4 | 12 | 24.8 |
| Revegetation (50%) | 49.5 | 17 | 5 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 15.5 |

Table F3Benchmark Function Targets for PCT 826 and PCT 827

| | Total length of fallen logs | Litter Cover | Number of Large Trees | Large Tree Threshold Size |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Benchmark | 59 | 80 | 3 | 80 |
| Rehabilitation (80%) | 47.2 | 64 | 2 | 64 |
| Revegetation (50%) | 29.5 | 40 | 2 | 40 |

Table F4 Benchmark Species Richness Targets for PCT 1302

| | Tree Richness | Shrub Richness | Grass And Grass Like Richness | Forb Richness | Fern Richness | Other Richness |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Benchmark | 19 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 15 |
| Rehabilitation (80%) | 15.2 | 8 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 12 |
| Revegetation (50%) | 9.5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4.5 | 7.5 |

Table F5Benchmark Cover Targets for PCT 1302

| | Tree Cover | Shrub Cover | Grass And Grass Like Cover | Forb Cover | Fern Cover | Other Cover |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Benchmark | 140 | 32 | 1 | 2 | 38 | 44 |
| Rehabilitation (80%) | 112 | 25.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 30.4 | 35.2 |
| Revegetation (50%) | 70 | 16 | 0.5 | 1 | 19 | 22 |

Table F6Benchmark Function Targets for PCT 1302

| | Total length of fallen logs | Litter Cover | Number of Large Trees | Large Tree Threshold Size |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Benchmark | 47 | 81 | 6 | 50 |
| Rehabilitation (80%) | 37.6 | 64.8 | 4.8 | 40 |
| Revegetation (50%) | 23.5 | 40.5 | 3 | 25 |

Holcim

